

THE
DEIST'S
Manual :
OR, A
RATIONAL ENQUIRY
INTO THE
Christian Religion.

With some CONSIDERATIONS ON
Mr. Hobbs, Spinoza, the Oracles of
Reason, Second Thoughts, &c.

By C. Gildon, Gent. Publisher of the
Oracles of Reason.

To which is prefix'd a LETTER, from the
Author of *The Method with the Deists.*

Humanum est Errare.

L O N D O N ;

Printed for A. Roper in Fleetstreet; Fran. Coggan in the
Temple; and Geo. Strahan in Cornhill: 1709.

a. 2546

DELETED
: LEARN

REASONABLE ENQUIRY



929

Wm. C. Cress, General Publisher of the
Ottawa Citizen.

Author of the sketch with the Duke
to which is added a list of the names

1890

1. The first of these is the fact that the
2. second of these is the fact that the
3. third of these is the fact that the
4. fourth of these is the fact that the
5. fifth of these is the fact that the
6. sixth of these is the fact that the
7. seventh of these is the fact that the
8. eighth of these is the fact that the
9. ninth of these is the fact that the
10. tenth of these is the fact that the

TO THE
Most Reverend Father in God,
HIS GRACE
THOMAS,

By the Divine Providence,
Lord **ARCHBISHOP** of
CANTERBURY,
Primate of all *England, &c.*

May it Please Your Grace,

HAVING, by a just Application to the Study of the following most Important Subject, for
A 2 some

Epistle Dedicatory.

some Time, escap'd from those
Errors, which depriv'd me
of that Favour with Your
Grace, which I might for
other Reasons have hop'd ; I
chose, and have long de-
sign'd to Publish it to the
World, under the Protection
of Your Grace's Name, whose
Zeal for Religion, and the
good of Your Country, might
convince the World of the
Sincerity of my Undertaking,
if the Force of my Argu-
ments shou'd not be able to
do it, which I am perswaded
~~are~~ so clear, and so earnestly
~~urg'd~~, that no unprejudic'd
Person can attribute them to
Hypocrisie. I am, besides,
some A My

Epistle Dedicatory.

My Lord, too well known, to my Acquaintance, for an open, and Plain Dealer, to fear the Imputation of a designing Man; but as I am perfectly satisfied in what I have wrote, so I beleive, no Rational man can fairly convince me of the contrary Opinion. But had I weakness enough to dissemble with the World, I shou'd have too much Modesty to presume, to shelter my Hypocrisie under so Illustrious a Name.

The Errors I had too hastily entertain'd, when I began to find them out, made me examine into the Reason of their prevailing so far in the

A 3

World,

Epistle Dedicatory.

World, which besides their Flattery of the Passions, and Corporeal Impressions, I found to proceed from the Difficulty of the Conviction, which was the defect of the Method of the Defenders of the *Christian* Religion; at least, of those, which I had had the Fortune to meet with, who appeal'd to Arguments, which requir'd more Learning and Application, than most of the Persons affected with the Evil, were Masters of, or cou'd bestow; since their *Truth* and *Force* depended on the Sincerity, and fullness of the Quotations of Authors, which Few understood, and Fewer cou'd have

Epistle Dedicatory.

have Recourse to. I therefore consider'd, that what was necessary to the *Good* and *Happiness* of Mankind, must be attainable with more Ease, and concluded, that God had given us a certain means of arriving at a Knowledge of what he requir'd us to believe. The only way to find this out, I concluded must be *REASON*.

I therefore, to proceed without Prejudice, suppos'd my self divested of all receiv'd Opinions, and put my self under the Conduct of that Guide, which led me from my *own Being* to the Fundamental of all Religion, *GOD*, his *Attributes*, *Providence*, and the *Immortality* of the
A 4 Soul.

Epistle Dedicatory.

Soul. For without all these, *Religion* cou'd be only *Notion*, and *Design*, and from these Fundamentals, *Reason* easily drew the *Laws of Nature*, and *Revelation*, and this without Recourse to *Authority*, but only by the universal Consent of Man's *Reason*, which is always, and every where the same, as I have prov'd sufficiently, I hope, in my Book. This Method, my Lord, having brought me to a Possession of the *Truth*, I began to reflect, that it might be as serviceable to others, who had wander'd like my self, after a false *Ignis fatuus* of ill Reasoning; and this was the Motive of my Publishing this Volume.

Epistle Dedicatory.

I know, My Lord, that many have asserted, that there are no *Speculative Atheists*, yet, My Lord, I cannot agree with those Gentlemen, because my Conversation has frequently afforded me Proofs of the contrary in the *Hobbits* of the Times; the very Foundation of whose *System* is *Atheism* in Speculation, which I am confident, is entirely, defeated in the following Treatise. There are besides, My Lord, a very numerous Party of *Practical Atheists*, who (whatever they pretend, like Mr. *Hobbs*, of Magnificent Expressions of God) are really *Atheists* in their Opinions,

as

Epistle Dedicatory.

as well, as Practice. For if they really believ'd a God, and thought *justly* and *truly* of him, they cou'd not Act, as they do.

I mean not here, My Lord, the common Frailties of Life, which every Man, more or less, is subject to, but such pernicious Vices, as are destructive of all *Morality* and *Religion*; and by consequence are dangerous Enemies to the *universal Good*, and *Happiness* of Mankind, which was the Aim of God in the Creation of Man. From these has sprung that detestable *Private Spirit*, which has always ruin'd Nations, and
which

Epistle Dedicatory.

which has so large a Dominion in this, that it is to be wish'd, that in time it brings us not into the same Destruction, as it must Infallibly do, unless some *Publick Spirited Man*, like Your Grace, arise, and Promote the contrary Virtues.

But if the true Notion of *GOD*, and his *Attributes* were spread, and fix'd, this Bane of Humane Society wou'd Vanish, and my aim at this I hope will justify my employing so large a share of my Book on this Subject.

If I follow'd the general Method of *Dedications*, I shou'd here sum up the several

Epistle Dedicatory.

veral Virtues, and Excellent Qualifications, which Heaven has bestow'd on Your Grace; but, My Lord, they are not so obscure, I to want a Herald; Your *Z E A L*, and your *C H A R I T Y* have had too many publick Proofs to require it, and I am sensible, as Your Grace did them not for the Praise of Men, so the Praise of Men would only disgust You.

Besides the Solemnity of the Subject allows not the wantonness of *Wit*, or the florid Decorations of a Panegyrist: The *Patron* therefore, and the *Subject* forbidding all those

Epistle Dedicatory.

those Efforts, I shall only beg
Your Grace, to take my fol-
lowing Labour into Your
Protection, and permit me to
subscribe my self

Your Grace's most oblig'd,

Most Dutiful, and

Most Devoted Servant,

Charles Gildon;

THE

THE PREFACE.

IT is not to Comply with the Mode, that I trouble the Reader with this Preface, but to remove an Opinion, I have formerly too much contributed to, by my defence of a Friend's Death, for whom I had a particular Value, not Imagining, it wou'd have been of that ill Consequence, which I am afraid it was. I therefore thought my self oblig'd, not only to declare, that I am perfectly convinc'd, that Suicide is not Lawful, but also to set down my Reasons for this Opinion, which I shall do by Answering all those which I brought there for the contrary.

I have there reduc'd the whole stress of the Argument to this Point, Whether the first Law of Nature, Self-Preservation, be so Universally binding, as never to admit of any Exception, and I proceed to prove, that by the Example of Codrus, and others, it is sometimes a Virtue to Destroy our selves, or Voluntarily to Sacrifice our Lives for the Good

The P R E F A C E.

Good of our Country, from this Maxim, That the Publick Good should be Prefer'd to any Particular. That no body ever disputed the Power, or Right of taking our selves to the War, which is a manifest Hazard of our Security, and that Invades our Principle of Self-Preservation. That Merchandizing at Sea, &c. was always thought Lawful, tho' that be so liable to Death, and Accidents. And that since it is granted, that there are some Occasions, and Conjunctions allow'd by all, when we may Lawfully lose, and hazard our Lives, it remains on our Opposers to stint their Number, and fix a Rule of our Conduct in that Particular, That every Man may remove himself from every Government in Particular, and therefore from all; that no People can oblige their Posterity by Laws, Acts, &c.

And lastly, Since some Motives are allow'd for our venturing, at least, if not for hastening our Death, there is none so reasonable, as the easing our selves of an unavoidable Pain. For, since Life is only Eligible for the Good it brings, 'tis to be rejected, when it offers nothing but Evil.

That the Rule of Self-Preservation is never to be broke, I shall not here contend, but allow, that by the above-quoted Maxim, it is to be hazarded in Lawful and Just War, for the Good of our Country, the Defence of our Liberties, &c. But first, by that very Maxim, Suicide is Unlawful; for this, to gratifie the
Tyran-

THE PREFACE.

Tyranny of some blind particular Passion; robs the Publick of a Member, who is oblig'd to regard that more, than himself. Besides, since it is against the Eternal Laws of Right Reason, or of Nature, to suffer any such Usurpation of any particular Passion; certainly all Obedience to that unlawful Power, which we have set up, and may, when we will, Depose, can never be Lawful.

That the Enemies of Suicide shou'd stint, and declare these Motives, is no hard Task; for it is plain, the Principle of Self-Preservation ought never to be broke, but for the Preservation of that, which secures that of every Individual, that is, by promoting, and defending the Preservation of the whole Community, to which each Particular owes his own Preservation, and this takes in all that is arg'd about the Decii, Codrus, Regulus, &c.

That every Man has a Right of removing himself from one Government, or Nation, to another, in so large an Extent; as I suppose, may admit of a Question; for it has been frequently seen, that Kingdoms and Nations have Reclaim'd their own Members, when transplanted into other Countries; and if we allow the whole to be greater, and of more Consideration, than the Part, we must allow it the Right to do this. But not to Insist on this, what I propose in removing from one Government to another, will never reach

The P R E F A C E:

reach this Case, for still that supposes a settling in some one Nation and Government; and that so he shall still be a Member of Humane Society, and do his Part to contribute to the Good of Mankind some where or other, whereas by destroying himself, he takes himself from all, which is far different from the Instance brought in its Justification, and can therefore draw no force from it.

That we cannot oblige our Posterity by our Laws, and Acts, is so absurd, that I am asham'd to have urg'd it, since were that true, all Government must sink, and Mankind be in a perpetual Anarchy, and Confusion; as having every Day new Governments to form, without ever being able to reap any Benefit from them.

Lastly, That the easing of our selves from an unavoidable Evil, is a Just, and Lawful Motive for Destroying our selves, is partly Answer'd already, by my confining those Motives only to the Publick. But to answer it yet more particularly, we ought, if we pretend to Act like Rational Creatures, to consider, that in all private Grievs, or Evils, we are too apt to consult only our Passions, without making any Enquiry about the Matter of our Reason. For, if on these Occasions, we advis'd with our Reason, that wou'd put an end to the Dominion of that Passion, from whence this Evil or Grief proceeds, by which we should make that uneasiness Vanish, which made Life seem so Burthen some to us. And

The . P R E F A C E .

the sinking under Misfortunes, is a Pusillanimity opposite to that Fortitude which is enjoin'd us by the Law of Nature, and which is absolutely necessary in Humane Events; for there is no Misfortune, which Virtue cannot overcome, or Fortitude support; there is therefore no Evil so great, that can deprive Life of being a Good, since all the Evils of Life have this Remedy.

There is no Evil but what proceeds from our Criminal Indulgence of our Passions, which Self-Preservation, and Reason Command us to have in perpetual Subjection to the Sway, and Dominion of Reason; or else to Foreign, and Extrinsic Accidents, and Events, and great part of these are never so very pressing, and hard upon us, but either by our Sloth, want of Industry, Pride, or the like; and when they are in the Extremity, while our Passions are in Order, they can never deprive us of the Satisfaction of the Mind, the Contemplation of God, &c. which are Pleasures sufficient to prove Life a Good, as long as Providence will permit us to enjoy it. Life therefore, never being an Evil but by our own Faults, there is no Shadow of Reason to justify us in throwing it away without a Public Motive.

But if we pass from our Reason to Religion (which Divine Rule I have prov'd in the following Treatise) we have no Pretence at all for Suicide, Thou shalt not Kill is
a Com-

The PREFACE.

a Commandment in force, in regard of our selves as well as others.

I hope I have thus sufficiently Answer'd all those Arguments for an Opinion, the Error of which I am perfectly convinc'd of; and I am perswaded, that the Perusal of the following Treatise will remove all Doubts in that Particular.

I had some Thoughts of bestowing a few Words on two extraordinary Paradoxes, lately Publish'd, one is, That Motion is Essential to Matter, and the other, That Matter and Motion think. The Author of the first has remov'd the Cause of my Answering him, by owning its Creation, and supposing, that God, with its other Properties, endu'd Matter with this likewise; tho' I am far from being satisfied with the Reasons of his Opinion, which I cannot perswade my self to be Convincing; yet, since I have nothing to do with that Point, farther than to prove the Creation of Matter, I acquiesce in his Acknowledgment of that Truth, without troubling my self with the useless Amusement.

The other Paradox is couch'd in such Terms that I am satisfied, the Ordinary Reader cannot understand it, and founded on such weak Arguments, that a Philosopher will never be Vanquish'd by; so that the Body of my Book being swell'd to a much larger Bulk than I design'd it, I shall not encrease it by a needless Dispute of a Point, which him-
self

The PREFACE.

self owns to signifie nothing to the Good of Mankind, whether true or false.

I have only to add, that I wish my Readers may be as perfectly Satisfied in the Perusing this Treatise, as I was in the Writing it.

Humanum est Errare sed Bestiarum perseverare. Cicero.

THE

THE
CONTENTS.

THE
INTRODUCTION.

THe general Introduction, and the meeting
of the Persons. I. to VI.

The Excellence of Humane Reason. VII.

Our only Guide to God and Religion. IX.

Its Enemies Broachers of Heresies, and Superstition. X.

It is Judge of, and confirms 'em the Mysterious
Part of the Christian Religion. XI.

The Contents of the First Discourse.

That there is a God.

THE Acknowledgment of Providence an
Awe upon Man. page 2, 3.

The Reasons for removing that Awe by find-
ing out another Cause of all things, as
Chance. p. 3, 4.

The Origin of Man. p. 5.

Against the beginning of the World, and
Man. p. 5, 6. &c.

Arguments against Providence. p. 9, 10, 11, &c.

The uncertainty of Reason a Prejudice, no Be-
nefit to Man. Ibid.

Reason justifies Right and Wrong. Ibid.

The World as reasonably made, as govern'd by
Chance. p. 14, &c.

'Tis of no Consequence to us whether our first
Cause be Chance, or Intelligent. p. 17.

The Scheme of the Defence of the Deity laid
down. p. 18.

A strange Account of a supposed Effect of
Chance. p. 19, 20, 21, &c.

The Epicurean Disputants dis-believe this Work
of Chance. Ibid.

Arguments against the Creation of the World
by Chance, by the Order, of the Universe. Of

Copernicus's System, &c. p. 23, 24, &c.

The

CONTENTS.

<i>The same prov'd from the Variety of Beings, Faces, of Parts of Animals in general, of Man in particular, &c.</i>	p. 29, 30, 31, &c.
<i>A Confutation of Chance, and the Atome Original of things.</i>	p. 35, 36, &c.
<i>Against the Eternity of the World.</i>	p. 38, 39, &c.
<i>Farther Proofs of a first Intelligent Cause from Man's own Existence.</i>	p. 41, &c.
<i>That the World, and Matter was Created by God, out of nothing.</i>	p. 48, 49, &c.

The Contents of the Second Discourse.

Of the Attributes of God.

O <i>F the Attributes of God in general.</i>	p. 38, 39, &c.
<i>That there are in God, Physical, and also Moral Attributes.</i>	p. 39, &c.
<i>Of the Particular Attributes of God, and first of his Eternity.</i>	p. 62, &c.
<i>That there is a Succession in Eternity, against the Platonists, and Schoolmen.</i>	p. 64, 65, &c.
<i>Of the Immensity of God.</i>	p. 66, &c.
<i>Of the Spirituality of God.</i>	p. 68.
<i>Of the Divine Understanding, Will, and Affections, &c.</i>	p. 69, 70, &c.
<i>Of the Infinity, Omniscience, and Omnipotence of God.</i>	p. 76, &c.
<i>Of the Immutability of God.</i>	p. 79.
<i>Of the Simplicity of God.</i>	p. 82.

CONTENTS.

<i>Of the Unity of God.</i>	<i>Ibid.</i>
<i>Of the Moral Attributes of God.</i>	<i>p. 84, &c.</i>
<i>Of the Holiness Justice, and Goodness of God.</i>	<i>p. 88, 89, &c.</i>

The Contents of the Third Discourse.

Of Providence, and the Concurrence of God in the Administration of Humane Affairs, &c.

A <i>Confutation of Mr. Hobbs's Assertion, that all we know of God is, that he Exists.</i>	<i>p. 100, &c.</i>
<i>A Definition of Providence.</i>	<i>p. 104.</i>
<i>Its direction of Corporeal things.</i>	<i>Ibid. &c.</i>
<i>Of Intelligent Beings.</i>	<i>p. 109.</i>
<i>A Vindication of Reason.</i>	<i>p. 106, &c.</i>
<i>Reason is the Eye of the Mind, and the greatest Benefit we have, it being the Discoverer of all that is Valuable.</i>	<i>p. 108, 109, &c.</i>
<i>Of Right Reason.</i>	<i>Ibid.</i>
<i>Of Pleasure, and that it is the Supream Good.</i>	<i>p. 112, &c.</i>
<i>The Standard of Pleasure drawn from Self-Love.</i>	<i>p. 113.</i>
<i>A Definition of Right Reason.</i>	<i>p. 115.</i>
<i>A Vindication of Providence in giving us Passions, which in their Nature are all good.</i>	<i>p. 120. &c.</i>
<i>Design'd by Providence, for our Service and Happiness.</i>	<i>p. 123.</i>

CONTENTS.

<i>Of Love, Hatred, Joy, Grief, Desire, &c.</i>	p. 124, 125, &c.
<i>The Cause of the ill Effect of the Passions.</i>	p. 127.
<i>The Stoicks false Remedies against the Passions.</i>	p. 128.
<i>The true Remedies of the Gospel.</i>	Ibid. &c.
<i>These exactly agreeable to Reason.</i>	p. 129.
<i>To the Laws of Nations.</i>	p. 130.
<i>And built on the Necessity of Humane Society.</i>	Ibid.
<i>Providence justified in the Confusion of Humane Affairs.</i>	p. 131.
<i>Its the necessary Effect of Free Agency of Mankind.</i>	p. 132.
<i>Providence draws from the Disorder, and Irregularity of Particulars, the Harmony, and Order of the Whole.</i>	p. 133, 134.
<i>Providence justified in the Misfortunes of the Good, and Success of the Bad.</i>	p. 134, &c.
<i>Of present Judgments for present Crimes.</i>	p. 139, &c.
<i>A Digression about Plays.</i>	p. 140, 141, &c.

The Contents of the Fourth Discourse.

*Of the Nature of the Humane Soul,
and its Immortality, &c.*

<i>Arguments against the Immortality of the Humane Soul.</i>	p. 145, &c.
<i>Against Apparitions.</i>	p. 146.
<i>Spirits can't appear, or become Visible.</i>	Ibid.
	Pliny

CONTENTS.

Pliny against the Immortality of the Soul.	p. 147, &c.
Pliny Answer'd.	p. 149, &c.
Death Falsly by Pliny, call'd the best Gift of Nature, since it is a total Deprivation of all her Gifts.	p. 150.
Reasons against the Soul's Immortality, confuted.	Ibid.
Cicero's Opinion of the Immortality of the Soul, and his Reasons for it.	p. 151, &c.
The Mortality of the Soul best confuted by a Consideration of the Nature of it.	p. 155.
How we discover the Existence of the Soul.	Ibid.
Its Definition.	p. 157.
Seven kinds of Thoughts, or Faculties of Thinking.	p. 156, &c.
Of the Understanding; the Pure Understanding, like the Eye.	Ibid. 159.
Of the Will.	Ibid.
Of Perception.	p. 160.
Of the Liberty of the Mind.	p. 161, &c.
The Difference of Liberty, and Spontaneity.	Ibid.
Of the Imagination, or Fancy.	p. 167, 168.
Of the Memory.	Ibid.
Of the Habits of the Mind.	p. 169.
That there is a plain Distinction betwixt the Soul, and the Body.	Ibid. &c.
Farther Proofs of the Soul's Immortality, by Reason.	p. 170, &c.
From Revelation.	p. 179.
The Dangerous Consequences of Second Thoughts.	p. 181, &c.
It can be of no Use, or Advantage to Mankind.	p. 182.
It is no Discovery of any Truth, because not amounting to so much as a Probability.	p. 183, &c.
The	

CONTENTS.

The Contents of the Fifth Discourse.

Of the Law of Nature against Mr. Hobbs, &c.

That Mr. Hobbs's Law of Nature tends to Atheism. p. 193, 194, &c.

He is civil to God in Words, but positively against him in Principle. p. 194, 195.

Mr. Hobbs supposes a State of Man, which never was, or ever could be. p. 196.

The Ridiculousness of his dissolving Right into Power. Ibid. 197, &c.

Universal Right always the same, and Man always a Rational Creature. p. 198, &c.

Mankind had a Progressive Rise, must therefore have ties of Relation and Blood, so that Mr. Hobbs's State of Confusion and War, could never have been in Nature. p. 199.

The true Reasons of Mr. Hobbs's System. Ibid.

While the Christian Philosopher Hobbs refers the Law of Nature to Chance, Cicero, a Heathen Philosopher, derives it from Providence. p. 200, &c.

No Arguing from the Corruptions of Customs, to the Purity of Nature. p. 209.

The Three Duties of the Law of Nature; to our selves, to our Neighbour, and to God. p. 210.

Our Duties to our selves may be deduc'd from self-Love, and self-Preservation. Ibid.

This teaches us to seek Pleasure and Safety, and to shun Pain and Insecurity. p. 211.

All

CONTENTS.

<i>All Intemperance against Self-Love.</i>	p. 212. &c.
<i>The Passions to be regulated by Self-Love.</i>	p. 213.
<i>Hence the Necessity of Providence the first Primitive Virtue, its Definition.</i>	p. 214.
<i>Man no Solitary Creature.</i>	Ibid.
<i>Our Safety and Pleasure depend on Society.</i>	Ibid.
<i>The Moral Virtues secure our Pleasure, and Safety, agreeable therefore to Self-Love.</i>	p. 215, &c.
<i>Our Duty towards our Neighbour.</i>	p. 218.
<i>Drawn from the Nature of Humane Society, that is, from the Will of God.</i>	Ibid.
<i>Mr. Hobbs's Law of Nature justifies all the Dishonesty, and Villany in the World.</i>	p. 218, 219, &c.
<i>That Humane Society is of Divine Institution.</i>	p. 220.
<i>A Necessity of Dominion and Property.</i>	p. 221, &c.
<i>Of Property of Women.</i>	p. 222.
<i>Individuals have a Right of Security against Individuals.</i>	p. 223.
<i>Divine Right of Government.</i>	Ibid.
<i>Our Duty to God.</i>	p. 224, 225.
<i>Adoration, Fear, and Love.</i>	p. 226.
<i>Moral Maxims of Piety.</i>	p. 234, 235.

The Contents of the Sixth and Last Discourse. Of the Christian Religion.

A <i>Recapitulation of the whole foregoing Discourses.</i>	p. 237, &c.
<i>The Aim of the Christian Religion.</i>	p. 240.
<i>The three Necessary Marks of true Miracles.</i>	Ibid.
<i>One</i>	

CONTENTS.

<i>One Real Mark of distinguishing True and False Miracles.</i>	p. 241.
<i>Second Mark.</i>	Ibid.
<i>Objections removed.</i>	p. 242.
<i>The Third Mark.</i>	p. 243.
<i>The Immediate and Efficient Cause of Miracles.</i>	p. 244.
<i>Marks of true Miracles from Moses.</i>	p. 249.
<i>From Christ.</i>	Ibid.
<i>Christ's Miracles have these Marks.</i>	p. 250.
<i>The Prophecies of Christ not sufficient to prove him the Messiah before his Death without his Miracles, with them Infallible.</i>	p. 251.
<i>God cannot lead Man into Errors.</i>	p. 252.
<i>The Meaning of God's tempting Man.</i>	p. 253.
<i>The Jews Inexcusable for not believing Christ to be the Messiah.</i>	Ibid. and p. 254.
<i>Of Reveal'd Religion.</i>	p. 255.
<i>Objections against it.</i>	Ibid.
<i>The Objections Answered.</i>	p. 256, &c.
<i>The Necessity of it.</i>	p. 258.
<i>The Universal Idolatry the Cause.</i>	p. 259, &c.
<i>Revelation the restoring the Law of Nature.</i>	p. 260.
<i>A View of the Revelation of Moses.</i>	p. 261, &c.
<i>A View of the Revelation of Christ.</i>	p. 266, &c.
<i>In the Gospel.</i>	Ibid. &c.
<i>In the Epistles.</i>	270, &c.
<i>Objections Answer'd.</i>	p. 275.
<i>The Insufficiency of Reason to do the Work of Revelation.</i>	p. 275, &c.
<i>Revelation therefore Necessary.</i>	p. 277, &c.
<i>Provd from a View of the State of the Pagan World.</i>	Ibid. and p. 280, &c.
<i>And of that of the Jews.</i>	p. 281, &c.
<i>Their mistaking the promises of the Law, &c.</i>	p. 282, &c.

CONTENTS.

*An Invincible Proof of the Miracles of Christ,
that the Doctrine they promote, is Founded
on Reason.* p. 285.

*The Success of the Doctrine, a Proof of the Mi-
racles.* p. 288.

That the Apostles could not be Impostors. p. 289.

*The Christian Religion not to be propagated by
Kings and Princes, and Worldly Powers, &c.* p. 290.

*The Bible the plainest, and most easie proof of the
Christian Religion.* p. 291.

*The Law of God the Characteristick of True
Religion.* p. 292.

Religion ought to know our Nature, &c. *ibid.* &c.

The Certainty of Rewards and Punishments. p. 294.

Their Reasonableness. p. 295.

Other Proofs of the Christian Religion. p. 296, &c.

The Bible the only Rule of Faith. p. 298, &c.

THE
INTRODUCTION.

I wish, that there was not too just a Ground for that severe Reflection on the present Age, *That Virtue has entirely forsaken these Parts of the World*: And that the Iroquois had less to justify the Answer they make, when we perswade them to *Christianity*, viz. *That they wonder, how the Christians can endeavour to Perswade them to a Religion, which their own Lives and Actions demonstrate, they do not believe themselves*. For a Man must be very Credulous indeed, to Imagine, that those People could believe the Truth of the *Christian Doctrines*, and the *Rewards and Punishments* promis'd, and threatn'd to the Observation, or Breach of them, and yet be guilty of all manner of Injustice to their Friends, as well, as Enemies, and Impieties to that God, and that *Christ*, whom they assert to be the Deliverers of those Doctrines, which their Practice dis-believes, or contemns.

These are Remarks indeed, too shocking to Dwell on, and too true to deny. But yet the Defect of the Practice is no Argument

ment of the Defect of the *Principles*. And tho' the Reason of most Men is not strong enough to work them into *Action*, yet no Man's is so weak, as not to see their demonstrative Excellence. And tho' Vice be so Impudent to thrust it self forward to the View, and Eye of the World, by its Dominion over too many of the Men of *Action*; yet, I hope, there are not a Few (tho' not so Obvious) who have not bow'd the Knee to *Baal*, nor abandon'd the chaste and sincere Beauties of Virtue, for the false Allurements, and Harlot Charms of Vice.

I hope I shall give an Instance of one in the following Account, who might justly, and in the whole Extent of the Word, be term'd an *Honest Man*, that is, one who lov'd God above all things, and his Neighbour, as himself, and was by Consequence a good Christian, a good Patriot, and a good Friend.

His younger Days were not spent in a Lazy, Inactive Contemplation, but in the Service of his Country, and his Acquaintance, in doing good Deeds, both *Publick* and *Private*, which is certainly the truest Devotion, and most pleasing to God, as being the greatest, and most infallible Proof of a perfect, and lively Faith, testify'd by his Works. For our Saviour has resolv'd all our Duty into *Action*, making what we do the distinctive Mark betwixt a Hypocrite, and a sincere Believer, when he tells us, that not every one who says to me, Lord! Lord! shall enter into the Kingdom of Heaven, but he who does the Will of my Father, who is in Heaven. The plain and

and obvious Sense of which is, that Practice and Action are necessary to Salvation; for indeed, the worst of Men (like the *Pharisees* of Old) may make more Noise, and more dazzle the Eyes of the ill Judging World, with a loud, formal Devotion, and a false, ostentatious Faith, than the best of Christians, whose Practice and Belief bear Witness for each other to the tremendous *Discerner of Hearts*; with whom only the truly Good Men are accepted, who reduce his Commandments to Practice.

Such was our Hero, such from his Youth; and ev'n now, when Old Age had robb'd him of that Vigour, and Strength of Body, which was necessary for those Fatigues, which his Virtue perpetually Engag'd him in, he still continu'd his Zeal for the Advancement of the Glory of God, the Good of his Country, and Benefit of his Neighbour. This was the Reason, that he sought not the pleasing Receses of a Country Retirement, there to throw off all Thoughts of every one but himself, but made Choice of the City of *London* for his Residence, as a Scene more Productive of Accidents, Errors and Vices, injurious to Man's present and future Happiness, and by Consequence yielding greater, and more frequent Opportunities of his being beneficial to Man, and serviceable to God, and to his Holy Religion.

His Mornings were assign'd to Devotion, and Study, and those parts of the Afternoons, which were necessary to unbend the great Intensity of his Mind, he spent in such

IV

The Introduction.

Places of Resort, as promis'd the fairest and most frequent Occasions of promoting of Virtue.

'Twas in one of the Coffee-Houses he us'd, that he accidentally fell into a Conversation with *Pleonexus*, a fordid Miser, who had by a very false Maxim liv'd miserably *Poor*, to die *Rich*; with whom, after a Discourse of Indifferent Matters he insensibly slid into Observations on the Folly of *Avarice*, which he took care to Repeat, as often as Chance, or Custom brought them together, but still with so artful a Turn, as not to disgust him with an Acquaintance, he might else think wou'd prove Injurious to a Vice, of which he was so Infinitely fond.

CHRISTOPHIL (for by that Name we must know our *Honest Man*) in the same Place, pick'd out another Patient, who was not in a much less desperate Condition, than *Pleonexus*, whose Temper, notwithstanding, gave much more hopes of a Cure. His Malady proceeded from want of Education, and a Series of ill Conversation, join'd with the hot Thoughtlessness of Youth. These had Infected him with the wild Notions of *Atheism*. His Life was a Circle of Drunkenness, and Debauchery, and therefore he struck in with Principles, that so much flatter'd his deprav'd Inclination, which Thought, and juster Notions forbad the Indulging. As soon as he rose (which was generally about three, or four in the Afternoon) his Custom was, to come to that Place, to allay the Fumes, and Aches of the last Night's Debauch, with Coffee,

fee, and this being the Hour of *Christophil's* Resort, often Engag'd him in Discourses against the Folly of a loose and thoughtless Life, full of long Pains, with but short Intervals of very unsincere Pleasures, and noisie Diversions. On which he reflected in Terms so agreeable, and with a Railery so Just, and Poignant, that he often so far touch'd the young Gentleman, as to win him from his Tavern Companions to a sober Evening, and a Regular Hour. For he listen'd to *Christophil* with an Attention, that witness'd good Sense, and a Friend to Truth, when he had the Opportunity of hearing it. I shall therefore here call him *Philalethes*.

Christophil having thus lightly play'd on both their Follies with the Air of Wit and Pleasantry, and by treating *Pleonexus* sometimes with a Dish of Coffee, gain'd his Intimacy, thought it now high time to begin to be Serious, and to attempt in good Earnest the Conversion of both the Practical, and Speculative Atheist.

To this End he Invited both of them to a House he had a little way out of Town; to have by that means, an uninterrupted Opportunity of Compleating so good a Design. Both very readily accepted the Invitation. *Philalethes*, because he began to have a particular Regard for *Christophil*, and *Pleonexus*, because he hop'd by this means to save his Daily Expences, and live well at another Man's Cost.

The Day appointed comes, the Company is

VI

The Introduction.

Coach'd, and one Summer's Day brings them to their Journey's End. The Fatigue of which being over, and his Guests having taken a View of the Country thereabouts, and a Taste of all the Innocent Pleasures it afforded, (to put them in the more easie Humour) *Christophil* one Day propos'd their Retiring into a Grotto in his Garden, as the most agreeable Place to pass away the hottest Hour, or two of the Day. The Motion was approv'd by his Guests, who being now Seated, *Philalethes* taking Occasion from the Place, began the Praises of that Country; the fine Structure, Situation, and Prospect of the House; the beautiful Contrivance of the Gardens, and the Generosity, and Freedom of the Entertainment; concluding with a Panegyrick of the noble Hospitality of *Christophil's* Temper. But *Pleonexus*, ev'n in his Praise, show'd a Miser's Soul, not approving the very Generosity he had the Benefit of, which threw *Philalethes* on an Invective against Avarice; which was as vehemently oppos'd by *Pleonexus* in a violent Declamation against Prodigality, for so he call'd every thing but a Miserly Parsimony. He particularly harangu'd it against the Thoughtless Debauches of Youth, and prefer'd the Pleasure of getting Money, to that of spending it, according to the manner of the Wits of the Town. After some time had been spent in this Dispute, *Christophil* interpos'd in this manner.

You are both in the Right (said *Christophil*) where you attack the Follies, and Vices of either Youth, or Age. 'Tis extremely true, that the Follies of Youth in a Thoughtless,

less, Debauch'd Life, are contrary to Reason; nor is it less true, that those of Age, in a blind Avarice, are below a Man. That both may perhaps find a Private and Temporary Satisfaction, I will not here Controvert; Nor is it so easie to decide in Favour of either, if, as *Philalæthes* supposes, there be no God; for it will be a hard Matter to convince us, which is the greatest, or the noblest Pleasure, and the most Rational Aim, and Conduct of Life (without owning a God) as to prove, which is the best and noblest of Food, when every Palate will at last be its own Judge, and prefer that most, which pleases most, and with Reason too, since Nature has given such a Variety of Tastes, and set no Standard of Excellence among them.

But if you once (as every Rational Man must) admit a *God*, he sets an Infallible Standard of Excellence in all our Actions. For by admitting him, you destroy Avarice, Drunkenness, and all manner of Debauchery, and Excess. For that always is contrary to *Reason*, and by Consequence disallow'd by God himself; who, in his Infinite Goodness and Wisdom, has given us that Guide, to conduct us in all our Actions, and by that, has made us Judges of even his own *Law*, and *Existence*. For it is by *REASON*, that we have any Notice of *God*, and Reason, that Demonstrates that Being, with equal Evidence proves the high Justice of his Laws, and the Folly, and Injustice of our breaking

VIII

The Introduction.

them, either to God, our Neighbour, or our selves.

I am glad (replied *Philaethes*) to hear, you declare so much for *Reason* in these Enquiries. For there is nothing so shocking to a Man of Sense, as to hear, that he must first cease to be a Man, before he can be a good *Christian*: And I must be so free with you, as to assure you, that nothing has more encreas'd the Number of *Deists*, than this Profess'd Enmity to *Humane Reason*.

It is the Glory of the Protestant Religion (return'd *Christophil*) that, in it, you must not cease to be a Man, to be a good *Christian*: But on the contrary you become more a Man, exert the highest Efforts of *Reason*, and exalt it to almost a Divine Perfection. Here it is like the *Ethnic's* Golden Chain fasten'd to the Chair of *Jupiter*, or rather like *Jacob's Ladder*, reaching up to the *Empyrean* Heav'n, while on its Rounds the Soul Ascends to its Divine Original, and Omnipotent Maker, opening a Passage to him by a Pure, Holy, and Soveraignly Rational Religion. Our Church destroys not the Fundamentals of *all Religion*, *REASON*, but raises it to the highest Perfection, that it is capable of in this World: And thus binds us to God, with an Indissoluble Knot, which cannot be loos'd, but by cutting it violently asunder with the Sword of a most Perverse Folly.

If ever you make me a Convert (reply'd *Philalethes*) it must be by *Reason*. For take that away, and what absurd Opinion is not Justifiable? Or what Mark is there indeed of Absurdity at all. It puts all Opinions on the same bottom, by depriving them of that Certainty, which is absolutely necessary for the satisfying the Humane Mind. It levels Wisdom and Folly; Vice and Virtue; Man and Beast.

Had you been in all things (rejoin'd *Christophil*) so Faithful to *Reason*, you wou'd not need at this time, any Arguments to convince you of those Errors, nothing cou'd Betray you to, but a Rebellion against your Reason.

I confess (interrupted *Philalethes*) that I have been too much a Truant in my Reflections on a Point, so worthy a serious Consideration, as the Being of a God; and I may, perhaps, by a too Criminal Levity of Youth, taken up with the first Appearances, that struck in with those darling Follies, that bore me away; but, Sir, thus much of the Man, I yet retain, to know, that I ought not to surrender my self to any Opinion, that is not Justifiable by *Reason*.

No, no, *Philalethes* (said *Christophil*) far be it from me to exclude Reason from our Religious Enquiries; for that has open'd a way for the Introducing the Innovations of Designing Men, and their Imposing

ling their Notions on the World, as the Dictates of the *Holy Spirit*. That has rais'd all the Schisms, Wars, and Devastations, that have happen'd these Seventeen Hundred Years on account of Religion; and converted the Gospel of Peace into a Gospel of Blood and Destruction. But *REASON* brings all Opinions to the Sacred and Infallible Test of the Word of God, and the Divine Attributes; for, whatever Clashes with those, are absolutely false, nay cannot possibly be true, but plainly discover the Impetuous Passions of Men.

Reason certainly (interrupted *Philalethes*) never deceives, nor is deceiv'd.

If justly follow'd (said *Christophil*) and unbiass'd by any Prejudice, or Passion; for then it will know when to decide, and when to submit; for, as *St. Austin* says, *Reason* would never submit, did it not find, that there was some Just Cause for its Submission; and it is but Just, that it submit, when it Judges it self, that it ought to do so. For if *Reason* never submitted, says *Mr. Pascal*, there would be nothing Supernatural, or Myste-rious in Religion, and if it never was to be Judge, Religion would be Absurd, and Ridiculous.

I take this first Part (said *Philalethes*) to be laid down as a *Salvo* for Transubstantiation, and some other Tenets of the *Roman Church*. I hope you are not for Muzzling up Reason, when you think it against

against you, and then letting it loose, when agreeable to the Opinions you have a Mind to advance? At that rate, it is a ready Answer to the Objectors against the most absurd Superstitions, that here *Reason* must submit, it is *Supernatural*, and *Mysterious*. It touches not me at all, to hear in their Declamations, these *Muzzlers* of Reason tell us, that our Reason cannot discover the Causes of common Appearances in Nature, when I am under no Obligation, much less under so great a one, as Eternal Salvation, to believe aright of them; they are things that contribute not at all to my Happiness, either here, or hereafter; and therefore 'tis wholly indifferent to me, what they are.

I shall show you, (reply'd *Christophil*) in its proper Place, that *Reason* is not forsaken in the most *Mysterious* Part of our Holy Religion. Nay, that Reason Judges of that *Mysterious* Part, and fixes, and justifies our Belief of it. But to do it now, wou'd be to anticipate what is more proper for a *Christian* to hear, than for one, that is not yet arriv'd to the Knowledge of a *God*. Of which, when I have convinc'd you, made him manifest to you, as far as he has allow'd *Reason* to reveal him; demonstrated the Immortality of the Soul; let you see the Law of Nature, and prov'd to you the Divinity of the *Christian Religion* in general, and look'd into Particulars, I shall plainly Demonstrate to you the *Reasonableness* of the *Mysterious* Part

Part of Religion, without making it cease to be *Mysterious*. For to what Purpose would it be for me to talk to you about the *Mysteries* of the *Christian Religion*, before you are satisfy'd of the very Fundamental of all Religions, *G O D*? To which I shall now advance.

When you have prov'd that, (interrupted *Philaethes*) consider'd the Soul, taken a View of the Law of Nature, and built the Christian Religion in general on this Bottom; I do not see, that I am ever the nearer the End of my Search, but must fall again to a State of Infidelity, or, at least, of an Insuperable Uncertainty, what Faith amidst so vast a Variety I shall choose; since they Clash with one another, as much as with Infidelity it self, whilst each delivers its Opposite to the Devil. So that after all your Pains, and your curious Disquisitions, I may be as far from Heaven, as at present. Besides, if the Enquiry into the *Christian Religion* be as difficult, as all the Defenders of it, that I have met with, make it, it is far greater, than I can ever hope to surmount.

You suppose the Difficulty far greater (answer'd *Christophil*) than it is; and if you were a Lover of *Truth*, you wou'd not think the Fatigue of attaining her a Burthen so great, as you seem to apprehend it; especially, a *Truth*, on which so much depends, as on that of Religion, *viz.* Your Happiness both here, and hereafter.

A Bribe worthy of all your Pains, and Labour to obtain.

I grant it (reply'd *Philalethes*) if at last attainable. But if, as it has hitherto been made, our Enquiry into Religion require so voluminous a Search, so critical a Skill in so many Languages, to judge truly of the Quotations and Authorities, how shall I, who know no Language but my own, who am Ignorant of all the Subtilties of the School, and the nice Distinctions of Philosophy, and Divinity, and Millions more with me, who want both Ability and Time to go through the Enquiry, ever hope to arrive at the End? And if that Enquiry be not necessary, but an entire Dependance on our Teachers, all that is requir'd, that Justifies the Wrong, as well as the Right Opinion; for there is scarce any Religion so absurd, which the Teachers have not some specious Arguments to defend, and those very Cogent, when back'd with the Force, and Prejudice of Education; as is evident from the Followers of all the Sects at this very Day.

Your Difficulty is reasonable (said *Christophil*) and has been us'd by many well-minded Men, and more urg'd by those, who catch hold of any thing, that may render the Enquiry into Truth difficult, and unsurmountable. The *Deists* have Argu'd, that is contrary to our Reason to believe, that to be in the *Right Faith* is
ne-

necessary to *Salvation*, and yet, that it should be almost Impossible, fairly to arrive at a perfect Knowledge of which is *Right*, and which is *Wrong*, without Perusing all the Controversies since the Rise of *Christianity*; for this wou'd be, (say they) to make God unjust, in requiring Things from us, which we cannot Perform. For, (continue they) either a *True Faith* is necessary to Salvation, or it is not; if *not*, then it matters not what we believe, and by Consequence, all Religions are *Indifferent*. If it be *Necessary*, then God must in his Justice, have given us an absolute Certainty in Judging of all, to distinguish the *Right* from the *Wrong*, and that to every one of the Ignorant as well, as to the Learned.

First, I might tell you, (reply'd *Christophil*) that those who are good Christians, never Judge People of any Religion, but allow to all, that believe in Christ *Jesus* our Lord, the Benefit of his Death, if they keep his Commandments, But I will give you a very plain and easie Method of Enquiry, without running over all those Volumes of the Fathers, and the Controversies of all Ages, which seem so much to Alarm you. For all these Controversies sprung from Men's Comments on that Word of God, which we have yet to peruse without them, and from which alone, I shall convince you of the Certainty, and Excellence of the *Christian* Religion, and that in so short a manner, as to lead you through the

the whole in a few Hours Conference. And 'tis hard if every Man has not so much time to bestow on a Thing of so great an Importance.

That indeed (reply'd *Philalæthes*) is worth our Hearing, and I am not such a Prodigal of my Time, as not to be Master of so much, for so Useful and Noble an End. And if you make out all this, I shall have reason to Bless the Accident, that brought me acquainted with you.—— But you see we have already talk'd *Pleonexus* asleep.

Here is, alas! a Proof (said *Christophil*) of the Curse of Avarice! How dull is a Miser to all things, that are worthy the Thoughts of a Man?

What ho! *Pleonexus*, (cry'd out *Philalæthes*, and shook him) asleep! Is your Zeal for the Reformation of others so strong, and your Care for the Reforming your self so weak!

Pleonexus attempting to make some Excuse, *Christophil* Propos'd to remove to a Pleasing Arbour, that survey'd the whole Garden, and the Fields around it, and where a gentle Breeze of Wind had free Access; which might enliven, and drive away those Drowsie Vapours, which might else oppress *Pleonexus*, during a Discourse, that was not the most Entertaining to him. To this Place therefore,

XVI *The Introduction.*

XVI *The Introduction.*

fore, they Adjourn'd their Dispute. Being arriv'd there, and seated, it was agreed, to begin with the Proof, of a Deity. That being allow'd on all hands the Foundation of all Religion.

THE
Deists MANUAL,

OR, A
Rational Enquiry into the
Christian Religion.

The First DISCOURSE.

That there is a God.

Philalethes (said Christophil) you, that are so intirely govern'd by your Reason, pray let us hear you justify your self, that you can so much, as doubt the Being of a God, and yet pretend to Reason? I confess to me there seem so many, and such gross Absurdities in this Infidelity, that I cou'd never perswade my self, that any Man in his right Senses, cou'd ever entertain so wild a Notion.

I have, you know (return'd *Philaltes*) confels'd my neglect of a through Enquiry into this Point, and my Easiness in taking up Opinions most favourable to the irregular Pleasure, I was led away to, by the Impetuosity of my Youth, and Temper, and the fashionable Mode of my Conversation. Yet that I may the better be convinc'd, and remove all the Scruples I have had, as to the Existence, and Providence of a *Deity*, I shall freely propose them.

The way indeed (reply'd *Christophil*) to arrive at perfect Health, is to Probe the Wound to the Bottom; and the way to be secure from a Relapse is to go through such a Course, as may wholly remove all Remains of the Distemper. But as I shall expect attention from you, so I promise a free unprejudic'd hearing of what you have to say.

I beg you *Philaltes* (interrupted *Pleonex*) to be Cautious in your Expressions, and avoid that Prophaneness and Blasphemy, I am afraid a Subject of this nature will occasion. 'Tis true I wou'd willingly hear, what you can say on so difficult a Point, but I wou'd have a Decency, and Decorum observ'd, as far as a perfect Liberty of the Enquiry will bear.

Alas! *Pleonex* (reply'd *Christophil*) out of the Abundance of the Heart the Mouth speaks. You are covetous of such a Refuge of your Actions from the Fear and Dread of an All-seeing, and just Power; and, like the *Epicureans*, you complain of us, that defend the Existence of a *Providential Deity*, because, *We impose on your Necks an Eternal Yoke, whom you must fear Night and Day; for who wou'd not be afraid of a God, that is so curious and*
busy

busse (to use their Phrase) that He sees, considers, and observes all things, Imagining all things to belong to himself. Itaque imposuistis in cervicibus nostris sempiternum Dominum, quem dies, ac noctes timeremus. Quis enim non timeat omnia providentem & cogitantem & animadvertentem, & omnia ad se pertinere putantem curiosum, & plenum negotijs Deum. They are the words of *Velleius* the Epicurean in *Cicero's Nature of the Gods*. So necessary a Consequence did the Pagan *Atheist* think the Fear of God to be of his Providence. Since therefore the Speculative *Atheists* of Old were sensible, that a Belief of Providence naturally produc'd the fear of God, we must conclude that our practical *Atheists* do not believe a God, or his Providence.

I confess (said *Philalethes*) that motive is one of the strongest for our Opinion; for the rational Aim of a Man's Life being Pleasure, and Happiness, how can he enjoy one quiet Moment, when he believes, that all his Actions, every word, nay and his very Thoughts are perpetually expos'd to the View of an Omnipotent Being; who, as he has the Power, may have the Will to punish us for what we think, and what the Mode, and Custom of the World has now made, meer Gallantries and Qualifications of a Gentleman.

To remove this uneasiness therefore, and that with reason, I think there is no need to have Recourse to that Being, which you call God, when that, which you require him for, may rationally be done without him. The World and Man, are the two things, whence the best Arguments are drawn, for the Existence of a Deity. But first how cou'd God, whom you suppose a pure

pure Spirit, act on matter so far, as to give it its Formation, Order, and Motion? Can we not with much more Ease carry our Mind into the Immense, and unbounded Extension of Space, where enlarging it self, and considering it with a just Intensity, after it has travell'd far and wide, it will find no shoar, or Boundary whereon to rest it self. In this vast unbounded space of Latitudes, Longitudes, and Altitudes are flying about in a continual Motion, a sort of little, and almost imperceptible Bodies, some Sharp, some Pointed, some Round, some Angled, some Crooked, and as it were with little Clawes. Now granting this Motion, will any one deny, that it is possible, that in the Process of eternal Duration, these Atomes, by their Nature tenacious of what they caught hold of, might by degrees form this World, and bring it into this Order, in which we now behold it. Here was need of no Scaffolding, no Architect to build this mighty Fabrick, no Divine Energy to reduce it to Form; Nature did the work assisted by Chance, who every day produce new Worlds, in that vast extended space, which has no End, and which admits no Bounds.

Now as for Man, *Lucretius* (for my reading, which I'm oblig'd to the Celebrated Translation) furnishes a very pretty Conjecture of his being produc'd by the Earth like Plants. But why might it not be the Effect, of the same Cause which gave the greater World its Being. Or might he not be generated by the Sun and the Earth? We see daily several Animals are so: And who can tell the secret Nature of things? But till we do know that, I can see no Reason why it should follow, that because we know not how it is, therefore it is not. But

But admitting, that these suppositions, how plausible soever, shou'd not be true; yet give me leave to add a Consideration, which I conceive not very easy to answer. What if this World was Eternal? Had no beginning, and by Consequence shall have no End? Is there any one, that can confute the Opinion? Who was present at the Foundation of the Universe? And who will boast themselves more Ancient, than the World with any hopes of being believ'd? But to be a credible witness of the World's Creation, it is necessary, that he had a Being before the World was, which is too absurd an Opinion to pass on the most Ignorant.

Then for *Man*—you assert, that Humane-kind, that now inhabits the Earth did not always exist, as all Histories make appear, for there is no History of any Nation, that does not give a Beginning to Man, this they not only testify but imply the same thing by, the Series of what they deliver, for there is no History, that pretends to give an Account, of above 6000 years or thereabouts.

This being the first step of your Progression, which remov'd, all the rest falls to the Ground, give me leave to tell you, that every Thing, that is not self-evident shou'd be prov'd or rejected, but this, that is laid down for your Foundation, is so far from self-evident, that it admits of a very considerable Controversy: For tho' all the Chronology we have does not go beyond that number of years; yet this cannot take in all Nations: And if it did the Argument in my Opinion, is not of a sufficient Force, since it is not improbable, that there may have been Histories

which run up vastly farther, tho' now lost; a Hundred Thousand Volumnes, perthing (as I have read) in one Library at once in *Agypt*; and what Accounts of things might we have had among them? We have scarce any History of that once Powerful and Noble City of *Carthage*, for of its Rise and Growth, we have meer Conjecture or plain Fables, and that very little, which we do know of it, we have from the *Roman* Writers (I having read all of them, that are in the *English* Tongue) and what we find there for the most part is but what relates to those Wars and Negotiations it had with that people. If a *Roman* Conquest was sufficient so intirely to suppress the Records of so great a Nation; what may we not expect from the voracious Teeth of an infinite Number of years, or the Ravages of Nations much less polish'd, and Curious?

But perhaps many ancient People keep no Records at all, as we find both in *Africa* and *America* at this day: And the uncertainty of the Greek Chronology before the *Olympiads* shows us, that they came but late to a regular Observation of Time. Nor can the *Roman* Histories give us any Assurance, or Certainty, when, or by Whom *Rome* was Built: *Livy* tells us by *Romulus*, and *Remus*, *Salust* says by the *Trojans* and concludes it uncertain. I know that, as to the Time of its Foundation they are more positive, beginning their *Era* from the building of their City, tho' I cannot think, there can be an absolute Certainty of their Computation, since, to be sure, that was begun several years after its Foundation. For a People collected from the most Ignorant, and most Profligate part of the surrounding Nations

tions, cou'd have little Consideration of things of this Nature, till Time and the Benefit of civil Society, had refin'd their Manners, and Understanding.

But I think it is a weak Argument, because we know nothing before the date, of these Six Thouland years, that therefore there was nothing before. For since our Correspondence with *China*, Records have been discover'd of four, or 6000 years more ancient date, than our Creation of the World; and who knows but, that some other Nations may be found out hereafter, that may go farther, and so on? Nay the *Chinese* themselves in a Traditional Account tell us, that the Posterity of *Panzen*, and *Panzona* inhabited the Earth 90000 years; the *Bramins*, of *Guzerat* said in the year 1639, that there had past 326669 Ages, each consisting of a certain Number of years. Nay the *Egyptians* in the Time of King *Amasis*, who was Contemporary with *Cyrus*, had the Records and Story of 13000 years, and a Succession of 330 Kings, which shews that, they were not Lunar years.

But you may say, that after all these extended Accounts they still settle some Beginning of the World. I grant that they pretend not to have Records of more, but it follows not from thence, that there had been no other Ages before, whose Records, if they kept any, were lost. Nay it was impossible to find Materials to convey down all the Records of a never beginning World, if the several perpetual Revolutions, the Earth has been always Subject to, had spar'd them. But the Inhabitants of the Earth, have continually chang'd their Abodes from one part of it to another.

other. And in those Removals have destroy'd all the Records and Footsteps of the People, that possess'd those Regions before them. Besides the Sea, and Earth, have in Process of Time chang'd Places, and so swept away those Memorials of past Times, that the Ravages of Men had left. So that in the Destruction of People, and Countries, these several ways, it is no wonder that all Records are lost, nay it was impossible to preserve them.

Lastly the Languages, and Characters altering, they cou'd have been of no use to Posterity, if they had escap'd the the Havock of Time. Thus it is, in the Kingdom of *Triguemaen* in the hither *Etheopia* in *Africa*, wherein the City of *Caxumo* there are now *Obelisks* full of engrav'd Characters which none of the *Africans* can read, as there are also in the Coast of *Sasola*.

Thus if the World may either be made from a fortuitous Concourse of Atoms, that is the several particles of Matter joining themselves together; (for I can't conceive but that you must grant matter, at least Eternal, *for out of Nothing, Nothing comes*) or if the World may be thought Eternal from what I have said, I have justify'd my Opinion. But if my Arguments are not built on a solid Ground, I shall be glad to correct my Error by hearing it confuted, and Juster and more certain Notions advanc'd, and prov'd by you (O *Christophil!*) Whose Gravity, Goodness and years, have led you to a more strickt Enquiry into these matters.

I protest (*assum'd Pleonexus*) I did not think, there were such cogent Arguments for a Thing, that, at its first proposition, seem'd so very absurd.

And

And as one Accident often produces another, so these Reasons of *Philalethes* have given Occasion to me to call to Mind some Doubts, I have had in Relation to this Matter, which I also will propose, if it seem agreeable to *Christophil*, and he be not already burthen'd with difficulties not easily to be remov'd.

We easily give Credit (reply'd *Christophil*) to what we desire, *Pleonexus* after a Life of so many years Continuance, cou'd never be taken, with such light, and superficial Reasonings, as only can amuse a Boy, or such, as *Philalethes* confesses himself to have been, a Thoughtless Receiver of Positions agreeable to the Manner of his living.

Pardon me Sir (answer'd *Pleonexus*) and hear what I have to say, and then judge of my Credulity. For tho' I believe a God, yet it will not be amiss to expose those Difficulties, which occur about it least they shou'd grow, and strengthen by remaining, and take too firm a Root, to be easily hereafter eradicated. I confess, I have Passions, and Passions perhaps, as violent as other Men, and these give me Reason to think, that we are more indebted to Chance, than to a wise, and Omnipotent Providence for our Creation.

What have we in us (our Minds I mean, taking the most Noble part for the whole,) that shou'd make us think our Original better? We have Passions which master our weak Reasoning against them, nay which compel, and range all the Power and Force of Reason on their side, to justify their Gratification. Reason, that perhaps argued so warmly against them in their Absence, with a servile Treachery turns to their side, as soon, as they appear. Reason therefore
which

which is never Consistent with it self, and always different in each Man, tho' you count it the greatest Benefit bestow'd on Mankind what is it? Does it not justify All, that is Ill? When any Man thinks fit, either for Pleasure, or Profit, to transgress the Bounds of our suppos'd Duties, *Reason* is ready to defend the Offence both to the Transgressor and to the Publick, excusing the Error, and justifying the Mischief: And in most Points indeed, the Circumstances of humane Affairs in the Customs, Manners, Interests and Inclinations of the People, the *Wrong* has infinitely the more invincible Motives, to be Embrac'd, than the *Right*: I mean, than what some Men have call'd the *Right*. For the Busy, and active part of Mankind; those that thrive in, and have a share in governing the World, see things with a much different View, from those, who only depend upon the Dreams of Contemplation, and so form singular, impractical, and melancholly Notions of *Right* and *Wrong*, which never have, nor ever can be brought into the Practice of Mankind,

I will not here examine, which of the two are in the *Right*, but granting what I suppose, you will insist on, that the Practice of the World is not the Rule, to draw the Precepts of Morality by, yet you must grant me this, that all this Confusion of *Right* and *Wrong*, is owing to your magnify'd REASON. Which you cannot deny therefore, to be the Source of all the Wickedness, and all the Disquiets, which every where and in all Circumstances, render the Life of Mankind so very unhappy. These Evil effects of *Reason*, every House, every Town, every Nation

tion is extremely sensible of, every Family, every Community feels it; and of which the Pulpit, the Bar, the Camp, and the Court, each day afford us fresh Instances. In short all Humankind may observe, that as Reason directs us to *Right*, so it misleads us to *Wrong*. To the first it guides *Few* and *Seldom*, to the last it hurrys *Many* and *Often*. So that it were far more to be wish'd, that we had no Reason at all, than to have it so much to our Detriment. As it would be much better for a Patient to be entirely without Wine, than for the doubtful Hopes of Recovery to take, in it, his certain Perdition; since Wine in Distempers oftner hurts, than assists.

Thus I know not but, that it had been much better for Mankind to have been without that quick, spirituous motion of the Thoughts, Wit and Discernment, which we call *Reason* (it being pernicious to *Many*, advantageous to *Few*) than to have had it in so large a Proportion. If therefore you should draw any conclusion, that the Supreme Power takes any Care of Man, from this Benefit or Gift of *Reason*, it will only hold where *Right Reason* is given, which extremely Few can boast to be their Lot. For the Many either by the Weakness of their Faculties, the Force of Passions, and the Tyranny of Custom, and Education have not the least Pretensions to it. But it seems not agreeable to that Divine Nature, we suppose the Maker of all Mankind, particularly to indulge only a small and scanty Number of his Works, and leave the largest Proportion without any Regard. It is therefore much more probable, that all this is owing to our blind and Thoughtless Original *CHANCE*, than to an intelligent

gent Being, who must have been more just and impartial.

This Argument I know is obviated by saying, that the ill use, that is made of the Benefit, is no Proof of the Insufficiency of the Favour or the Good Will of the Donor. The Father that leaves his Son a plentiful Fortune, which his Follies and Extravagance run out, is no less a provident Benefactor to his Son, than if he had made a good use of it. Who denies this? Or where, indeed, is there any Parallel in the Cases? Many have done us service by their Attempts against us; and a stab aim'd at our Lives, has sometimes only lanc'd an Imposthume; on the contrary, many who have design'd us a service, have even by their friendly Endeavours undone us. Thus the Gift is no Proof of the Intentions of the Giver; nor is the good Use, the Receiver has made of it any Argument, that it was bestow'd with a benevolent design. For what Lust, what Ambition, what Oppression, what Deceit, or in short what sort of Wickedness soever do we undertake, without Consideration, and Contrivance? That is without that Motion, and Agitation of the Mind, and Thought, which we call *Reasoning*? For all *Opinion* is *Reason*, which if true, we call *Right Reason*, if false, *Ill Reason*.

But this Gift, which you derive from God, is merely *Reason* abstractly consider'd from the good or bad Use that is made of it, which we directly derive from our selves. Nor is *Reason* given us by God, as a Legacy is by a Parent, for what more pernicious cou'd Heaven have bestow'd if it had design'd us the greatest mischief? What wou'd become of all the Seeds, and Fewel of Injustice,

justice, Intemperance, Fear, and the like, if Reason did not assist our Vices? What shall I say of the subtle Contrivances of Sharpers, Prostitutes; of the Revengeful, the Ambitious, the Debauchee, Impostors, &c.? Do any of them pursue or accomplish their Vices without the Assistance of Reason? Whence come Flattery, Deceit, Treachery, Bribery, false Judgments, and false Reports? Whence private, whence publick Robbery, whence the betraying of our Country? Breaking all the Bonds of Nature and Religion, with a calm unconcernedness, but from Reason? Do not all these retire under the Protection, and the specious Defences of Reason? Can we therefore, imagine, that this Food, and Nourishment of Evils came from a God? And from such a God, as you suppose? Who if he has given us Reason, has given us Malice too; for Malice is a cunning, deceitful Reason to contrive Mischief. Whence it follows, that Fraud, with all its attendant Wickedness is the effect of Reason! Oh! that Heaven had never bestow'd such a Gift on Man, as this *Subtily*, he boasts so much of under the specious Name of Reason, which very few make a right Use of, and those that do, are oppress'd by those, who do not. But innumerable are the latter. So that this Divine Gift of Reason and Consideration seems bestow'd on Mankind for Fraud, and Deceit, and not for Goodness.

But you often reply, that it is not the Fault of the Giver, but the Receiver, not in the Goodness of God, but in the Wickedness of Man. Providence shou'd then have given us a Reason, that excluded Crimes, and Transgressions. And where
it

is there any Room for this Errour of Providence? Man leaves his Estate to his Heir, with hopes, that it is well bestow'd, tho' he may be deceiv'd because he is but a Man, Judging by Appearances, and guided by his blind Inclinations. But how can God be deceiv'd? And if, as you contend, Man perverts the Reason, which Providence has given him, with a benevolent Intention, into Malice, it is far better that he had never receiv'd the Benefit. As that Physician is inexcuseable who suffers his Patient the Use of Wine, when he knows he will make an ill use of it, and so be the Cause of his Death; so is your Providence accusable for giving *Reason* to those, who, he knew, wou'd use it so perversely, and with so great an Improbability.

If Man were made by a Good, Beneficent Being, that Omnipotent Being ought to have made all Good, or ought at least to take peculiar care of those, that are good. But the Day, nor the Year wou'd not afford time enough to enumerate all those, whose Goodness and Honesty have been no Defence against the Assaults, and Oppressions of the Wicked, and of the Wicked, that have liv'd and dy'd in all the Good Fortune they cou'd Desire, and in the Fulness of their own Wishes.

The World therefore (pursu'd *Philaltes*) being thus evidently govern'd by *CHANCE*, may, as reasonably be suppos'd to be form'd by the same. For how can we imagine such a Being, as you describe God, sufficient to form all the Universe, and yet not able to govern and regulate Mankind? What do I say of their Government? Nay, What is there in their very Constitution, and Temperment to declare an all-wise Cause

Cause of their Being? How contradictory to the Notion of an Infinite Goodness, and Justice is it to give Men Appetites, that require one thing, and Laws that command what is directly opposite? To make the satisfying the Appetites, which he has given us of his own Accord, without our seeking, punishable, with eternal Death? If it was just to give us those Passions, it can never certainly be unjust to satisfy 'em; else they were given us only to make us Unhappy, which is contrary to the Nature of such a Being, as you suppose.

Again, who can see how the Affairs of this World are administer'd, and yet believe them Conducted by the Providence, and Care of an All-Powerful, and All-Wise, and All-Good Being? Are not the most Worthless still most successful? Will a brave, or naked Honesty recommend you to the Great? Or defend your just Cause against the Wicked Oppressor, if Money be wanting? Or indeed does not Wrong always prevail, where it is back'd by Interest, Power or Money? And are not Humane Events perfectly fortuitous, when the wisest Counsel, and best concerted Measures shall be disappointed by Treachery, and sometimes by a meer Accident, that cou'd not be foreseen?

True (interrupted *Pleonexus*) and we find, that there is nothing sure here but the Power of Money. Propose the most useful Design in the World to your Statesmen, and the most beneficial to the Publick, and if it bring no Advantage to their own Pockets, or if it flatter not the Party they are engag'd in; or if it require the least Divorce from their Pleasure, or Interest, or their Biggotry to their particular Follies and Amusements,

amusements, the Projector feeds on fair words, and the Publick Good is scandalously slighted. But if you propole the most destructive thing to the Common-Wealth, with a considerable Advantage to the Statesmen's own Pockets, they value not in the least the Interest of their Prince or Country. Money then is that, which secures your Cause against your Adversary, tho' it be never so frail, or dishonest; that recommends you to the Fair, and to the Great, when ragged Honesty is avoided, laugh'd at, and exploded by all.

To seek Foreign Examples (persu'd *Philanthropes*) wou'd be endless, this, that is present here of *Pleonexia* as it is nearer, so is the more lively. Have all his Exactions, and his Usuries less'n'd, or encreas'd his Store? Is he not richer? Has he not all things in his Power by having Money? Who then, that sees Man, and the World thus Manag'd can believe a good Wise, Just, and Omnipotent God presiding o're and directing all Mankind? Tell not me, that God only suffers this to be done; for he that suffers an Evil, which he can prevent, Commands it. Or that these things are permitted for the Tryal of the Just, for that wou'd be to make God the Author of Evil, that good might come of it; the Author of Evil to *Many*, that a *Few* only might reap the Benefit.

I have hope (said *Christophil*, after a little Pause to see if they had any more to add) with Patience allow'd you a sufficient Freedom, in the Delivery of your sentiments, and given you leave to amass all the specious Arguments, Infidelity cou'd supply from its poor, and barren Store, to make out

out your Opinion if possible. But tho' I think them so very weak that they need no Opposition, as falling of Course, when any Man considers but truly of Things, yet I shall fully confute all your Arguments, which amount to the Denial of a *God*, and his *Providence*; robbing him of his Power in the Creation, and his Wisdom and Goodness in the Administration of the Universe. I hope you will, with like Attention give Ear to what I say, and then I shall not lose my Labour. For Evidence must convince when ever it appears.

That is certain (said *Philalethes*) else we must renounce our Manhood. But, Sir, suppose, that what we have urg'd, on a more serious Consideration, shou'd prove less reasonable, than it appears on a transient View; and that you shou'd evince a *First Cause*, and that intelligent, how much neaver to our Point are we, when we yet are ignorant of what that *First Cause* is? What his Parts are, what his Form, what his Nature? Of all which we know nothing. So that I can perceive no Advantage from the Knowledge you are going to establish of the Existence of a Deity, unless you cou'd also demonstrate, that we can by Reason, find out his Nature. For else how do we know, but that what we suppose may please him, may most displease him? We find even at this Day, several Nations sacrifice Men, Women and Children to him; Others offer Beasts, Herbs and Fruits: And lastly, others only Prayer, and Praise. Nor do any one of these, I suppose, want Reasons to defend their Practice. For few Nations are so barbarous, as to follow any Principle, without some Reason to justify their Tenet. Now all these Controversies can never be decided unless you can arrive at, can paint and delineate the Nature of God so evidently, that there can be no Doubt of the Resemblance. For till that is fixt, there can be no Standard, by which we must condemn One, and prefer the other. And how is it possible for a *Finite* Creature

ture to comprehend God, who you say is *Infinite*? It is a Contradiction, and cannot therefore be true. Can a Span compass the Globe? Or a Bowl contain the Sea? Both are easier far, and bear more proportion, than *Finite* and *Infinite*. If therefore we cannot comprehend God, how shall we know his Nature? How his Will? But if not his Will, what imports it us whether an Intelligent Being form'd the World, or Chance?

I hope (said *Christophil*) you have now done with all your Doubts and Objections, that I may proceed without Interruption. If not, pray let me hear the whole, that viewing all you have said, I may with themore Ease and Satisfaction pursue the Aim of my discourse.

They both assur'd him that they had nothing farther to add, unless any new matter shou'd arise from what he had to offer.

The sum, and substance therefore (pursu'd *Christophil*) of all you have said, is to Endeavour to take away the strongest of our Arguments for a Deity. *viz.* the Creation of the World, and Man. By supposing them the Work of *Chance*, or that they were from Eternity. And next by bringing plausible Reflections (for I will not call them Arguments) on the Conduct and Administration of humane Affairs, to destroy the Notion of Providence. Well seeing that if you cou'd once overthrow that, the whole Opinion of a Deity wou'd fall of Course; since it is impossible to maintain a God perfect in all those Attributes, necessary to constitute a Deity, without a Providence. And lastly to cut off our Enquiry into that Matter, and through a Diffidence in the solidity of your other Arguments, you conclude with the Impertinence of our Search whether there be an Intelligent first Cause, or no; by intimating that since we cannot dive into the Nature and Will of this first Cause, to draw from thence the Rules of our Conduct, and Lives, it matters not what the first Cause is.

Mazes, agreeable Fountains, with those strong, and Variable Cascades and seemingly artificial Falls of that tumbling stream, which in its Serpentine Course and in that Channel of *English* Marble, wanders through the Allies, and spreads it self in various Branches through this Wilderness, hiding it self sometimes on a suddain, and by and by, breaking thro' those Reeds of Lead, with a pleasing murmur in one collected stream falls down that little Precipice from the Urn of that River God, that lies supinely on his Bed of Reeds, surrounded with his sedgy Arbour. These you have fed your Eyes with, and own'd that the *Italian* Gardens did not exceed this, in contrivance of its Fountains, and Walks, &c. When you come to the Foot of that Precipice in the midst of that Bason, that receives the Stream at its Fall, you find a *Venus* on her Shelly Chariot, as just risen from the Sea, not unlike the *Venus* of *Correggio*, attended with a Thousand little *Cupids* the *Nereids*, and *Tritons*; from whose Shelly Trumpets you hear sounds Musical, and Surprizing, while from others sprout Streams every way across, so as to form a Watery Canopy for the Goddes, just sprung from that Element; while *Neptune* fixing his Eyes, with wonder and delight on the New-born Goddes, strikes his *Trident* on the adjoining Rock, from the holes made in it by that Blow, sprout out three Bodies of Water to an excellent Height, forming a cloudy Arch from thence to the Shoar, where falling into that smaller Bason, they have an outlet into the several little Channels, that travel through the lower part of the Garden.

What need I run through every particular of these Gardens, which your own Eyes will best describe to you from this Mount, which surveys not only that, but all the Country round us; and shows you all that Diversity of Fields, Meadows, Arable, and Pasture, cut and water'd by those gentle wandring Rivers, that give them Fertility and Beauty? All
this

this pleasing Variation of Hills, and Vallies, Woods and Plains, with the rest of this entertaining Scene, which you hence survey, had the same Original and Fate.

You imagine, I doubt not, that either my self, or some other, from whom I might buy, or derive it, was at a considerable Expence in the Building this House, and the finishing these Gardens. That we had consulted the best Architects, Gardeners, and the like, to bring it to this agreeable Perfection. But what if I should assure you, that all this Ground, and the adjacent Parts were some few years since all one vast Pool, muddy, and unwholesom; that on the Banks of this *Dead Sea*, this dismal Water, liv'd the Owner of that, and some contiguous Estate: A person, that lov'd none but himself, that, as he was an Enemy to all, so he spent his days sordidly, secluded and retir'd from all Society; that he neither knew nor believ'd a God; as being as ignorant and foolish, as he was ill natur'd, and yet was in one lucky Night bless'd (if he had known the blessing) with the most miraculous *Chance*, that ever was known in the World.

The Waters withdrew their Malevolent and Disagreeable Face, and the stenchy, and unwholesom Mud form'd it self into these beautiful Substances, which we now view with so much delight, and for which I suffer, I doubt not, in the Opinion of *Pleonexus* as a Prodigal. All these Charming Contrivances, that wou'd make you believe, so much Art, and Cost was expended on them, by I know not what happy Hit, jump'd into this Order; these Parts into this Seat, others into those Fields, Woods, and Medows, which added so vast a Revenue to the old wretched Miser, that not able to support the strong Assaults of Joy on so unexpected, a good Fortune, perish'd the moment of its Arrival. Tho' others say, that his Bent of Soul bring to Confusion he explr'd in meer Rage at the View of the Beautiful Order into which *Chance* or *Nature* had so very suddainly thrown his Confus'd Property.

Tho' you may perhaps doubt the Truth of this History, yet I can assure you, that there are not a few, who assert it, and are Men, who loudly declare that they are not in the least guilty of Credulity or any such irrational Weakness.

This indeed (said *Philalethes*) is a story worthy to be Chronicled, with *Don Bellianis of Greece*, *Bevis of Southampron*, *Sir John Mandevils Travels*, and the *Legends* of the *Romish Saints*, but will never gain Credit among Men of any tolerable Sense, or Understanding. Nor can I believe it possible, that you should your self give any Credit to it, tho' you have been pleas'd to divert us with the Extravagant Relation.

It is a greater wonder to me (reply'd *Christophil*) that you shou'd doubt its Truth, than that I shou'd believe its Extravagance, since so many have defended it in writing, and that with not a little Assurance, nor a few Arguments, which they at least think very real, and might be of Force enough if you perus'd them, to convince you of the possibility of the Matter of Fact.

Not all the Writers, nor Reasons in the World (return'd *Philalethes*) shall ever perswade me, that all these beautiful Ornaments, of the House and Gardens, shou'd be the work of Chance: That those Images of *Neptune*, *Venus* and the rest, which for their Excellence seem to Challenge *Italy* for their Country, shou'd owe their Original to so fantastical a Cause. Or is it possible for any Man, to be so very a Brute in Understanding, as to imagine, that Chance made those Pictures of *Rubens*, *Kan Dike's*, and *Raphael*. How came Chance to be so good a Painter, as to copy so artfully the Hands of those Masters, that the most skilful beholders cannot discover the fallacy. Besides all the Contrivance of the Garden, plainly demonstrate it to be the Work of a very great Master in his Art. There is too much Order in it to be the Work of Chance; one part harmoniously answers

to that, that gives that

the other, and no part discovers any of the irregularities of a fortuitous Maker. Here is Design, and that's the Effect of reason, and therefore it con'd not be owing to *Chance*.

But I believe you laugh at me for endeavouring to confute so wil'd a notion, yet you ought to excuse the Folly, since my great esteem of you, made me pay so much to your Asseveration, with an Air more serious, than the debate of so ridiculous a Story deserv'd.

Laugh (interrupted *Pleonexus*,) who con'd choose but laugh to here you, like *Don Quixot* encountering Wind-Mills, and forming Arguments against a Whim, that was only brought in, to make us laugh at the Credulity of Mankind, who are fond of believing the most monstrous and impossible of Things, that they may not be thought, to be wanting in Faith. I wonder it is not yet stept into a Fundamental of Religion.

That it is so here (continued *Philalthes*) I verily believe, and if there be so monstrous a Tradition in this Country, I wou'd no more attempt to undeceive the common People, than I wou'd to Preach against *Transubstantiation* to the Inquisition at *Rome*.

I am more surpriz'd (said *Christophil*) at your Incredulity of your own Principles, than you can be at the suppos'd Absurdity, of the People of these Parts. Ah ! *Philalthes* ! How weak is the Ground, and Foundations of Errour ! How strong and forcible the Power of Truth, and Reason ! You do not consider, how you have destroy'd your own Argument for the Fortuitous Production of the Universe, while you disbelieve a much less Effect of *Chance*, as monstrous, and incredible. Is it so hard for *Chance*, to copy a Picture, to form a Statue, or produce a regular House, and Garden, and yet so easy for it to make the World, form the Body, and Mind of Man, produce the wonderful Variety of Plants and Animals ; cast into this beauteous Order, all the Numerous, and various Parts

of the Creation, which are incomparably greater Arguments of a *rational Cause*, than the Pictures, Statues, Groves, Fountains, Wilderness, and the other Ornaments of my Gardens.

To put this beyond doubt, let us take a View of the Universe and behold, with Astonishment, the surprising Wonders of *CHANCE*. The Equality of the Motion, and rolling of the Heav'ns, of the Courses, or Revolutions of the Sun, Moon and Stars, their Distinction, Variety, Beauty, and Order, the very Sight of which must give us a strange Idea of your first Cause, *Chance*; and make us protest, that the most Supremely wise Being, that Man can suppose, cou'd not have contriv'd the whole with more Harmony, and Justness. Oh! Regular effects of *Chance*! If you cou'd not believe this House built, and the Pictures drawn, and set there without an Architect and Painter, how can you pretend to Reason, and yet not allow it infinitely stronger, in these great Motions, these regular Revolutions and Vicissitudes of so many, and so considerable Bodies, with that uninterrupted Identity (as I may say) of Order, without the least Variation.

But to come to particulars, and view every Part of this wonderful Creation, or Work of *Chance*. I will begin with this *Vortex*, or *Whirling* of which our Sun is the Centre, according to the System of *Copernicus*, which is the more certainly true, because more agreeable to the eternal Wisdom, for *frustra fit per plura, quod possit fieri per pauciora*, it is superfluous to do that by Many, which may be done by Few, is a Maxim of a wise Contriver. Reason or Wisdom is most free in its works from Embarrassments, and difficulties, being most *Easy*, and most *Simple*. Now the System of *Ptolemy* is too much incumber'd to answer the Wisdom of Nature (tho' ev'n that will hold good in all, that our consideration will bring under our View in this) which that of *Copernicus* exalts by answering all the *Phænomena* or Appearances with that Ease and

Sim-

Simplicity, that evidently prove the contrivance of the most intelligent, and rational Being.

By this *Solar System* (for so that of *Copernicus* is call'd, because in it the Sun is the Principle of Motion, as well as of Light, and is Situate in the Centre of the whole) the Sun is plac'd in the middle, very near the Centre of Gravity, whence it may from the Convenience of its Situation dispence Light, Heat, and Motion to all the Planets with an equal Influence in Proportion to the distance of each of them from him, and to the Necessary, and use of each in Regard of its Nature, and Occasions.

All the Motion therefore of this *primum Mobile* is on its own *Axis*. And its Diameter is likewise proportion'd to the Extent of its Influence above 515540000 Miles, each Mile consisting of 5000 *Paris Feet*, for the Sun is four Hundred and ninty four Thousand, and one Hundred Miles Diameter, which reckoning the Circle but barely 3 Diameters, makes it 1482300 Miles about, whereas it is something more, for the Circumference is to the Diameter, as 22 is to 7.

Next to him we find *Mercury*, which being distant from the Sun 20952000 Miles, and 2717 Diameter, that is above 8151 Miles in Curcuit, it revolves about the Sun in 82 Days. And yet tho' the Distance seems so great from the Sun, it is so near in Respect of us, that it is often lost to our sight in that vast Ocean of Light, so, that we can very rarely get a distinct View of him.

Next to *Mercury* we find the Morning and Ev'ning Star, which Astronomers call *Venus*, whose distance from the Sun being 39096000 Miles, and her Diameter 4941, and Circumference 14823 Miles, she finishes her Course round the great Luminary, in the space of 224 Days, and 18 Hours. This Planet waxes and wanes like the Moon, being sometimes wholly enlighten'd, and darkned according to her different Positions in Respect of the Earth.

The

The next to *Venus* is the terraqueous Globe of our Habitation, whose Diameter being 8202 Miles, and Circumference above 24606 Miles, it finishes its Course round the Sun in 365 days, and 6 hours; from which it is distant 54000000 Miles. On this, as it were, attends another Planet much less than it self, which we call the Moon, whose Diameter is 2223 Miles, and Circumference 6669, and it revolves about the Earth from *West* to *East* in 27 days, 7 hours, and 43 Minutes, and in the very same space of Time, by a strange Correspondence, and Harmony of the two Motions revolves the same way about its own *Axis*, whereby (one motion converting it as much to, as the other from the Earth) the same side is always expos'd to our View. And thus this Planet follows the Earth round the Sun, by an indissoluble Tye, in its annual Motion.

Next to the Earth, and Moon, we meet with the fiery Planet of *Mars* single, and alone, that is, without any visible Luminary, accompanying him round the common Centre the Sun, which Revolution he compleats in one year, and 315 days, his Diameter being 2816 Miles, and Circumference above 8448, and his Distance from the Sun 82242000 Miles.

Directing your Eye from *Mars*, the next that presents it self to it, tho' at a mighty Distance, is *Jupiter*, the largest of the Planets, for his Diameter is 52522 Miles, and his Circumference above 157566 Miles, and he takes up in his large Tour round the Sun 12 Years, and is distant from the Sun 280582000 Miles. This Planet, besides its bigness, is remarkable for his four *Satellites*, or little Moons, which constantly attend upon him.

Lastly, on the very Boundary of this *Vortex*, we discover *Saturn* with his five little Moons, which, with him, in his Motion round the Sun, describe the most remote Circle of this Planetary Whirling in 30 Years; his Diameter is 43925 Miles, and his Circumference

ference 131775, and his Distance from the Centre of Motion 513540000 Miles.

Now let us rest in our vast Journey, and take a View of what we have Discoursed, and we shall see these Planets all carry'd round in the Celestial Matter, which is incredibly subtle and swift, and in regular, and constant Order. For all that vast Ocean (to compare great things with small) and Mass of Celestial Substance, which is betwixt the Sun and the fixt Stars turns round, and carries them with it one way from *East* to *West*, in a shorter, or longer time, as they are nearer, and farther from the Sun, their Common Centre.

Again, the Planets Exchange Light one from another, by Reflection; but are incapable of producing it from themselves. The Sun alone does that, drawing from himself this Charming and Comfortable Substance which he generously, darts around him, with wonderful Force and Violence, and which is received, and intercepted, as I may say, by every solid Planetary Body. So that there is reflected from one Planet to another long streaks, or Streams of Light, which crossing, and traversing each other in the Air, are interwoven a Thousand different ways, and so make a Mixture of the richest Substance in Nature. For this Reason the Sun is plac'd in the Centre, that being a Situation most proper, and Commodious for him, equally to dispence, and distribute his Light, and Heat for the Living, and enlightening of all about him.

All these Motions, that I have describ'd, are so regular, and certain, that a good Astronomer, will tell you all the Eclipses, of the Sun, and Moon, for Thousands of years to come, and that to a Minute, and how much of either shall be obscur'd, and in what part of the World, it will be visible. He will tell you all the Conjunctions, and Oppositions of the Planets, and that without Error, or Mistake, which must certainly proceed, from their constant
and

and invariable Revolutions. Oh! Wonderous Oeconomy of *Chance*! What cou'd the highest Wisdom (O *Philaethes*) do more? For shou'd this *Chance* have thrown the Sun the least out of this Order, either nearer, or farther from the Earth, not only all the Order of Nature wou'd be destroy'd, but the Earth rendred unfit for Habitation; its Fruits either never ripening, or else parching, and withering up. If the Time of its Revolution, or Annual Motion, and its oblique Course through the *Zodack* were not just as it is, the Seasons wou'd be confounded, the Fruits of the Earth destroy'd, and Mankind wou'd perish entirely.

Shall I proceed any further in this Survey of the Universe? Shall I advance to the Sphere of the fixt Stars, and consider those numerous Wonders of your *CHANCE*, through which, the Mind may wander for Ages without coming to an end of its Journey, or being able to say, it has now pass'd through all the Marvels of the *Universe*? The distance of *Saturn* from the Sun is nothing, if compar'd with the Immenſe space betwixt us and the *fixt Stars*. Are they not so many *Suns*? The Centres of so many different *Vortices*? For it is impossible that at that prodigious Distance from our Source of Light, they shou'd receive, and return a borrow'd Light, so far as to reach our Eyes: We see them Bright, and we observe that they neither set nor rise, but remain always in the same Place. From which Appearance we have reason to believe, that, like our *Sun*, they have only a Motion on their own Axis; and disperse their native Light, and give Motion to the several Planets, that may depend on, and have their Revolutions in the Sphere of his Activity. Nothing less than the *Sun*, nor any thing without the same Qualities, cou'd throw its Beams and to immeasurable distance.

Each

Each therefore of these Numberless fixt Stars are, in all Probability, so many Suns, and the various Centres of so many different Worlds, or Planetary Circles.

But to go farther, I shou'd lose my self, and perfectly drown you in the Immenseness of the Consideration; for all the *fixt Stars*, which present themselves to the natural Sight of our Eyes, are nothing in number to what we discover in one Constellation of twelve or fifteen visible *fixt Stars* by the help of a *Telescope*. What shall I say of the *Galaxie*, or *Milky Way*, which is nothing but an Infinity of fixt Stars, which their prodigious Distance from us contracts into one Point of View, and makes them seem but one continu'd *Whiteness*, the vast Spaces betwixt each being swallow'd up in the infinitely disproportion'd Distance of the whole from us.

But be they what they will, they are still wonderful Evidences of the Magnificence, and Power of their *first Cause*, or your *Happy Chance*——Who gave them their just Distance? That they shou'd not be too nigh, nor too far off from their different Centres of Heat, Light, and Motion? Who fixt them in so regular an Order, that they have never vary'd from it since their first Existence? Who multiply'd their Numbers, so as to fill the *vast Expanse* with perpetual marks of infinite Power and Wisdom? *Chance* Oh! Perfect Stupidity! Oh! Notion for Ideots, or Children! Oh! *Philalethes*! join with the *Psalmist* and say, *the Heavens declare the Glory of God, and the Firmament sheweth his Handy-work*. For what cou'd produce Order, and Design, but an *intelligent Being*? What all these Wonders without End or Number, but infinite Power, and Wisdom as infinite in Perfection? This Vastness of the Universe, and in that the Excellence, and Power of the Almighty Creator becomes Obvious to the meanest Capacity.

Oh! *Philalethes*! Which way soever we turn our selves, which way soever we look, we meet with nothing,

thing, but what gives us a Proof of the Being, Power, Goodness, and Wisdom of God?

If we consider the vast Variety of Animals, in this our Terraqueous Globe, it wou'd take up whole days, nay weeks, if not months, but to name even those, that are visible; yet are there, as many species, and kinds invisible (in all probability) as those, which are the natural Objects of our unassisted sight. We see, and can discourse distinctly of all from the *Elephant* to the *Mite*, but here our sight is bounded, and shuts from our Consideration infinite Numbers of *Living Creatures* less than a *Mite*, to which in some Proportion it is as big as an *Elephant* is to a *Mite*. And yet that there are such, how incredible soever it may seem, the Invention of *Microscopes* has discover'd. For by those we find Thousands of little Animals in some Liquors, which we cou'd never have imagin'd to have been there.

But then to consider the Fineness of the Order, and Contexture of their Parts, it must confound and amaze us. For 'tis plain that they live, and must therefore, have Parts for the Reception of Nutriment, for Digestion and the like. Can we then pretend to Reason, and yet suppose all this wonderful Mechanism the work of blind *Chance*? Oh! Sottishness beyond Belief.

If we look on the Face of Man, tho' all Mankind have in general almost the same Form; yet the two great Nations of the World, the *Europeans*, and the *Africans* seem to be made in different Models. Nay every Nation, and Family almost have a peculiar Air of Countenance, and it is wonderful to observe how Nature has vary'd so many Millions of Times, so simple a thing as the Face of Man. But that this was not done without Design, or out of a meer Wantonness of Nature, is plain from the Necessity of this Variety for the subsistence of humane Society. For without this Distinction, all the eyes of Blood and Nations; of Gratitude, Virtue, and the like, wou'd be dissolv'd, for Men cou'd not be

be known from one another ; all Property wou'd cease ; all Laws prove of no Effect, the guilty being undistinguishable from the Innocent, Right, and Wrong confounded, and this fatal Confusion must inevitably have put a suddain period to humane Kind. The care of these things, and this Provision against these dismal Inconveniencies, are noble Considerations for *CHANCE*.

Besides this strange inexhaustible Variety of Beings, and the wonderful Changes, and Differences in the outward Forms of the same Species, we ought to look into the Animals themselves, and there we shall soon find, that *Chance* cou'd never produce an Order so just, and so useful, and proper to every Creature. For there is not any one Part of the vast Number, that compose every Creature, but what is either necessary for its Existence, or convenient for its better Being. Nor is there any One part, of the least or the greatest Animal, without an Aim at one of these two : for as it has nothing hurtful or redundant, so is there no Part agreeable wanting ; as cou'd easily be made out in all Plants, from the Cedar to the Mushroom, and in all Animals, from the Humane, to an Ant or Spider ; and in all Parts from the Heart to the Hair, that grows on the Lids of the Eyes. And it is still more plainly discover'd, in the suitable Variation of every Part.

There is no one Species of Bones and Muscles, or Bowels, but it is so diversify'd, as to be most useful to the Creature to which it belongs. All Skins are made for Safeguard, and Sense ; but the Skins of Beasts are made for motion, too and are therefore Muscular. The Skin of an *Urchin* is assisted with a strong Muscle, which sticks close to it all along the Back, to enable him the better to advance his Pikes.

Not only the Fins of Fishes, but their Swim Bladders are extremely vary'd, and diversly fitted to the difference of their motions. In a *Jack* it's single ; in a *Tench* double, from the hindmost of which a slender

der Pipe is produc'd forward towards the Throat, into which it is at last inserted, and is there divariated after the manner of the spermatick Vessels, the better to stint the Discharge of the Air. In a *Roach* 'tis furnish'd with a double Brace on each side; but that of a *Gudgeon* has none. In a *Bream* the Braces are strait, but in a *Bleach* they are produc'd in a spiral Line, from the Brace to the Cone of the Bladder. In a *Rochet* there are Muscles instead of Braces, which shows, that the Braces have every where the Nature, and use of Tendons in contracting the *Swim*; and thereby transfusing the Air out of one Bladder into another, or discharging it from both as there is occasion. Oh! wonderful contrivance of CHANCE! Oh! amazing Provision of *Accidenti*!

The Chrystal that is in the Eye of a Fish is a *Ball* in that of any Land Animal a *Bowl*. Being by that fitted for a clearer sight of the Object, either in the Water, a thick Medium, a distance less, and by a greater Refraction, or in the Air, thinner Medium, and a greater Distance, and less Refraction.

Among a great many Varieties, both in the inner and outer Ear, those which appear in the Passage into the *Back Bone* are remarkable. For in an *Owl*, which perches on a Tree, or Beam, and hearkens after the Prey beneath her, it is produc'd out farther above, than below, for the better Reception of the least Sounds; but in a *Fox*, that scouts underneath the Prey at Roost, it is for the same Reason, extended out farther below. In a *Pole-Cat*, which hearkens strait forward it is produc'd behind, for the receiving any forward sound. Whereas in a *Hare*, that is extremely quick in hearing, and thinks of nothing but being pursu'd, it is supply'd by a bony Tube, which is so directed backward as to receive the least, and most distant sounds, that come from behind her. And in a *Horse*, that is quick of hearing, as well as the *Hare*; because he receives the Voice of the Driver, and Whip from behind.

The

The Variation of the Windpipe is remarkable in every Creature according as it is necessary for the variation of the Voice. In an *Urchen* or *Hedgehog*, which has a very small Voice, it is hardly more than Membranous, or made of a thin Skin ; In a *Pidgeon* that has a low and soft Note, it is partly Gristly and partly Membranous, that is where the Rings meet. In an *Owl*, that has a lowder Note, 'tis more Gristly. But that of a *Jay* has Bones instead of Gristles ; and the same is in a *Linet*, from which both of them derive a Stronger, and a Lowder Note, than other Birds of the same bigness have, who have only gristly Windpipes.

The Rings of the Windpipe, are fitted for the Modulation of the Voice : For in Dogs, and Cats, that have a great many Notes or Tones, as Men have in the Expression of the several Passions, they are Open and Flexible, as in Men ; by which all, or any of them are dilated, or contracted more or less, as is convenient for a Higher or Deeper Note. Whereas in some other Animals, as in the *Japan Peacock*, that hardly uses more, than one single Note, or Tone, they are entire, and so the Windpipe is always, and in every Part, as one, continued Bore.

But I should want time, and you Patience to hear all, that might be said in all the Particulars of this Nature. For at once but to think of the different Species of Animals, and then to Multiply the Variety of the same Parts adapted to the Use, and Benefit of each particular Creature, would give you a View of the Immenseness of the Consideration.

To quit all the rest, and to take a prospect of the wonderful Mechanism of Man, and describe all his Parts, the Numberless winding Branches of his Veins and Arteries, the several useful and necessa-

ry Positions of his Internal, as well as Outward Parts, all necessary and of use, it would take up as many Volumes, as have been Writ of Anatomy; whence you may draw invincible Arguments of the wise, and good Designer of the Humane Mechanism. There is no one part of Man, but, to make a strong and thorough disquisition on it, would require a great deal of Time, and many and wonderful Speculations; Besides the Figure and Number of the Organical Parts of his Brain, how much superiour is it in Quantity, to that of any other Creature, considering the Proportion of his Bigness? Which makes it by so much the more Capacious a Treasury of the Ideas, or Images of Things.

These wondrous Variations, this admirable Disposition, this adapting each thing, and Part for its necessary Use, is it the Work of CHANCE? No, no, *Philalæthes*, it is evident, that they proceed from a steady, immutable and unbounded Reason, which can never be disappointed in its Ends and Intentions.

The Nose is to admir, and distinguish the several kinds of Scents, to Defend the Eye, to Breath through, when the Mouth is clos'd, and to form some Letters. In Brutes it is, as much more nice and critical, than in Man, as the distance from the Nostrils to the Brain is the greater; by which they judge exactly of what ever they hunt after, eat, or refuse.

What a Stupendious Machine is the Eye, if we Survey the Muscles, Membranes and Humours of which it is compos'd? How aptly it is either mov'd, or fix'd by the Muscles? How purely the Rays of Light are transmitted by the Perspicuity of the Humours? how regularly are the same Rays refracted by their Figure? how effectually is it pre-

prevented, by the black lining of the *Sclerotes*, their being confounded by Reflection? How many Objects is it fitted to take in at once, and successively in an Instant, and at the same Time to make a Judgment of their Position, Figure and Colour. It watches against Dangers, guides our Steps, and lets in all visible Objects, whose Beauty and Variety instruct and delight. Oh! *Philalethes*! could'st thou be incredulous to the forming this House and Gardens by CHANCE, and yet doubt that the Former of the Eye, so infinitely more curious and difficult, should be the Work of an intelligent, and most *Wise Cause*.

The Use, and the Harmony of the Parts might be sufficiently demonstrated, if we had Time, or if it were Necessary to our Cause to do it more fully. But here let two Instances suffice, 1. We can't so much as talk without the concurrent use of twelve or thirteen several Parts, as the Nose, the Lips, the Teeth, the Palate, the Jaws, the Tongue, the Weason, the Lungs, the Muscles of the Chest, Diaphragma, and the Muscles of the Belly. 2. No less, than forty, or fifty Muscles, besides all other Subserving Parts, go to execute that one Act of Laughter.

If God thus appear in the forming of Animals and the Bodies of Men; how much more will he be seen in the Formation of the Mind? on which he has set the Image of himself, But that you will see when (after I have clear'd this Point) I come to consider the Soul in its Nature, and Immortality.

I shall only add here my desire, that you would define your CHANCE; inform us what you mean by that *Word*, that we may not dispute about *Terms*, but Things. Do you suppose CHANCE therefore to be some Being or Existence endu'd with Power

and Knowledge? or do you take it only for *Accident*? if the former, it will be necessary to give it the Attributes of the Deity, and so it will only be another name for the same thing: If the latter it is an Effect, and cannot therefore be the *first Cause* of all things, for it necessarily supposes something before it, and from which it proceeds, as the Horses Foam in the *Grecian* Picture, was the accidental Effect of the Painters throwing his Pallet with Colours at it. Thus you can give no Instance of any thing, that is done by Accident, but you must suppose some pre-existent Agents, or Doers. But you seem to give a Power to CHANCE, as a Being, else all your Arguments about Chance amount to no more than this, That the World made it self, which is to say, that it was before it was. But to insist too hardly on every Absurdity of your witty Opinion, would be to puzzle your boasted Reason too much; you keek at the least Difficulty in Religion, and yet swallow the greatest without perceiving it, in those Tenets you Espouse. I challenge you to give me one instance of any Regular Effect of Chance in Order, Form and Motion in the whole Universe, that is certainly, and indubitably so, tho in the least Degree, in your own Time or in the Memory of Man, or undoubted Histories of Matter of Fact. And if you cannot give an Instance of this in the least degree, what Madness is it to assert the greatest Perfection of it, that the Mind of Man can conceive.

'Tis true (reply'd *Pleonexus*) you have here given Instances enough of the Wonders of Nature, and that this World was the Work of some *intelligent Cause*, if it had any Beginning. which you have not prov'd, nor answer'd how a Spirit can work upon Matter.

Tho'

Tho' I think, I have sufficiently made out (return'd *Christophil*.) that all this Order of things could not have been at all, without being produc'd by an infinitely Wise, and Powerful Maker, and in that prov'd, that he did make them, and therefore, that it imports neither us nor the force of the Argument to show the Way and Manner of his Making them. Yet thus much I may venture to answer (not being very Sollicitous whether Satisfactory, or not, because not material to the Question) that it is plain from all our Actions, that Spirit has naturally a Power on Matter; for the *Mind* (as every one finds in himself) sets what part, or Member of the Body it pleases in Motion; the Soul, being a pure Spirit, is the *primum mobile*, and *Movens* too, of our little World. So that if the humane Spirit, or Mind has (as we experimentally find it has) a Power on our material Body, how can we suppose, that God, infinite in his Attributes above us, shou'd want Power to do the same? for as all our Qualities are in infinite Perfection in God, so must of consequence that of his *Spiritualities* moving and ordering matter into what Form and Figure, his Wisdom thinks fit. And what cannot such an infinite Power do, when exerted by the Will of Infinity it self. And tho the finite Spirit of Man, can only work on matter fitted by its Organization for the Motion it is to receive from the Impulse of the Mind, yet I hope you will not deny but, that it must be as easy for God, to put meer unmodel'd Matter into Motion. Nay its having Motion, is a sufficient proof of its having receiv'd it from God? For from whence else had your supposititious Atoms their Motion? Matter is in it self a sluggish, heavy, dead Thing. But to come close to the Point, did your Atoms derive their imaginary Motion from themselves?

And was it essentially within every one of them? or had they it from without? that is from some extrinsic Motor? if they had this Motion essentially in themselves, what alter'd their Nature, and fixt them (since what had no beginning of Motion can have no End,) and so destroy'd what had been Eternally Essential to their Existence? If they had it from without, whence and from whom? but from God? For these queries will bring you to a necessity of deriving their Motion as well as all things else from that Eternal, and Omnipotent Source Almighty God, *in whom we all Live, Move, and have our Being.*

As to the Eternity of the World, and Man (for one will be of no use to you without both) you were extremely unlucky in your Instances, for all you produc'd were of their Alteration, and Mortality. You assert indeed, that the World is Eternal, but without any one Reason for it. The Reasoning part you cunningly shift off to me, with the Proof of a Negative, imagining it a Difficulty too puzzling to get over. Yet I doubt not but I shall clear this Point ev'n to your Reason, which is so monstrously prepossess'd with false Ideas, and erroneous Principles.

You make indeed a weak Effort at a showish Argument, couch'd still for its Advantage in short Interrogations to amuse the Passions, and beg our Credulity the more.

Ah! *Philalces!* I am really aham'd to repeat such Arguments; and should ~~we~~ argue after this manner in Defence of God and Religion, what Triumphs would your Party make? what a Noise should we have with Reason? when indeed there are none who so much debase, and deny Reason, as the *Atheists*, whether Practical, or Speculative. And do you really think there is the least Force in what

what you have said ? Have we no way of knowing, that a thing had a beginning without ocular Demonstration of the Act of its Formation ? If I see a Watch am I not as certain from both the Matter and Form, that it was made, as if I had seen the melting down the Oar, the mixing the Metal, and the Filing, Cutting, and setting together of the Wheels, &c. If I see a waxen Figure of a Man or Woman, tho made Years before I was born, do not I as certainly know, that, that was made by Hands, as if I saw it moulding ? as they both discover an intelligent Maker, so do they by consequence discover a time of their Beginning : And we might, as reasonably believe them the Work of *Chance*, as that they were so from all *Eternity*, their Order, and Form forbid the First, and their perishable Nature of their Materials the other.

But to ask you some Questions too, and those, I hope, more rational than yours ; do you mean by the World this Globe of Earth and Water ? do you consider it meerly in it self, without Regard to Man, Beast, Fowl, Insects, Fruits and Herbage ; in short without either the Animal or Vegetative Kingdoms, or collectively with them ? If without them, what imports it whether it be Eternal or not ? a bald, useless Lump of no Benefit, or Advantage ? a Wast of Nature, and therefore unworthy of Nature ? but ev'n thus it would not hold, as I shall perfectly make appear, or as indeed it does already appear, from those Instances I have given of the Perishableness of the Matter. But if you consider them collectively our Eyes are infallible Witnesses (And Evidences which you cannot disbelieve) that they all Decay, and perish daily, and if the Parts Perish, the Whole may, and in Time must, and what has an End must have had a Beginning.

But allowing you to take the Globe distinctly from its Inhabitants and Products, you have own'd that that the Earth, and Sea in Process of Time have chang'd places. Nay you have brought it for an Argument of the Worlds *Eternity* tho' it prove directly the Contrary to what it was introduc'd for : for it argues a very considerable, nay total Change of the Face of Nature, and of the Globe ; but that which is subject to Alteration, is subject to Dissolution, or may perish, and what can perish must have had a Beginning.

Again this Globe is Body or Matter, but all Body or Matter is divisible, that is can be divided into several Parts, and is liable to the violence of outward Agents ; now whatever is divisible, can never be Immortal or Eternal, since its Division, and Separation of one Part from another must make it subject to the Loss of that *Indivision* or Numerical and Essential Form, which gives it its present Denomination. The Earth therefore being thus subject to a Separation of Parts, is capable of falling into a Chaos of confus'd Matter without Form ; which Matter it self had also a Beginning as I shall make out hereafter. Again if, according to your first System, the World be compos'd of Atoms, it cannot be Eternal, since whatever is compos'd had a Beginning.

This Argument which you brought from the Mutability of the Earth, was design'd to prove the possible Loss of Records, which never were, and so to avoid one Thrust like an unartful Fencer, you have expos'd your self to another. Nay and which is yet worse, you still lie open to the same, for the Argument, that all Records of time referring to a Beginning, is a Proof that the World, and Man are not Eternal, is in full Force, till you bring better Convictions of it, than all your *Per-*
adventures,

adventures, Maybe's, Supposes, &c. borrow'd from the *Oracles of Reason* and from a Letter there, the Beginning of which sufficiently confutes the End, by proving what the Sequel seems to endeavour to render at least doubtful.

I shall therefore first give you a concluding Proof of the Necessary Existence of a God; and then demonstrate that neither the Earth, Matter, nor Man cou'd be Eternal. I urge the more Proofs of a God, because on our sure Fixing that Principle, we build all our after Designs beyond Controversie.

To proceed therefore with the greatest Clearness, and to avoid a War of Words, and that Shelf on which many Authors have suffer'd Shipwreck, who write whole Books on what they have not once defin'd, I once for all inform you, that by the Word *God*, I understand, and mean *that Being* (whatever his Nature is) *which gave Existence to all, but receiv'd his from none.* I shall anon explain his Nature, as far, as the Imbecility of Humane Understanding, and Language will allow.

I shall now show, that there is such a Being, as I have mentioned; and that he naturally, and necessarily exists. But I lay this down, as a fundamental (notwithstanding your Cavils which I hope are remov'd) that Humane kind, according to the History of all Nations, had a Beginning, which I think there is no necessity of proving here.

Pardon me (interrupted *Pleonexus*) I think it absolutely necessary; for I can see no Reason why anything in a Dispute shou'd be granted unless prov'd.

I avoided that (reply'd *Christophil*) not out of any

any, nay the least difficulty in the matter ; but merely as a thing self evident. However I shall Comply with your Desire, and in Order to it ask you this Question— You find that you your Self have a *Being* ? I hope you'll grant me that, or cease to be. Nay your very Denial wou'd be a Proof of it, for if you were not, you cou'd neither deny, nor grant any thing. I hope therefore, that you will also confess, that there was a Time not many Years since, when you were not, that is when you, this numerical *Pleonexus* or *Philalethes* had no Existence : I hope, you will not make your self Eternal as *Philalethes* has the World? but that you will modestly own what Eye-witnesses might prove, viz, That you were Born about Fifty, or Sixty years ago, and that twelve Months before that, there was no such thing, as *Pleonexus* in *Rerum Natura*. Your silence yields me this Point too. If therefore there was a time when you did not Exist, or when you had no Being, from whence came you ? Who Produc'd you ? Who made you ? Who gave you that Being which you now enjoy ? You will perhaps reply, that you deriv'd your Being from your Father and Mother, as they did theirs from their Parents ; and so you must go on till you come to one first of the Race, who having had an End must also have had a Beginning, and that given him by some other Being that had no Beginning, for nothing can be before it was, or emerge out of nothing into something by its own Force ; for it was not and could not therefore have any Force or Energy, but must by a necessary Consequence owe its being to a Cause, which cou'd have no Beginning, since without such a Cause nothing, that is, could ever have been at all. But we see that all things are, there must therefore be an Eternal Cause to produce

dace them, and this necessarily existing Being or Cause I call GOD.

There were some of the old Philosophers 'tis true, who when they were forc'd to confess, that Mankind had a beginning pretended to assert, that men like Plants Sprung from the Earth, as is apparent from *Lucretius*, who dwells much on this Particular, and defends it with as great Obstinacy, as Prolixity; and from whom, as you have confess'd, you deriv'd it. But had this ever been done in any Place, it wou'd still be done in some Place; for 'tis not possible for you to give any tolerable Reason why it could be, nay was done formerly, and cannot be done now. Besides supposing this Opinion true, that Man did Spring like a Plant from the Earth, it wou'd at least follow, that he sprung from some Seed, as all Plants do; which being allow'd, as it necessarily must, the Demand returns again in Force, who form'd and made that Seed? who sow'd it in the Earth? For you have already found how ridiculous it is to assert, that all this shou'd be done by *Chance*. But if you confess this to have been the Task of some Intelligent Nature, that gave it a Beginning; that very Confession leads us to the Existence of a GOD.

It cannot be, therefore, denied, that *Some Being* may exist more excellent in its Nature, than Man; that is, who has, and possesses Qualities more in Number, and Perfection, than those of which Man is Master. Who is able, for example to do more, and has a more extended Knowledge, than Man. And that there is not only a meer Possibility of the Existence of such a Being, but that there is an absolute Necessity, that he should exist, nay that he cannot but exist. For since the first Man could not make himself before he was, there is a Necessity of another

ther Cause of his Existence, and that more excellent than himself; for a being of equal or less Excellency cou'd not produce a Being of greater, *for none can impart or give what he has not himself.* The first Man was of equal Power, and Excellence with us, nor cou'd he do more than we in this Particular, nor lift himself from nothing into something.

This more Excellent Nature we call GOD; whom if we suppose the Maker of Man, we may as reasonably allow him the Creator of all other Animals, whether Consisting of more or of fewer Parts than Man; and much more, that he dispos'd in Order all other Bodies that Surround us, for we plainly see, that they do not owe their Disposition to Man. For since Human Kind had a Beginning, there is no Reason in Nature for us to suppose, any thing else of this Visible World Eternal? but I shall defer the consideration of the other Parts of the World, that I may here only speak of Man, and his Creator.

From what I have said 'tis evident, that there is some more Excellent Nature, than that of Man, to which Man owes his Being. But say you what is it? I answer, that we see in Man a Body, which we can handle, with our Fingers; that is bounded by certain Limits, That, in short it wants several Properties, which if it had, it wou'd be much more excellent, than now it is.

Besides the Body, we find there goes to our Composition, something else, that we cannot see with our Eyes; which *Understands, Wills, is Sensible, Free, Imagines, Remembers,* and in short can do many Things, which that visible Body of ours cannot do. This last we call the *Mind*, which so far excels the visible Body, that it is capable of apprehending somethings infinitely bigger, than our Bodies. It sees, and contemplates that vast Extension, which every

every way furrounds us : of which Extension, the *Mind* cannot by the Assistance of this visible Body form any Idea, which is not by infinite degrees less than the Object, which it considers, for it cannot exceed the magnitude of the Body.

Hence 'tis apparent, that the *invisible Mind* is more excellent, than the *visible Body*, but yet it is not of that degree of Perfection, and Excellence, as to be able either to produce, or to create a *Body*, or ev'n to alter it according to its Will, and Pleasure. It can give motion only to some parts of the Body, but were its Will bent, with never so great an Impetuosity. it cou'd not move the Rest, nor yet encrease or diminish either Them, or the Whole. But he, that procreated both the Mind, and the Body must of necessity be more excellent than both, and far more perfect, than the *Mind*, as I have plainly Observ'd because he cou'd, and did give existence to the *Mind*, and form'd the *Body* in that Manner, and Figure, in which we now behold it.

From all this it follows, that he, that made us is far above us in Excellence, and Perfection. We, therefore, Observe, that there are three things, that are above us, or plac'd beyond the Bounds, and Extent of our Properties. 1. There are some things, which our Knowledge cannot arrive at. 2. There are other things which our Power is not able to perform. 3. There are things which partake of both these Particulars, which being *unknown* to us, and too difficult for us to Effect hinder us from ariving at that Happiness we Desire and Wish for.

I have already prov'd that our *Mind* is more Excellent, than our visible Body ; and I believe that no man will doubt, but that there may be Natures, that *know, have* and cou'd *do* those Things, which

which our Mind is not capable of, and these really more Excellent, and Extensive. Thus we pass on to that *Divine Nature*, which comprehends all the Properties of all Kinds, and Species of Beings; who knows all things, and can do every thing, and consequently enjoys a perfect and compleat Happiness.

But that this Supream, and infinitely most perfect Being, as it had no Beginning, so that it yet yet exists I shall thus demonstrate. The *Cause*, that produc'd Mankind either yet exists, or is perish'd; if it is perish'd it was not without Beginning for that, which had no Beginning, can have no End, for what Cause can be assign'd for the ceasing of that Being, which had no Cause to produce it, but was eternally *self-existent* & certainly he cou'd not destroy himself, nor cou'd any created cause have enough Power to destroy him. This wou'd put us still on a farther Enquiry, into the Cause, that gave him Existence, and an End; which will bring us through the more perfect Beings 'till we arrive at one Being replenish'd with the properties of all Beings, and is the Cause of *All*, which never had Beginning, nor ever can have End.

In short whatever had a Beginning deriv'd its Being from some other *Cause*, for Nothing can be of it self, and its own Power, which before it is, is nothing. But that Being, which produc'd All things had no Beginning, and can therefore have no End. Whence it appears, that the *Being*, who gave all others, that have been, are, or shall be, Existence, necessarily *Exists* himself; there is therefore an eternal Nature, which we call GOD, what ever his Properties are which I shall Consider after I have prov'd his Creation of the *World*, and *Manner*.

The

The most considerable, or the chief Action of the Deity, was the Creation of the Universe; in which we must consider the *Matter*, and the *Form*: but the principal Question indeed is about the *Matter* for if I prove that God Created the *Matter*, of which the World is compos'd, there will be no difficulty of granting him the putting it into the *Form* we behold.

There are two kind of Beings, which we know, and those are *Spirits*, and *Bodies*; and that both are Created by God out of Nothing this general Argument will show. These two Kinds of Being proceed from themselves, or from some other Cause, or (in other Words) they had a Beginning, or they had none; if all Beings but *One* had a Beginning the Business is done, what I aim'd at is obtain'd, for I have prov'd that *One, eternal Being*, which we seek. But if on the contrary you insist That all things were Eternal, we must, again, Examine into their Nature, and see whether in that there be any thing, that relishes of Eternity.

To begin with *Spirits*. Of *Spirits* There is none, of which we have so perfect, and adequate a knowledge, as of our *Mind*; so that we are by Consequence better enabled to enquire into its Existence, and to determine, whether it be Temporal or Eternal. But first we cannot recal any Memory of our Existence before a few past years, so far are we from remembring our Eternity; Whence it follows, that if our mind were Eternal, our Memory failing, and with that our Reason to make it so, we must seek some other means of Establishing it. But on our Search we find no divine Revelation, which assures us of that Eternity of Existence, which we have forgot: nor do we in our Mind discover any Tracks, or Footsteps of that our Existence, without Beginning,
on

on which we might build any reasonable Conjecture, or Suspicion, that we have been Eternally. Our thoughts are confin'd to so narrow a Compass, that all we know is only some few Properties of things, which we have first deriv'd from our Senses, and found them by long Experience to be true. From which by the self Consciousness of the Min^d, or our Mental Knowledge, and Faculties, we have been able by Abstraction to form some general Axiom. Our Power is but small, and the Faculties of the Human Mind very slender, and bounded; extending to very few Things. Are these then Marks of Eternity? That *Being* certainly, which wanted no other Cause to give it a Beginning, can never stand in Need of another to attain all the Properties, which are by no Means of greater Excellence, than *Eternity*. Besides our Minds are subject to innumerable Inconveniencies whether they will, or not, as numberless Griefs and Disquiets, which they can neither prevent, nor often remove. Is it therefore Credible to the Excess of Credulity it self, that a Nature of that Nobility, and Excellence, that had no Beginning, but is Self-existent can be tormented, and vext by other Beings, that challenge not so extensive a Duration, and by Consequence are inferior to them, or ev'n by those, which are at most but Coæval with them?

If we now turn our Consideration to Bodies, we shall find nothing in the Corporeal Nature, that can persuade us to allow them Eternal. There are three principal Properties of *Body*, which are *Extension*, *Divisibility*, and *Impenetrability*. The Meaning of these Words are obvious enough to any Capacity; for every one, that sees a Body finds it *extended*, that is, that it has Height, Breadth and Thickness: That it is *divisible*, that is
that

that it consists of Parts, which may be separated from one another; and that it is *Impenetrable*, or in another Word *Solid*, i. e. that no other Body at the same time can be in the very same numerical space. But do any of these Properties offer any Idea of Eternity? would it not be a pleasant way of Arguing to say thus—*Bodies are Extended, Divisible, and Solid, therefore Eternal?* Nay should we compare the Defects, which we find in Matter, with that Supreme Perfection of *Eternity*, it will be impossible for us to persuade our selves, that those Defects can be consistent with Eternity. For Example, *Matter* is in it self, or its own Nature Sluggish, Dead, without Motion, and would for ever continue in that State of Inactivity, if it were not for some outward, and foreign Impulse, that Rouses and puts it into an Action not its own. Who then can suppose (believe it none can) that a Nature, that possesses the highest and most compleat Perfection can be incumber'd with, and struggle under so vast a Defect?

The only Argument of any Force, which they bring, who assert the Eternity of *Matter*, comes to this Point, that they cannot conceive any Beginning of that vast, and infinite Extension, which every way surrounds us. They assure us, that notwithstanding all their Endeavours, they cannot comprehend, but that this Infinite Space must have been without any Beginning. I will not answer, that most of the Ancient Philosophers, as well as Modern have contended that meer Extension is not Body; I will only desire them to consider with some serious Application, what it is they understand, when they tell us that as they cannot conceive any Beginning of *Matter*, so there was none.

E

When

When we hear this Sentence pronounced *That Matter had a Beginning of Existence*, We do not only understand perfectly the Subject, and Attributes of the Proposition, but distinctly perceive their Connection. The only thing, that deceives, and leads them astray, who deny, that they can understand any Beginning of *Matter*, is because they endeavour to form a positive Idea of that *Nothing*, which, as I may say, was antecedent to that *Matter*, which is very fallacious, because we can only have a Negative Conception of *Nothing*. When therefore we think of the Beginning of *Matter*, we cannot deny, that we have no Positive Idea of that State, that preceded the Existence of *Matter*, because there ought to be None; neither can there be any but what is deceitful.

From what has been said we may draw these Conclusions. 1. That since we can discover nothing either in *Matter*, or *Spirit*, which discloses their *Eternity*, that we cannot at least say, that they are Eternal. 2. But since both *Matter*, and *Spirits* labour under the greatest defects, we can with no manner of Reason in the World, allow them the most excellent, and most perfect Property, that can be conceiv'd, which is *Eternity*. 3. And therefore, that they are Created by some other Nature, who has bestow'd on them those Qualities, which he thought fitting, and who has denied them those Properties, his Wisdom thought fit not to allow them. That *Being* which in and by it self has that, which is the *Greater*, must by a stronger Right, have what is not so considerable; That *Being* therefore, that was from all *Eternity* cannot but have all things else in and by its self.

From

From hence it is sufficiently clear, that there are an innumerable company of Beings produc'd out of *Nothing*, and that there must therefore necessarily be a *Cause*, that produc'd them, since nothing can never of its self exert it self into Being. And since this has been made evident both, as to the substance of *Spirits*, and of the very *Matter* of the World, much less can it be denied of the *Farm*, since both the concurrent Testimony of the Histories of all Times, and the things themselves confirm it.

I can therefore say no more here on this Point, but what I have said already, and which doubtless you yet retain in your Memory. Having thus clear'd the Eternal Existence of *God*, and the temporal Rise of this World, and Man, I think beyond the Possibility of a solid Reply, I shall proceed to the last part of your Query, which was, That supposing I had gain'd my Point, and establish'd a first Cause, of what import would it be to us, unless we were better acquainted with his divine, and infinite Nature, than consisted with a Being so *finite*, as Man, which could never comprehend an *Infinite*. This if I mistake not was the sum of your Difficulty, and that if we could not comprehend his Nature, we could not his *Will*, and if not his *Will*, we might, as well displease, as please him with our Endeavours.

That indeed (replied *Philalethes*) is the Purport of my last difficulty; but I presume you have not yet remov'd a Difficulty plac'd before this, which yet must reach the Existence, you have so admirably prov'd. I mean those Flaws in his Providence, which *Pleonexus*, and I propos'd. Not but I begin to imagine, that God, who has shown so much Power and Wisdom in the Formation of the Universe, cannot be without a Provi-

dence so necessary to the Preservation, and well Government of the Creatures he has made.

I confess (pursu'd *Pleonexus*) *Christophil* has given better Proofs, than I Expected, yet I cannot acquiesce in them unless, this other Point be clear'd, and that I believe he will find a more difficult Task; *ridiculum agere curam Rerum humanarum Quicquid est summum sed credi usui est Vita.* 'Tis a ridiculous Imagination (says one of the Ancients) to think, that the supream Being, has Regard to Humane Affairs, but it is of use in Life to have the Belief of it propagated.

I have not forgot (reply'd *Christophil*) this difficulty, which you rais'd, or your Reasons for it; nor did it appear to me so insurmountable, as to make me endeavour to evade the Answer. For believe me (*Philalethes*) Providence is as evident, as the Being of God himself; and he is as justifiable in all the Waies of Men, as he is wonderful, wise, and good in all his other Works. But the Reason, that I put your last Difficulty before it is that from our Enquiry into the Nature of God, as far, as Mortal Understanding can arrive; that is, as far as is necessary for us to know, for the Conduct of our Lives, and our present, and future Happiness, prepares the way to the removing all your Empty Clamours against Providence.

Christophil had no sooner said these Words but a servant brought word that supper waited for them. So that adjourning their Discourse till that was over, they left the Arbour and retired into the House to refresh themselves with a generous tho Temperate Repast.

THE Second Discourse.

OF THE Attributes of G O D.

Supper being over, and the Servants retir'd, indifferent Matters having for a while entertain'd them, *Philadelphus* with some Earnestness desir'd *Christophil* to pursue the Discourse, which was broke off by the Supper. *Phloxus*, who was not so willing to be convinc'd of his Errors, as the other, would by no means consent, assuring them, that it would be better to defer it to another Day, when their Minds refresh'd by a gentle Repose, would be more sprightly, and penetrating, and by Consequence more able to judge of the Weight, and Force of an Argument, and so to discover the Truth, and then to acquiesce in it; or to distinguish the Error, and avoid it. That for his part tho' he argu'd meerly for arguing sake, as being already a good *Christian*, and by consequence sufficiently convinc'd both of the Existence of a God, and his Providence; yet since he was enter'd he would willingly lay all the Force he could on the wrong

side, the better to furnish himself, with Reasons, from so able an head, as *Christophil's* for the Right. But that at present his Mind was so fatigu'd with the former Attention, and so unfit till the through Digestion of his Supper for Reflections of that Nature, that he must desire the Discourse be put off till the next Day.

Philaltes, on the other side, was too much touch'd with what he had heard, to rest satisfy'd with what had been said, without proceeding farther, and assur'd *Christophil*, that the only way to render him unfit to give just Attention to his Discourse, would be to defer it till to morrow, for his Mind was so taken up, with what had been said, that he could hope for no Rest, till he had, at least made a little farther Progress: But in the Midst of this dispute two of the Neighbouring Gentlemen coming to visit *Christophil*, put an end to the contest, deciding it in Favour of *Pleonexus*.

But the next Morning being now come, *Philaltes* left his Bed with the Sun, and repair'd to the Gardens, amusing himself with all the Varieties of them; and from them passing to the Wonders of Nature, and God, which he had heard the day before so well defended by *Christophil*. He had not been long in these Contemplations, but he sees *Christophil*, who had by this Time done his Morning Devotions, and on enquiry after his Guests, was inform'd, that *Pleonexus* still slept soundly; but that *Philaltes* had been some time in the Garden, which was the Occasion of his coming out to seek him.

Philaltes had no sooner spy'd him, but with speedy Steps he advanc'd to meet him, Oh! *Christophil* (cry'd out *Philaltes*) what a Night have I had. How mix'd with pleasure and Pain! with Wonder and Fear! such a View have you given me

me of *God*, that it Confounds, and Amazes me ? His Wisdom, and His Pow'r are every Where evident, and the more I reflect on your Discourse, the more I am surpriz'd at my own Stupidity, so fatal and foolish a Blindness, that cou'd keep me so long in the Dark amidst so vast, and excellent a Light ! Oh ! the Pleasure, and Transports, I have Past this Night in, when my thoughts bent on the Miracles of God (which you before had fix'd in my Understanding by the clearness of your Representation, and Arguing) I wander'd round the Immenſe Space ; view'd the wonderful order of the Heavens, and paſſ'd to the Vegetive, Sensitive, and Animal Worlds, and every where found the Wonders of *Wisdom*, and *Power* which the Soul by a rational Enthusiasm lost it self in the glorious Contemplation of.

Proceed therefore (O *Christophil*) and answer the Eagerness of my Desires, and lead me to a farther View of the Nature of God ; who must be all Beautiful, and Transporting, dispensing Pleasures that fill the Soul, and satisfy its Longings ; that banish all the tumultuary Joys of Sense, that, aiming at finite and little Objects surrounded with Folly, and Pain, ev'n in the Height of Possession, afford but a weak Satisfaction, both in their short Duration, and Imperfection, not coming up to the Desire, and Expectation of the Soul. But the Contemplation of God, and his Works of Creation over-fills the utmost stretch of Imagination, and more than answers all the Faculties of the Mind. Give me therefore, more of this Celestial knowledge, that I may know the Extent of my Obligation to you, and almost bless the Follies, that were the Occasion of my Acquaintance with you.

I am wonderfully delighted (reply'd *Chrysophil*)
to find you Master of so just an Apprehension,
and of so good a Temper, as not to be ashamed
of quitting an Error, when you are convinc'd of
it. For some are so tenacious of their Principles,
however false, or pernicious, that they think it a
point of Honour to Persevere in an Error, rather
than change their Opinion for Truth. 'Tis an
Honour, I confess, that I cannot understand; since
it is unworthy the Name of a Man; for, to renounce
the Conviction of our Reason, is bidding adieu to
the Distinction betwixt the Brutal, and the Hu-
man kind. But I shall have Reason to Bless God
for the good Event of my Endeavours with you,
and I wish there were any room to hope the same
with *Pleonexis*, for I confess my Doubts of it are
too strong, since there is so strange a Witchery,
and Power in *Avarice*, that both my Reading, and
Conversation scarce ever inform'd me of any one
individual Person, that was ever recover'd of it.
Nay tho' there is not the least Shadow of Reason
to defend it, yet I have known Men eminent for
their Sense in other things, such perfect slaves to
this folly, that it ruin'd all their real Perfections,
and instead of the Veneration and Esteem, their
Parts, Quality, and Post might have obtain'd them,
got nothing but Contempt and Infamy in spite
of all those Advantages, by which they might
have been the most popular, and most beneficial
to the Publick, of any of their Contemporaries.
But lost in this sordid Appetite, they were per-
fectly dead to Glory, Honour, and *Christianity*.
Hypocrisy indeed supply'd the Place of Religi-
on, and to be thought Saints by Men, they were
foolishly content to be Devils in the Eye of Hea-
ven it self.

This
comprehend Infamy for God has Infinite
Acidities

This 'tis that makes me Fear that I only waste an ~~Afternoon~~ in striving to convince *Pleonexus*, yet vain would I have him by, if possible, to hear all I have to say; for perhaps something or other might touch his Heart, and bring it to a sence of his Folly.

On saying this *Christophil* whistled for his Servant, whom he sent to the Chamber where *Pleonexus* lay, to desire his Company in the Garden, to enjoy so pleasing a Morning, but Word was return'd that he had had a very ill night, and was not well, and therefore desir'd to be excus'd from what there was no necessity of his hearing.

'Tis to no purpose (said *Philalethes*) to delay my satisfaction for him, who when present Minds you not, and will always find some excuse to avoid a Conviction, that must destroy his darling Passion. Let us therefore seat our selves in this Arbour, which is sufficiently shaded from the Morning Sun, whose Heat, as far as it can penetrate here, will rather be pleasing, than offensive.

Well (return'd *Christophil*) I will comply with your Desires, and now take up that Discourse of the Nature of God, which first our Supper, and then the Company interrupted last Night. But first let us join in a prayer, to that God, that he would inspire me with such a Knowledge of himself, as may enable me to express him unblam'd.

On which they both kneel'd down, and *Christophil*, addressing himself to the presence of God, made an humble and zealous Prayer to him for that End. Which being done, you must not imagine (said *Christophil*) that I should give you a full, and adequate Knowledge of the whole Nature of God; that indeed would be impossible, and you might very well say, as you did from this wrong Apprehension of the Matter, How can a finite comprehend Infinity? For God has Infinite Attributes,

Attributes, and it will therefore take up an Infinite duration to consider, and contemplate them; which is the Souls greatest Happiness in the *Beatific Vision*. Nay ev'n in this Life Man finds in this only, the most Sincere, most Noble, and most transporting Pleasure, and the most worthy of a Wise Man.

Tho' God be thus incomprehensible, and tho' it be so infinitely beyond the Power of any Creature to know him *wholly*, yet it has pleas'd the unbounded Goodness to impart a certain, and infallible Knowledge of so much of his Deity, as is sufficient for the Conduct, and Happiness of our Lives; enough to employ the whole Soul in the Contemplation of his Perfections, and in that Contemplation to give the Mind the Fullness of Joy, without *Satiety*.

I shall therefore, consider first the Property, or Attributes of God in General, and then take a more deliberate view of each in Particular.

We must, therefore, remember, that Knowledge is convey'd to us by two ways, One a *Priore* (as the *Schoolmen* call it) that is when the Nature of a thing is known to us by its self, without requiring our Understanding any other Natures, or Things, by the Knowledge of which we should come to the Knowledge of this. The other way is call'd a *Posteriori*, which is when to know the thing we are enquiring into the Nature of, it is Necessary that we should be acquainted with the Nature of other things, which leads us as it were by so many steps and degrees to the Knowledge of that, we are seeking after. We know sometimes, that certain Effects will follow certain Causes; as when we put the heavier Weight into one Scale, we know that the Balance will turn to that side, and the lighter Scale Ascend.

Ascend. Sometimes we endeavour to arrive at the Cause by the Effect. Thus we gather that the Reason why heavy Bodies bear down to the Centre, is because they are disturb'd, and removed by other Bodies, that endeavour to ascend into the Superior Places.

The way, that we know God, without the Assistance of Revelation is a *Posteriori*, or by ascending through the various steps of the Creatures Existence, to that of God, and since it is an undeniable Maxim, *That none can bestow what he has not himself*, we gather that all the Properties of Creatures must be in that Being, from whom they all flow'd to the Creatures. And this is sufficiently apparent from the proof, which I have already given you of God.

This being so, let us consider how many kinds of Properties we find in the *Creatures*, that by them we may ascend to those of the *Creator*. There are therefore some Properties which we may call *Moral*, such as we observe to be in Intelligent Creatures, and to which we give the name of *Moral*, because they are concern'd about the *Manners*. There are others, which are *Physical* or *Natural*, which both Intelligent Beings, and those which want Understanding share in, and which have nothing to do with the *Manners*. *Goodness* for Example is a *Moral* and *Power* a *Physical* or *Natural* Property.

That we may begin with the *Physical Attributes* of God, there can be no manner of doubt but that the *Nature* which made, or gave Being to all others, must possess the Properties of all those *Natures* it produc'd, but not indeed in the same degree, for in the *Creator* they are free from all, nor are they bounded with any of the Defects, that they have in the *Creatures*; since there is nothing without that *Nature*, that is the Parent
of

of all others, which cannot at all lessen or bound them; nor any thing within that Nature, that is, not capable of containing them without all Limits. The Creatures for example *Exist*, and by consequence God, who gave them their Beings must also *Exist*. But in this the Attribute of *Existence* in God is infinitely beyond that in the *Creature*, for God Eternally and Necessarily *Exists*, the Creature Temporally and Dependantly, unless we suppose that something *Exists*, which had no Beginning, nothing in this World could ever have had an *Existence*, for who is mad enough to imagine that any thing could emerge out of Nothing. Thus we see that from the Knowledge, and Consideration of our own *Existence*, we trace that of the Deity, and from the Beginning of ours arrive at the necessary *Eternity* of his.

We may therefore seek in God the properties of the only two kinds of Natures we know, which are *Intelligent*, and *Corporeal*, provided we remember, that those Properties which are limited with Defects in the Creatures, are perfect and without any Defect or Bounds in the Deity. The *Intelligent* Natures *Understand*, have *Volition*, and *Sensation*, *Imagine*, *Remember*, &c. All these Properties are therefore in God, but without those limited defects, which they labour under in the *Creatures*. God *understands*, but at one View, and all things at Once. God has *Volition* but his Will is directed with the most Sovereign, and Supream Reason, without Inconstancy, or mov'd by rash, and unadvised motives. God has *Sensation* but not affected in that manner as we are by the *Creatures*, that affect us when they give us a *Sensation*. God *Imagines*, that is, he has present before him the Images of all Corporeal things, but without any of those Commotions with which they strike us. God *Remembers*, but it is without Labour,

or

or Forgetfulness: Nor does he recollect those things, of which for some time he had not Thought, but by a perfect Intuition beholds all things present before him.

Universal Extension consider'd in General, and in the whole seems to be without Bounds, at least we are not able to form in our Minds any Bounds to it. God is *Immense* too, but with an *Immensify* that is not liable to be divided into parts, so that we may say this is a part of God, which is no where else. One Body drove against another removes that out of its place, however it is done. so God moves *Matter* as he thinks fit, because he gave Motion to *Matter*, which in its own Nature it had not, but he does not move *Matter* as *Matter* moves *Matter*, so as to lose so much Force, as he imparts to the *Matter* mov'd, nor as one superficies moves and presses another.

Both Spirits and Bodies are said to have Power to do some things, not all things, so that their Power is bounded by Limits, which it cannot pass; but the Power of God reaches all *Double* things, that is, all things of which we can form any *Idea*. For what cannot he do who gave Beginning, and Existence to all things?

And thus from the *Physical*, or *Natural* Properties of the Creatures, we arrive at those of God. As to the *Moral* Properties, tho' we come to the Knowledge of them by proceeding by degrees from Inferiour to Superiour Things, yet the manner how that is done is something different. These *Moral* Attributes we call *Virtues* as we do in Men.

If we take a Judicious Survey of Human Nature we shall find, that no one Man is sufficient to subsist alone, but that we want one anothers Help, and Assistance. This begets the mutual Duties of
Men

Men to one another, in which are plac'd those Habits of the Mind, which we call *Virtues*. Without these Life deserves not so Comfortable, and so desirable a Name. For what would become of Human Race, if there were no such thing as the Bonds of Love betwixt Relations, Friends, Fellow Citizens and the like. If there were no Justice, nor any of those Vertues, which bind, unite and secure Human Society.

Hence we draw our knowledge, that it is the Will of God that design'd Man for Society, without which he cannot live: that Man should cultivate and exercise those Vertues, which are most adapted to, and the most proper for the defence of that Society; and that he should avoid those Vices, by which it is most likely to be injur'd, or dissolv'd. This being thus laid down, it follows, as a necessary consequence that these Vertues are grateful and agreeable to God; for what could oblige him to prescribe Laws to Man, by the very constitution of his Nature, which he himself should condemn: But if he approves them it is evident, that they are agreeable to his Nature, and so that he is indued with the same Vertues, as far as the difference betwixt the Divine, and Human Nature will suffer it. God therefore has the *Moral Attributes*, as well as the Human Mind.

Thus it is evident, that we arrive at the Knowledge of the Divine Attributes by rising up from those we find in the Creature, to the Creator. I shall therefore now come to a more particular Consideration on the several Attributes we this way discover, in the ever Blessed *Godhead*.

I shall begin with his *Eternity*, in which there are three things, which I shall chiefly consider. *First*, That *Eternity* is a Duration, that had no Beginning,

ning, nor can have any End. But that we may not give a *Negative* Attribute to God, it is easily made *Positive* by saying, that *God does always Exist*. Because the first seems to the Philosophers to be a meer Removal, of a Defect from God, by a Negative of his having a Beginning; the latter explains the Perfection by a positive Affirmation of his perpetual Existence. By this you will see the Niceties of the School, to make distinctions where each has an equal signification, and offers an equal Knowledge to the Mind.

When we say, that God is without End, it is but a Natural consequence of his having no Beginning. For a Being that has had no Beginning, cannot destroy it self, nor be destroyed by any other Being, all Natures besides flowing from him, are of infinitely less Force, Power, and Duration.

From hence rises our second Consideration of the *Eternity* of God, which is, That the several Parts of this Duration are so firmly United, that it is Impossible to separate them from one another, contrary to what we experience in the duration of Creatures, which have had a Beginning. For it does not at all follow, that because we now Exist, therefore we shall do so to-morrow, but to have no End of Duration, is a necessary Consequence of having no Beginning.

The third Observation we make in this *Eternity* of God, is that he receives no Addition of Duration from its Succession, for after a thousand thousand Myriades of Ages, God grows no Older, than he was from *Eternity*; nor will he either lose or gain any Attribute or Quality, from the endless Succession of Duration to come; for should we add *Infinite* to *Infinite* it does not magnifie, add to, or encrease the first, for *Infinity* includes all *Space*, *Duration*, and *Numbers*. But when I shall

shall come to the *Immutability* of God, I shall have occasion farther to consider this Point of Gods receiving no Addition, or suffering no Diminution.

I hope I speak these things as intelligibly to you, as their Nature will bear : For tho' these Consideration may have been a little out of your Way, yet they bear with them so much Evidence, that a man of tolerable Sense may have a perfect knowledge of all I have, or shall say on so sublime a Contemplation, as that of God and his Attributes.

Believe me, Sir, (reply'd *Philalethes*) all you have said is firmly fix'd in my Mind, and tho' I have been too much an Idler in serious Reflections, and too great a stranger to solid Philosophy, yet in my opinion, what you have said, is so very natural, and easy, that a Man has no Loss in being ignorant of the Subtiltie of the *School* to help him apprehend your Meaning. Common sense, and common Understanding will do it: Building on this, and hoping that you will excuse an Error in so unskillful a Head, you must give me leave to urge a Thought, which your Discourse has furnish'd me with, which is that *Eternal Now* as *Cowley* describes *Eternity*, which in my Opinion seems to exclude a *Succession* in the Divine Duration ; for a Succession in it, appears to me to give it Parts, and by consequence we may at least suppose a Separation, or Interval of them.

You have accidentally (reply'd *Christophil*) hit on a *School* Nicety, and which was borrowed from the *Platonists*. For, say they, there is this difference betwixt the Duration of Creatures, and that of the Creator; the first is compos'd of Successive Parts, but that of God hath none, but it is as *Boetius* defines it, *The whole, and perfect Possession at once of a Life without End*. But the Occasion of

of this Error of the *Platonists*, and their followers seems to be, that they thought it incompatible with the Immutability of God, that his Existence should not be whole, and altogether; since by Succession he would seem to have lost the Parts, that are gone, and to gain those that are present, or to come. But when you ask them how they can conceive, or form any Idea of the Duration of any Nature, and its Coexistence with that Successive Existence of the Creatures, without Succession? they answer you, That it is a thing inconceivable, and transcends our weak Capacity.

But if we acknowledge, as we ought, that from all Eternity, the Properties of all Created Beings were in God, and that none of them can ever depart from him, or any New joyn themselves to him so, as to make him less, or more perfect; and that the Existence of God is of absolute Necessity in it self, that is, that he cannot but exist without the most absurd Contradiction in Nature. If, I say, we own these particulars, which you see I have prov'd, in what do we detract from the Excellence of God, if we say, that his Duration is Successive, since we can otherwise form no Idea, or Conception of it.

He would rob God of his *Immutability*, who should assert, that God gained, or lost certain Attributes, or Perfections; or that there were a possibility of his perishing. But certainly those, who deny both these, can never be argued guilty of supposing a mutable Deity. This contested Succession of Existence being only an outward Term, which neither adds to, nor diminishes the thing, can include no Imperfection. It must be confess'd, that this Succession in the Creature is joyn'd with some Defect, for
while

while a man in Succession of Time grows old he loses some Qualities, and gets others; but if neither of these hapned, the Succession it self could not be any Imperfection. Thus, therefore, it could be no Imperfection in the Duration of the Deity, where it is free from all these and every other Imaginable Defect.

We must also farther confess, that the Duration of God cannot be measur'd by Days, Weeks, Months, Years, and Ages like ours, for the endless Repetition of Myriades of Myriades of Ages, would not suffice to measure out his Eternal Existence. Nor, if we should distinguish any Part of that immense Duration, by Hours, Days, and Years (as that, for example, from the Creation of the World to this Day) can we number those Days, Hours and Years any otherwise, than in Respect of our selves. For the coming parts do not add to those of Eternity, that are now past, for Eternity, cannot be more Eternal than it is, since as I have said it includes all Duration.

I cannot therefore see any Reason why we should admit this *Platonic* Eternity, since on one side, it is not built on *Revelation*, nor on the other on Reason, it being absolutely unintelligible, as ev'n themselves confess. I must fairly own, that when our Mind with any considerable Intensness contemplates this Succession of Duration, it is, as it were quite swallow'd up in it. But if we descend into our selves, we shall clearly observe, that the Mind is of necessity born to that Conception of *Eternity*.

The next Divine Attribute I shall consider is the *Immensify* : the Knowledge of which as I have said, is drawn from the apparent *Immensify* of *Matter*. For it seems very absurd to imagine, that

that God who has evidently many more Attributes, and Properties than *Matter*, who moves it, as he pleases, which in its own Nature, is Sluggish and without Motion, should be inferior to *Matter* in *Immensity*, and that there should be a Part of *Matter*, that reaches beyond the Power, and Energy of *God* : which yet must be, unless we allow, that *God* is *Immenfe*, and acts through the whole infinite Extension of *Matter* and *Space*. Nay if *God* were not *Immenfe*, there would be an Infinite Space of the Extended *Matter*, to which the Divine Power would never reach.

And here the *Christian* Philosophers produce the Creation of *Matter* by *God*, for since *God* Created all *Matter* as has been prov'd, and the Extension of this Created *Matter* is *Immenfe* the Being, that produc'd it must certainly be *Immenfe* likewise, for None can give a Property, which he has not himself.

You may perhaps, at length ask me what this *Immensity* of *God* is, since I have rather given an Obscure Description of it, than a Definition? I must answer you, that this *Immensity* is one of those Things, which I formerly told you were not known by themselves, but *a Posteriore*, that is, by our prior Knowledge of other Things ; and that all we can gather from thence is, that *God* can, and does with his Presence, and immediately in himself act throughout the whole Infinite extent of *Matter* and *Space*. But if you will needs still pursue your Query, and demand how, and which way he acts, and how, and in what manner he is present? I must own, and confess, that it surpasses our Understanding; tho' it is manifest from the Creation of the World and Man ; which are undeniable Arguments that *God* does act on *Matter*, and that immediately, by his own Pre-

sence. It is better, therefore, to own, that we do not know the *Modus*, or manner of the Thing, at the Knowledge of which Thing it self, we only arrive by our Knowledge of other Things. All attempts beyond it are but subtle Amusements, which end in giving God *Corporeity* without Motion, and the like, which are absolutely contradictory, and inconceivable. When therefore we say that God is *Immense*, as well as *Matter* and *Space*, we mean that he is every where present in his own Person with *Matter* and *Space*, and that he acts on *Matter* and in *Space* immediately, without any other Medium, tho' we do not know the *Modus* or Manner of his Action, or Presence, the Knowledge of which is of no Use, or Consequence to our Happiness.

From this Consideration of the *Immensify* of God, we easily pass to his *Spirituality*. All Beings are call'd *Spirits*, which in their Natures have *Understanding*, and *Will*, and certainly no one can doubt, but that God in this Sense is a *Spirit*. There is a Necessity that the Being, who gave Existence to the Human Mind, must have all the Properties we find in that, and those much more perfect, and ample, and many more in Number, as well as excellence. I have already shown, that tho' God has in himself all the Properties of the Creation, yet that they re in him without those defects, which they labour with in the Creature. The same may be said of these particular of *Spirits*. I shall only here observe, that we can make no difficulty of allowing him all the most eminent Properties, from whose Superexcellent Existence all Beings receiv'd their Beginning, and all their Properties, while this were Absolute and of Necessity.

To avoid the needless Disputes of Particulars, it is sufficient for us, to say in general, that God is a *Spiritual*, as well as an *Immense*, and an *Eternal* Being. I now therefore come to consider more at large the Properties of God, which hold a Likeness analogous to those of *Spirits*. And first of the Divine *Understanding*, and *Omnipotence*.

If we could not easily demonstrate from that *Understanding*, which God has bestow'd on Man, that he has an *Understanding* infinitely more perfect himself, which was the Eternal Original of ours, yet certainly no man in his Wits, when he views the World, but from his admirable Disposition and Order must allow it; for those wild Systems of its coming from *Chance*, as I have already confuted them, so they meet with very few open Patrons, as having so great a Spice of Madness and Ignorance obvious to the most common Capacity.

Not to hold you therefore with the long, and tedious Arguments of the Philosophers, by which they used to prove this self evident Proposition, I shall here only enquire into two things, First, The Manner, or by what Means God exercises the Attributes of *Understanding*, and next what are the Objects of that *Intellection*, according to which two, the Cognition or Knowledge of God uses to be divided into its several Classes.

If we look into the manner of our acquiring the Knowledge of things, we find it proceed either from *Sensation*, or *Reflection*; that is, the *Corporeal* Objects we know by the Means of the Motion of the Brain, and by the Images of those things, which we see being plac'd before the Mind; and the *Spiritual* by the Ideas, which present themselves to our Mind. But God does not Under-

stand after this Manner, since there are certain Defects, and Imperfections in our *Intellection*, which that of the Deity can by no Means be subject to. God is Conscious of himself, for that's an Attribute inseparable from the Nature of all Intelligent Beings. But here is the difference betwixt God, and us, for God has a full and a perfect view, an absolute, and an entire Knowledge of his whole Nature and Being, but we do not know the whole Nature of our Minds; for we are Created by God, who has set Bounds to our Faculties, which we are incapable of surpassing. But that Eternal Being, whose Existence was Necessary, and without Beginning, could receive Nothing from any other Nature, or could (as, I may say) confine himself.

God understands not from a *Sensation* proceeding from some Motions in his Nature, or the foreign Impulse of Objects, as we do, for he is not made as Man, nor can his Creatures affect him in that manner, for he would else have a dependance on them, as being liable to various Changes from the variety of Objects; which is both absurd, and unintelligible.

Nor does he understand Spiritual Things, as we do by the Intervention or Medium of certain Ideas, which do not depend on him. There are a great many things which we labour in vain to Understand; our Capacity does not keep pace with our Desires; for those Ideas, which seem to present the Thing, we pursue, to our Minds, we cannot fix in our Understanding, with all the Application, and Intention our Nature is capable of. Innumerable are the things we are ignorant of, ev'n in God himself, nor can we invent, or imagine any means to arrive at them. God therefore cannot understand and know Spiritual things

in

in the same Manner, that we do; for it is Impossible that he who gave all beings their several Properties, and their Relations to each other, &c. should not know what they are.

Besides, the things are extreamly few, which we know by a bare Intuition, most of what, we have any knowledge of, we are forc'd to come at through the windings of Demonstrations, which are sometimes prolix, and tedious enough. But the Reason, I have already alledg'd proves, that God with one single Intuition, or View perfectly knows all the Properties and Relations of all his Creatures. In Man the Faculty of Understanding is so confin'd, and streighten'd as I may say, that we cannot distinctly Understand many things at the same Time, and we are compell'd to consider separately those propositions, that have a mutual dependance on one another: But it is most certain, that he, who gave this Understanding to Man, stands in need of none of these troublesome Deductions of Propositions.

Notwithstanding therefore, that we term God an Intelligent Being, it must not be suppos'd that he Understands in the same Manner, that we do. But then you may ask me, since there is so vast a difference betwixt our Intellection, or Manner of Understanding, and of Gods, what is that Manner by which God exercises that Attribute? Here again I must confess, that these defects I have already shown in the Human Understanding, allow me only to see that there is this great difference betwixt them, but not to know the *Modus* or Manner of the Divine Natures Intellection.

All things that are *Knowable* either to the Human or divine Nature may be refer'd to these four Classes, 1. *Past*. 2. *Present*. 3. *Future*. 4. *Possible*. Man knows some of these certainly, many of them but very doubtfully, but most of them not at all. But it is plain from the Reasons already produc'd, that God who Made Man first can do more, than all Mankind put together, and that he has a knowledge infinitely more large and extensive. Since God did always Exist, and since he always does, and ever will govern and direct all things, he must of necessity perfectly know all his Creatures, and see what can be done by their Power, or will be done in their various and manifold Combinations: For it would have been Impossible, that he should either have made them, or preserv'd his Jurisdiction over them in their Regiment, and Administration, without a perfect knowledge of these things: and from hence we evidently conclude, that God knows all things.

Our Memory is the Store-house or Repository of past things, by which we hinder them from entirely vanishing from us; But they are in the Memory in such a Manner, that they are present in our Minds only in that individual Moment of our actual thinking of them. But then there are numberless things which we lose either by want of Attention, or through Necessity, whose escape from us, we cannot help, all which, no body can doubt, are certain proofs, and marks of weakness, and for that Reason every Body agrees, that they are absolutely banish'd from God, as incapable of falling into his Nature. When therefore God is said to remember a thing, it is only spoke to the Apprehension of the People.

Mans Presence is bounded by certain Limits, insomuch, that he is only said to be present, who sees the Thing; and whatever is done out of
our

our Sight, we say is done out of our Presence. The Angels perhaps may have a larger Extent of Presence; But God who is as we have seen, every where present by his *Immensify*, sees all things by himself, and in his own Presence. But God is not only Present to the *Corporeal* Actions, but also to the *Spiritual*, that is to the Thoughts of the Mind; for he made *Spirits*, as well as *Bodies*, and is therefore, as well skill'd in his *Spiritual*, as *Corporeal* Works. Men indeed who have no other way of knowing extrinseck things, but by Impulse on the external Senses, have no Sensation of those things which do not strike the Senses, such as are the Thoughts of other Men. But God who made those Organs of the Body, has no need of their help to observe what is done; *He* therefore as easily perceives the internal Actions of *Spirits*, as we do the Motion of *Bodies* from place to place.

All future Things are either such as must *Necessarily* come to pass, as such things, as are done by the Intervention of meer *Bodies*; or else *contingent*, as depending on the *Free Agency* of *Spiritual* Substances. The first no Man ever doubted of, and in my opinion the latter has not more room for doubt. For first if God did not foresee these *Contingent* Events as well as the *Mechanic*, he would every day gather the Knowledge of Things, which he knew not before, which is directly contrary to the Nature of God. But his Foreknowledge, does not give any necessary Impulse to the Creature so as to necessitate or determine him to that Event. But all Times being present to God, who knows all the Inclinations, Motions and Thoughts of *Spirits in perpetuum*, may very well be allowed, to see what Course they will take, what they will do by the Freedom
of

of their Choice, and by consequence know all Contingencies, that is all the free Actions of free Agents.

The fourth and last of those Things *Knowable*, was Things *Possible*, and it is no hard Matter to understand how God should know all *Possible* Things, if you remember what is already establish'd, that the Nature of all are clearly known to God, by which he may evidently see what can be done by their Help, or Power either separately, or Conjunctively; and since he is fully conscious of his own Perfections, he must know the Extent of his Power, that is what his Omnipotence is able to do, and what are repugnant in their Natures, and Contradictions.

What I have to say of the *Will*, and Actions of God I shall comprize in a very little compass, the Question being so very Obscure and Difficult, and we having so few Helps to assist us. But I would not have you suppose I mean by the *Will* here, his Pleasure or Commands, that is what is pleasing, or displeasing to him, for of that I shall produce sufficient demonstration, but here I mean the *Willing*, *Volition*, or Action of the *Will*, its Manner, and Motives of acting. For tho' one, and the same God both *Wills* and *Understands*, yet since to *Understand*, and to *Will* are two different Actions, we make this Distinction of the *Intellect* and *Will* of God. But here in the first Place I, and all Men ought to own our Ignorance, for we are so far from a clear sight into this Matter, that we do not so much as know how God is inclin'd to *Will*, because we are to seek in the Manner, and Mode of his Intellection, or Knowing. This indeed we may safely affirm, in general Terms, that God does not *Will*, or *Act* without the most Wise and Supream Reasons, agreeable to the Dignity of his own Nature.

We

We are to seek in the *Manner* of Gods acting; tho' we see, and know Millions of Things done by him. Some would have it, that God acts on his Creatures by I know not what sort of *Efflu- vium* proceeding from himself to them; but these Gentlemen not understanding what they say themselves, might as well have said nothing. Others will have it, that God *Acts* by his *Will*, in- so-much that his bare *Willing* such a Thing, with- out any farther *Action* is sufficient to produce the Thing. But tho' it be most certainly true, that whatever God *Wills* to have done, will be done, yet it does not thence follow, that the Things themselves are the immediate *Effect* of his *Willing* them.

It has been urg'd, that we know of no other Action of God but that he *Wills*, and that there- fore we ought to attribute no other to God. But this way of reasoning supposes, that we know all the Properties of a Spirit, because we assert, that there is nothing in the Spiritual Nature, which we do not know. But supposing this, who inform'd them that God acts like those Spi- rits, which we do know, that is the Human Mind? 'Tis certainly a very great Rashness to affirm, that the Human, and the Increated Mind are alike in this particular, when we evidently discover so vast a Difference in all things else. The princi- pal Action of God that we at least know, is his Creation of the Universe out of Nothing, which point I have already discuss'd in my Proof of a Deity. Nor will I here run into the subtil En- quiry whether God was free in the Creation, or necessitated to it by his Goodness, and the other Attributes of his Nature and Essence, since it all comes to the same thing, and affords nothing but useless Speculations: whereas we have room
little

little enough for Enquiries, that are material to our present Subject. And I think them sufficiently forgetful of their own Ignorance, and of the Method, by which we arrive at that small Knowledge we have in Divine Things, (small in Comparison of what we do not know, tho' we know enough for our Happiness and Wonder) who affirm, that God is not free in any of his Actions, that is that he necessarily *Acts*, as he necessarily *Exists*. We do not know how the *Will* of God is inclin'd to *Action*, nor can we talk of those Matters any other way, than by the Analogy they bear to those Properties which we find in our own Minds, and by which a *Posteriore* we arrive at our Sentiments of the Properties of God. But since there is no Proportion betwixt the two, and that we cannot by One, which we only know in Part, arrive to the whole knowledge of the other, by this Partial means, I think it most worthy of a Wise man to suspend his Judgment, and acknowledge his Ignorance.

After Mentioning the Creation, and the Actions of God, it would Naturally follows to speak here of the Providence, and Concurrence of God in the Government of the World. But because I shall be very particular in my Vindication of that, as a Point, on which you have both laid so great a stress tho' this be its proper place, I shall reserve that to the last Attribute, which I shall consider.

I shall therefore proceed to his *Infinity*, and *Omnipotence*. The Attributes of God are said to be infinite in *Number*, and in *Degree*. The divine Perfections are *Infinite* in *Number*, because God comprehends in himself all Possible Properties, which are so many in *Number*, that they can never be exhausted. And this is evident from the Power,
which

which God has to do all Possible Things, or of Making out of Nothing all Things, of which we can form any Idea, as I shall demonstrate immediately : But *none can give what he has not himself*, as I have often said ; God, therefore, must have all possible Properties in himself, which are in Number infinite.

Did we know all the Beings, that are in the whole Universe, we might perhaps ev'n thence draw the Infinity of God; for it is not improbable but that the Various Species of Beings already existent, may be infinite. *Infinite* is here taken in a limited sense, in regard of Man's Capacity of Numbring them; infinite in Number, and Degree, from *Nothing*, to that *Supream Being*, who made them *All* out of *Nothing*. This, at least, is certain, that it is not unworthy of that infinite Beneficence of the Omnipotent Workman, to have produc'd an infinite Number of Creatures, and on which he might Shower his inexhaustible Treasure. But since all we can say on this point will amount to no more, than a meer Conjecture, I shall build nothing on this Supposition, and shall only insist on the former Argument for the *Infinity* of the Properties of God.

The *Divine Perfections* are likewise *Infinite* in Degree, that is, because they are without all Bounds, and extend to all Things, that have any Relation to them. The *Duration* of God, for example, is infinite, because it never had Beginning, nor ever will have End. The *Divine Knowledge* is term'd infinite because it extends to all *Knowable Things*, nor is there any thing in Nature, that we can Name, of which we can say—*thus far reaches the KNOWLEDGE of God, and no farther*; or that *here terminates*, or *these are the Bounds of the Divine Knowledge*.

When

When I tell you, that God knows all things, I do not mean that he *Knows* Contradictions, for they are Nothing, and by consequence not the Objects of Knowledge. No reasonable Man, for example, would accuse me of detracting from the Omniscience of God, when I say, that he does not know a *Square Circle*, since a *Square Circle* is a contradictory Idea, and in deed a meer Nothing. So that as long, as we allow God the Knowledge of all things Knowable, and exclude no Proposition, that can be understood, we cannot be said to circumscribe, or set Bounds to the *Divine Knowledge*, by denying him the Science of that, which in its own Nature cannot be understood.

The same may be said of the *Omnipotence* of God; which is a *Faculty*, *Attribute*, or *Power* of doing all things, that are conceivable, that is all things that are not Contradictions in themselves, or to his own Essence. I have already observ'd, that we come to the Knowledge of the divine *Power*, from our Consciousness of a Capacity of doing some things our selves: and we gather that God is more powerful than we, because he has done Things, that we are not capable of doing, as for Example, to omit all other Created Beings, his giving a Beginning to Human Kind, when they had no Existence.

But when we reflect, that God existed from all Eternity, and that he owes none of all his Attributes to any other Beginning, but that he possess'd them eternally from, and in Himself, by the very Essence of his own Nature, we easily glide as it were into the Acknowledgment, that his *Power*, as well as all his other Attributes, is *Infinite*. For that Being, which is so excellent in its own Nature, as to be indu'd with an infinite Duration, as he receiv'd nothing from any other Being, so must his
Attri-

Attributes be without Bounds. Those Natures only have *Finite* Qualities, that receiv'd them, and their Being from the Will and Pleasure of another, who set them those Bounds, that were most agreeable to his Wisdom. But this will never hold of that Supream Being, who has no Original, nor any Bounds, but what he pleases to set himself.

From whence it follows, that the Divine Nature being its own Original, and Bounds has no Limits to his Power, or that he can do whatever can be done. There is nothing, that we can conceive in our Minds, or have any Idea of, to which the Power of God does not extend ; for all those things of which we can form any Idea, are *Possible*, or *Doable* Things. But whatever will afford us no manner of Idea or Notion, is a Contradiction, and a Contradiction is no more the Object of Power, than of Knowledge, for it is a *meer Nothing*.

The *Immutability* of God is look'd on as the Band of all the other Divine Attributes, by which he is incapable of acquiring any Property, which he did not Possess before, or of losing any Perfection, which he did Possess. This *Immutability* flows, as it were, from a general consideration of his *Eternity*. For that Nature who was always, as he stood in need of no other Cause to give him Being, so neither could it need any other Cause, Eternally to have furnish'd him with all imaginable Properties. But if this Nature was possess'd of all these Properties, he could never lose any one, because such a Nature would never cast off any of his Perfections ; nor could he be depriv'd of them by any other less powerful Being ; and all Beings proceeding from him must of Consequence be inferior to him.

But the *Immutability* of God falls under a double Consideration, first in Respect of the Divine Nature

ture, and next in Regard of his Decrees: *Immutability*, as far, as it regards the Divine Nature signifies, that there can be no Change, or Alteration made in the Properties, and Attributes of God. Nor can there be any in his Decrees, least God should seem to change his *Will* by unexpected Accidents, or by a Change of Council, which might arise from some sort of Ignorance.

There seems no manner of Difficulty, from the Reasons already alledg'd to comprehend this *Immutability* of the Nature, and Attributes of God: But the *Immutability* of his Decrees is not so obvious, if we Judge of them by his outward, and visible Actions. God decreed, for Example, to perfect the Creation of the World in such a certain Time, or point of Duration, and he decreed, that the Alteration of the Seasons, and of all Sublunary things should be *Various*, which may perhaps, at first sight seem a *Variety* in his Counsels. But this Difficulty will vanish if you remember, that there is a twofold Change or Mutation of Actions: One is suddain, as when a Thing happens that was not foreseen, or expected; as when a Pilot by the suddain shifting of the Winds steers to a contrary Port, to what his course was directed. But this is a Change that God cannot be liable to, because he foresees all things. But there is another Change, that has nothing unworthy of the Nature of God, and that is a Change that is already conceiv'd, and decreed in the Mind before the Thing happens, that requires that Change. If for Example, any one foresees, that such Events will happen, and that on their happening he shall be oblig'd to act in another Manner, than he does now, for God always remains in himself the same, notwithstanding his outward, and extrinsic Actions may vary.

God

God decreed in himself from all Eternity to Create the World in a certain point of Eternal Duration (which he only knew, as only capacious of whole Eternity) which Decree he at length in the destin'd Minute put in Execution. Tho' this Action of Creation was not Coeternal with the Decree, yet it does not in any way derogate from the divine Perfection, and Excellence, since it was the Execution of an Eternal Decree, and not the Effect of a suddain Resolution. Thus the Changes and continual Vicissitudes of Corporeal Things, as the Variety of the Seasons, and the like, in no Measure affect the Divine *Immutability*, since they always proceed in a certain Order, and follow certain Laws once Establish'd, from which they neither do, nor can ever vary.

It has been Objected, that the doing an Action in one certain Time, which he does in no Other, is an Argument of an Alteration in God. He exerted, for example, that Action of Creation but once, never doing it before nor repeating it since. To this I reply, that it must be own'd, that here is some Mutation, or Change in this particular, but since Action is but the accidental Effect of the Properties of the Agent, it gives no Change to the Properties, if it do not exhaust the Faculty of the Agent. The Actions of God would bring a Change on his Attributes, if his Power could be exhausted by those Actions. But that is a suppos'd Effect, which no Body imagines. Thus, therefore, if it could be said, that God exerted any Action opposite, or contrary to his Virtues, that indeed would place in God an Alteration unworthy of his Deity. But since all the Actions of God absolutely, and entirely agree with his Virtues, that Change, which may be thus suppos'd in God, does not at all lessen, or clash with his *Immutability*.

G

There

There is, a great Affinity betwixt the *Immutability*, and the *Simplicity* of God, I mean the Opposite to *Composition*. By this Attribute the Philosophers make God so simple, and uncompounded a Being, that he is absolutely free from all Mixture, or Composition of any Kind whatever, He is not compounded of divisible Parts, as Bodies are, for then he would be liable to be affected, and chang'd by his Creatures; he is not compounded of *Essence*, and *Existence* for these in God are inseperable since God Essentially exists.

I shall pass over the Subtillties of the Metaphysicians in this place, as of no Use to my Purpose, nor of any weight in themselves, and proceed to the *Unity* of God.

Having already prov'd that there is a God, and explain'd several of his *Physical* Attributes. There only remains for us to consider the *UNITY* of that *Supream* Nature from whom all Things derive their Being. I have already demonstrated, that there is a Necessity, that there should be some Eternal Cause of all Things, which have had a Beginning. But it may not yet indeed seem sufficiently determin'd, whether we should call it a *Cause*, or *Causes*.

If we look into the Arguments, by which we have prov'd the Eternity of at least some Cause, or other, we shall not be able to find *One*, that can give the least Suspicion of *many* Coeternal *Causes*. It would be too tedious to repeat *all* the Arguments for the Deity, *One* only shall suffice. I said, that all things, which had a Beginning, must be produc'd by some other Being, who had none, but was Eternal, because *Nothing* could arise out of *Nothing* by it self into Being: Whence appear'd the Necessity of some Eternal Cause without Beginning to give their Original to all Things, that were not of Eternal Existence. But to gain
this

this End, and render our Argument invincible; *One Cause* is sufficient for all that is done; and there is no Need of a Multitude of *Eternal Causes*, to effect what one may as well do.

The Philosophers have argu'd against the Plurality of Gods from the Confusion, that many Infinites must produce, in their Opposition to one another, which would naturally hinder one anothers Actions. For tho' we allow, that many *Eternal Causes* would all concur in what is agreeable in their *Virtues*, and *Perfections*, yet that there is a Possibility that they may dissent in matters indifferent, which are equally good. Suppose, for Example, two contrary Things, opposite, and destructive to one another, and both Subjects of the free Agency of these several *Eternal Causes*; and suppose one *Eternal Cause*, designs (by his Freedom of Agency, and Choice) to do One, and another *Eternal Cause* (by the same Freedom of Agency and Choice) the Contrary, what Dissention must follow, and what Confusion of all Things? But let us be particular, and suppose that one of these Deities is for the Suns cutting the Equinoctial Line obliquely, and another Deity would have the Sun follow it in a Parallel Motion: What would be the Effect of this Contest? One must of Necessity, and whether he Will or not, give way to the Other, or the Contention would be forever; and he that yielded must be inferiour to the Other, which would contradict their suppos'd Coeternity.

'Tis certain, that we find nothing in the Make, or Administration of our *Vortex*, which in the least requires more, than One supream Cause. All things go on in their Constant Order; and the Inferiour Mechanic Causes always act in the same Manner, which sufficiently shows, that they are guided and govern'd by the Rule or Regiment

of *One only Supream Cause and Agent*. And whoever would be so mad, as to Imagine a different presiding Deity over every *Turbilion*, or *Vortex*, will be under a Necessity of proving this bold Affirmation, and to give Substantial Reasons, and Motives for this Partition-Treaty of the Gods both in the Formation, and Government of every *Kortex*.

But it must be own'd, that the *Unity* of the Supream Cause on which all others depend, does not exclude many inferiour, or second Causes; by which, as by so many Officers, or Instruments the CAUSE of CAUSES governs, and directs All Things.

I am now arriv'd at the *Moral Attributes* of God, which, when I touch'd in general, I shew'd how we form'd the Ideas of them, and which we call *Virtues*. But I shall now be a little more particular on that Argument.

We may divide the Virtues of Mankind into three sorts according to the Objects to which they are refer'd: That is, 1. To our Selves, 2. To our Neighbour, 3. And to God. We are led by the very Constitution of Human Affairs, as it were by the Hand to these Virtues; for if we act agreeably to the Necessities of Society, we, in that very thing are Virtuous: and this I must endeavour to prove. For unless we are perfectly appriz'd of this, we must of Necessity have a false Idea of the Virtues of the Deity.

The Aim of those Moral Virtues, which regard our selves, is that we do not make either Body, or Mind duller, and unfit for those Offices, for which Nature design'd them, and by that Means be disappointed, by our own fault of that Happiness, which else we might obtain. Thus the *Love of Knowledge* in *Truth*, and *Things Useful*, aims at opening a way for us to arrive at Happiness. *Sobriety*, which has a more parti-

particular Eye to the Body, is a Virtue, that regulates our Appetites, and guards us from the ill Effects of Intemperance ; least that render the Mind duller, and more unfit for thinking, than it would be by observing the Laws of *Sobriety* ; and make the Body infirm by too large a share of Drink, and Food ; by which we should become unapt to all Things, and unable to discharge the Duties of either Body or Mind, in almost all particulars. Whence it appears, that to direct our Actions according to our Nature, is strictly to follow the Precepts of Virtue ;

There are in God indeed no Virtues to direct him to shun Evil, and Inconveniencies, for his Nature is incapable and unsusceptible of any such Thing. But God has so form'd and dispos'd us, that we lie under a Necessity of Cultivating, and Practising those Virtues, if we would be happy ; from whence it inevitably follows, that these Virtues are agreeable to the Divine Nature, and are therefore approv'd by God. For how can we imagine, that what is so very conducive to the Preservation, and Happiness of the Work should not be agreeable, and pleasing to the Workman ? But that *Judgment* of God, by which he approves these Virtues, is a Virtue, which answer to ours, as far as the vast Difference of the Divine, and Human Nature will permit.

Again—— As we ought to act according to the true Dictates of our Nature, so God necessarily acts agreeably, and according to his. God cannot but love *Truth*, because he cannot be ignorant of, or fear any Evil from it. He must of Necessity act contrary to his Excellent, and Transcendent Nature if he approve, or love a Lye. The *Love of Truth* therefore is a Virtue, that is in God, as well, as in Us.

All the Moral Vertues, that regard our Neighbour are compriz'd in, and tend all to this one Point *Do as you would be done by*, which if it were justly observ'd, would render Man's Life extreamly happy; whereas from the Breach of it flow numberless Calamities, as Experience may, on a just Reflection, sufficiently convince us. Since therefore we have abundant Evidence, that we were not plac'd in this Life, by a Beneficent God, with a Design that we should be Wretched, that Beneficent God must without Doubt, nay of Necessity, disprove, and condemn those Vices which are destructive of the Happiness of Mankind; and approve, and favour those Virtues, which promote, and confirm it. And this certain Approbation is what we suppose analogous in God to those Moral Virtues in Man, which respect our Neighbour.

To put this yet in a fairer Light, I shall consider two of them in particular, and those are *Justice*, and *Beneficence*. Justice considered in general, consists in *giving every one his due*; and is divided into *Distributive*, and *Vindicative*. *Distributive* is when we give and preserve to every Man his Right either by Acquisition or Possession. If this were not Observ'd, if Men did not this by one another, Life were not to be endur'd. Whence it appears, that the very Necessity of Life leads us to *distributive Justice*. God therefore teaches us this Vertue by that Necessity, of which he is the Author. But we cannot say he has this Vertue in himself in the same Manner, as it is in us, because all things are his, and therefore he can take nothing from any of his Creatures, that he was not Universal proprietor of before. But I shall say more of the Justice of God immediately, and a little further examine into its Nature, than I have yet seen in any Discourses of this kind.

The

The other Species of Justice is what we call *Vindictive*, which tho' it take its Rise from particulars, is yet forbidden them on the most just, and solid Grounds. It consists in the *Punishment of Offences in Proportion to their Guilt*, which ought in all Human Societies to be done and exercis'd only by the Magistrate. This Virtue must be in God because he is by his own Nature the Supream Judge of Human Kind.

Since to obtain that happy Life here which the Bignity of God, who plac'd us here, design'd us, we ought every one to help his Neighbour, as much as we can; for whoever, by neglecting the just and common Duties of Life, opposes the *Divine Will*, is ingrateful to God, and can therefore, by his own Rule, and in his own Right expect no Favour, nor Benefit from God. But if he pertinaciously persevere through the whole Course of his Life, in this Injustice, why should not God, who is the Author and Guardian of Human Society, in his Justice prove to this Offender, by the Infliction of a painful Punishment on him, how odious Injustice is in his Sight. 'Tis certain, that we should conclude the Governors of Societies and States here in the World very unjustly remiss, if they should always suffer the Disturbers of them to escape their due Punishment.

If all Mankind should do no more for one another, than just what the Establish'd Laws of each Country oblige them to, their Life would be most unhappy. That Virtue, therefore, is call'd *Benevolent*, which teaches and prompts us to do more, than the Laws require; and this Virtue is infinitely more Conspicuous, and Excellent in God, than in the best of Men. For tho' *Benevolence* is not prescrib'd by any Civil, or Municipal Law, yet it is Commanded by the natural Constitution of Human Affairs, as I have shown, since without it

Life would not deserve so comfortable a Name. But the Beneficence of God flows not from any Hope, and Prospect of Advantage from us, but from the meer Voluntary Impulse of his own most excellent Nature ; which will not suffer him, nor to do good to his own Creatures, or permit him to make their Evil and Unhappiness his Aim. This *Virtue* we find in God, without the tedious Deductions of a long Argument, but by the Experience of every Day, Hour, Minute of Time and by every Breath that we draw, yet we form our Idea of it from our Knowledge of Beneficence in Man.

We arrive at the Knowledge of the rest of the Divine Vertues by this same Method. We call God *Holy*, for example, because he approves the Holiness of Man, and cannot act contrary to his own Constitutions. For what can oblige God to a Contradiction of them ? We also term God *Veracious*, because having made Truth necessary to the Constitution of Human Society, he will not violate that Law, which he made himself, nor would he do that, which makes Lyes so odious to him. The Reason of Mans *Untruth*, or *Lying* is either out of Fear, Design, Levity, or Habit, but none of these Motives can reach God, he cannot therefore be other, than *Supream*, and *Unalterable Truth*.

The third Rank of our Human *Moral Vertues* respects God, according to my former Division, all which are comprehended under the Name or Denomination of *Piety* ; which is first plac'd in the Opinion, or Belief of a God ; and next in our Veneration of him, and an outward Proof, and Testimony of it, proportion'd to the Excellence of that Nature, which contains the Properties of all things in himself, and to whom we owe all Things. But these Vertues consisting in the Duties of an

Inferiour

Inferiour to a Superiour Being, can have no Place in God, or be in him any other Way, than in his Approbation of them in Man. If we are oblig'd to honour and esteem men in Proportion to their Virtues, Merits, and the Benefits, we receive from them, what is then our Debt to the Almighty Benefactor, and Maker? Justice demands of us the first to Man, which being fix'd, there is no reason to suppose that it should not be highly agreeable to God to have the same paid to himself.

I might here conclude this Discourse of the Attributes of God, but that I have reserv'd a farther Consideration of his *Holyness, Justice, and Goodness*, for this place, before I proceed to my Vindication of his Providence. Because they are what some Authors have much spoken of, but never defin'd, nor describ'd; at least, as far, as I know. They have given us the Words, with magnificent Reflections but never sufficiently explain'd the Things, at least in those Treatises, that have falln in my Way; or if they have, I think it of Importance enough to give you a View of them in this Place.

Not to amuse, or bewilder you with Words, I shall define or describe them in their Order, to the best of my Capacity, that you may by that means have a clearer Conception of Things, which by their Obscurity too often confound the Understanding, and Stagger the Belief. Both which are avoided by the plain, and easy Explanation of the Things themselves.

The first I speak of is the *absolute Holiness* of God. Rightly therefore to Understand what is meant by *absolute Holiness*, we must have recourse to the common Acceptation of the Word *Holiness*, and we shall find, that we always call him a *Holy Man*, who is indu'd with a perfect Love of God,
an

an uncorrupted Justice to his Neighbour; who seeks the *Unum Necessarium*; that is who lives up to the precepts of the Gospel, which cannot be done without a true Holiness. I take Holiness therefore to be the whole Circle of the Virtues compriz'd in a Word. This therefore is the highest Perfection of Man, and the most conducive to his Happiness both here, and hereafter; and from which Man never deviates but thro' Ignorance, or Weakness. Ignorance in not distinguishing between, and Weakness in choosing the appearing Good instead of the real. It signifies likewise a steadfast Constancy, or Uprightness in the Pursuit of Virtue, a Consistency, and Equality of Will, by which one is always drawn to what is good, and pleasing to God.

Now, that this which is so great a Perfection in Man should be in God, is plain by what I have said of his other Attributes, and because what we have we receive from him, but none can give what he has not himself, God therefore is Holy. But we add *absolutely Holy*, because it is without any Defect, he is always the same, he has no Defects of Understanding, or Weakness to bias him to Inconstancy, or Inconsistency with himself.

Holiness therefore in God is Fullness of all Virtues, and absolute Exemption from all Evil, and to keep still to our first Draught of it in the Creature, God has a perfect Love of himself, and an inviolable Justice to all his Creatures, a constant Consistency with himself, and a full Possession of all the Virtues; that is, cannot indeed be without all imaginable Perfections.

This will include his *unsported Purity*, which amounts to no more, than that he is absolutely free from all manner of Fault; for the allowing him all Perfections excludes a Possibility of Fault.

I come next to *infinite Goodness*. Tho' the Word *Goodness* is equivocal, yet in every Sense it Sovereignly agrees with God. The Philosophers call all Beings *Good*, that have all Qualities necessary for the Performance of that Office, to which they are design'd by their Maker. But because the wise Creator could not be deceiv'd in the Production of his Works, all Beings are call'd good by the Metaphysical Philosopher, that is, all Beings are adapted, and qualifi'd to accomplish all the Ends of their Creation. This is the *Metaphysical Goodness* and is in the Highest Infinite Perfection in God, because that Being, who has an Infinity of Power, Wisdom, &c. can want no Attribute necessary to the End of his Being. Now the End of the Being of God (if we may Use such an Expression) is to be Eternally Happy; but sure no man that allows that there is a God can be so wild to suppose, that he that has all things in his Power, can be otherwise, than happy.

But this *Metaphysical Goodness* is extremely different from the *Moral Goodness*. The *Moral Goodness* consists in Benignity, and Mansuetude, and belongs only to Intelligent Beings, may decay in them, nay is actually much decay'd, whereas the *Metaphysical Goodness* cannot be separated from the Creature, without the absolute Destruction of its Being.

But it is the *Moral Goodness* of God that I shall here consider, in which we find Beneficence, an eternal Bounty of Nature, which is always exerting it self. And this we prove from his Works. What but infinite Goodness could Produce the Universe, and all those Myriades of Beings visible, and invisible? God was perfectly happy in himself from all Eternity, and could receive no Addition, or Diminution of Beatitude from Created Beings. Before all Matter was, before all Spirits
but

but Himself, he could have no other Motive for their Production but his *Goodness*, to impart various and different Portions of his inexhaustible Source of Happiness to each, in Proportion to the Capacity of each ; as it is express'd in some Blank Verses of a Friend of mine in Imitation of *Milton's* Stile. They begin from Gods framing Man, and are suppos'd to be Spoke by the Muse *Urania*.

Pure was his Soul breath'd from the Mouth of God
 With Good dilated, and inform'd by Love
 Love, Wisdom, Powr in Minature design'd
 From the eternal Architype above,
 The Makers Image wondrously express'd,
 Man else like Brutes incapable had been
 Of Happiness, the bounteous Aim of Heav'n
 In making Man. For God through the vast Round
 Of all Eternity for ever blest,
 In his own full Beatitude compleat
 From Man could no Access of Bliss derive.
 Nor Man, nor Angel, nor created Being
 Most perfect, wrought with Energy Divine,
 With all the Power of the Omnific Word,
 Could add the least to the eternal Bliss,
 The full and perfect Happiness of God.
 For that might wast, that could admit Encrease ;
 So by a Train of Consequences close
 Might lose each Part, and Portion of his Joys,
 And so the Whole ; and pass those fatal Bounds,
 That Grief and Joy divide. Partition dread !
 And to the Omnipotent impervious known !

Self happy O ! Eternal Source of Good !
 O ! Goodness self, who dost admit no Bounds !
 Active, as infinite ! unweary'd still
 Thy wondrous Energy diffusing wide !
 In Works as Wondrous ! O ! most Sacred Power !
 'Twas thy Spontaneous Goodness fram'd us All !

Unnum.

Unnumber'd Myriades, call'd from th' Abyss
 The darksom Womb of Nothing into Being,
 Benignly destin'd all thy Bliss to share;
 Seraph, and Cherub, and thy Image Man;
 With Creatures numberless to Man Unknown,
 Through Earth, through Air, and through those Shining
 (Orbs,
 That Show thy Glory through the vast expanse.

Here paus'd the Muse unable to express
 In Sounds proportion'd to a mortal Ear
 The grateful Salleys of her Love divine.
 The Extasie in Sacred Silence roll'd,
 Within her joyous Breast. Silence it seem'd,
 Yet from her vocal Eyes enlarg'd, ascend
 Up to the Throne of God Harmonious Hymns
 From all her Faculties and Pow'rs combin'd
 In one Enthusiastick Act of Love.
 To me, to me the blest Infection Spreads,
 And now my widen'd Soul admits the God,
 His Goodness infinite, and tastes of Heav'n!
 O! Joy transporting! Oh! Delight divine!
 Oh! Pleasure only worthy Human Kind!
 That more than answers all our vast Desires!
 Surmounts our Hopes, and satisfies our Reason!
 The Image in the Original absorpt!

This Beneficence of God is essential to his Nature, nay it is GOD: and whatever Acts of Justice, or Judgment, that are attributed to God, do not agree with his eternal Principle of Goodness are false and impious Imputations; the Effect of Passion or Design, or Both (for they are seldom separated) to consecrate the Wickedness of Man by the Attributes of God. God cannot but be Good, that is Beneficent, because his Power is infinite, and eternal, and can therefore fear no Assault; he is securely, and eternally possess'd of an exhaustible Source of Bliss, above all his Creatures, all whose
 Happy-

Happiness, Pow'r, &c. are Derivatives from his, and cannot therefore provoke his Malice or Envy, which are Vices, that argue a Defect, and Impotence of obtaining what we see another enjoy and we want. But this can never reach God blessed for ever more; From whom all Happiness comes, and who has all Happiness in Himself, inseparable from his Nature, and compleatly Perfect. Avarice in Man proceeds from fear of wanting what we part with; but God who is the Source of All can never fear a Decay, because it must be coeternal with his Existence, and can no more fail, than his own Deity. There is that Remnant of Goodness yet in Man, that if his giving did not lessen his Store, he would bestow on All. Shall, or can we think less of the Goodness of God, from whom man derives his? Can we comprehend the Endless, and Beneficent Emanations of infinite Goodness?

I now come to his *Justice* and *Mercy*. The latter I shall defer till I have discours'd of the Law of Nature. For *Mercy* is an exacting less than what legal Justice requires, or a total Remission of the Penalty of the Breach of the Law; and to this Place I must also refer the greatest Part of my Considerations of *Justice*, especially that Part which relates to Punishment, which is *Punitive* or *Vindicative Justice* which depends on the Laws, and their Breach, &c. is naturally consider'd with *Mercy*.

The *Civilians* define Justice to be a constant and perpetual Will of giving every one his due; and the Nature, and Extent of it will appear from the following Maxims.

(1) That

(95)

(1)

That we ought to love the Greatest Good, with the greatest Love; the indifferent, or middle Good with a less or proportion'd Love to the Object; and the least Good, with the least Affection.

(2)

That whatever Good you would have another do to you in such certain Circumstances, that you ought to do to him in the same Circumstances, if you can without Injury to any third Person.

(3)

The Ill, you would not have another Offer to you, you should avoid Offering to another, if you can without Injury to any third Person.

(4)

Good not Evil ought to be return'd for Good.

(5)

'Tis Good for Man to have Sufficient to support a happy Life.

(6)

And if it be good for one Man to have Sufficient to support a happy Life, 'tis doubly so for two, trebly for three, and a Thousandfold for a Thousand; and so on.

(7)

'Tis better, that one Man should not live voluptuously, than, that another should live miserably.

(8)

'Tis good and Just that every one has his due, and that the free, and quiet Possession of it be permitted him without Hindrance, or Molestation.

(9) 'Tis

'Tis evident, that any Man may so behave himself, that what was his Right by Acquisition, or Gift, may by Right cease to be his.

Out of these Moral Maxims we may form *Distributive Justice*, in a just Valuation of Things according to their Worth; in Beneficence to others by the Rule of our own Desires, always excluding Injury to a third Person, for Injury, and Justice are Opposites; in avoiding Evil doings, and by taking the Measures of it from our own Desires from Others: In returning Good for Good, that is in being grateful: a Regard to the Felicity of Man in his Property, and Fund of Happiness; and to regulate it so, that one has not all, and the other Nothing.

For this Reason *Cicero* calls Justice the greatest Splendour of Virtue; of which the Name, and Distinction of a good Man consists. *Tully* also makes *Faith* the Foundation of Justice, that is, the Constancy, and Verity of a Mans Sayings, and Agreements.

Let us therefore from these Lights take a View of Gods Justice to Man. And in this we must consider the Rise, and the Qualities of Man; all which are deriv'd from God.

'Tis agreed on all hands, and every one in himself knows, that there was a Time when man in general, and each in particular was not, and by Consequence, that God call'd them, and him out of Nothing into a Being (which we will now suppose, and hereafter prove) immortal, lyable to Pain, as well, as Pleasure; and by Consequence highly sensible of Misery, and Happiness. Now God drawing this Being out of Nothing without its own Consent and Agreement (for before it was, it could

could no more give its Consent, than arise out of Nothing by its own Power) and made it liable to Pleasure, and Pain, Justice requir'd, that the Creator should at the Production of such a Creature furnish him with certain, and undubitable Means of avoiding Pain, and obtaining Pleasure, else the Being he bestow'd had been a Curse, and a Punishment without a Crime, which is inconsistent with either the Vindicative or Distributive Justice of God, and by Consequence Contrary to his Nature; for, as there is a Perfect Harmony in the Divine Attributes, this must have contradicted them all. It was the Justice, the *Distributive Justice* of God, therefore, which gave Man Reason, and Knowledge to distinguish betwixt things offensive, or agreeable to his Happiness, and productive of Pain, or Pleasure, and by Consequence of Happiness, or Misery, this being only a Continuation of Pain, and that of a Continuation of Pleasure. *Distributive Justice* therefore, is in the Deity.

Again—It is not consistent with the *Distributive*, or *Vindicative* Justice of God to give Laws to this sensitive, intelligent, immortal Being, which his Nature is incapable of observing; for it would be the Height of Injustice to punish a Man because he don't Flye, for which he is not made by Nature; so God cannot punish Man for the Breach of any Law which he was not capable of keeping. God, therefore, who is infinitely just, and necessarily Good, could make no such Law; and whatever Laws there are, that are truly, and really, without the Capacity of Human Nature to keep, must be the Invention of Man, of designing Man; For all the Laws of God, as they are directed to the immediate Happiness of Man, so are they easy to be observ'd, and obvious to

be understood. It is also inconsistent with the Justice of God to require our Belief of a *Contradiction*, or to place our eternal Happiness, or Misery on our belief of it. Thus the *Romanists* tell us, that the Belief of *Transubstantiation* is Necessary to Salvation, when it is not intelligible in it self, it contradicts all the Notices of our Sense, and Reason, by which we arrive at the Knowledge of ev'n God himself. God has given us no way of knowing any thing but by Sensation, and Reflection, and neither of these can guide us to the Understanding of *Transubstantiation*, which being a Contradiction cannot be understood, for it is nothing, and so not the Object of Knowledge, or Understanding, and by Consequence not of Belief, not therefore, commanded by God, as being impossible for Man to believe.

Thus seeing that in Reason there are some Dues of Right belonging to Man, as an intelligent, and immortal Being, it is plain that God has *distributive Justice*, which may not improperly be call'd *Communicative Justice*, as drawn from our foregoing Maxims, but in an infinite Degree of Perfection, without Defect, Intervals or Weakness. And by this Consideration of the Justice of God we may have a true Distinction betwixt the Doctrines of God, and those of Men. There is a just Provision made for Mans Happiness, a firm Constancy in Gods Laws, that Man may not plead Ignorance, and a Faith in his Covenants, since they can never be broken on his side. All which are such Comforts to Man, that he will find no Terror in the Contemplation of God, as long, as he is just to himself. For a true Love of our selves is a good step to the true Love of God; as a false Love of our selves, is the most certain Way never to arrive at a true Love of God.

Christo-

Christophil had scarce done speaking when they saw *Pleonexus* coming towards them, with a slow Pace and thoughtful Countenance, that witnessed some Concern. Behold (said *Philalethes*) yon Disturber of our Pleasures ; for while you have been speaking of the Nature of God, I have felt the most transporting Satisfaction that I ever experienc'd in my Life. Oh ! *Christophil*, this Good, this Wise, this Omnipotent God can he forsake us ? does he not still look after and direct us ? For what unspeakable Pleasure, and Content must it be to think, that we are daily the care of an infinite Beneficence. O ! *Christophil* remove those Barrs to Providence, which tho' they cannot destroy my Belief of it, from what I have already heard, yet they fill my Mind with Scruples, that I cannot fully answer either to my self, or another.

By this time *Pleonexus* was come up to them, and being ask'd how he rested, and what was the Occasion of that visible Care in his Face ; He told them it was the Effect of a very lively Dream, that had often disturb'd him that Night, which on Enquiry he own'd was of some Robbery done on his hidden Gold. After much Pains spent in arguing against, and ridiculing his Folly, he was persuaded to sit down, and hear his Arguments against Providence confuted.

THE
Third Discourse.
 OF
PROVIDENCE.

And the Concurrence of God in the Administration of Humane Affairs, with a new and demonstrable Vindication of that Particular.

Chrisophil turning himself to Pleonaxus and said, though you have mis'd my past Discourse of the Nature of God; that is, the Consideration of the several Attributes of the Deity, as far as they are certainly known to us, and as far as that Knowledge is Necessary to our Conduct of Life, and to establish those Laws, by which Human Society is directed to that Happiness, which was the Aim of our Maker; and lastly, as far as they were necessary to advance, and enlarge our Magnificent, and adorable Idea, and Contemplation of God.

Mr. Hobbs indeed in his xi Chap. of his Treatise of *Humane Nature* will allow us not the least Knowledge of any Attribute of God except his *Existence*. But as for what his Will is; what we ought

ought to do in Complaine with it, that he leaves to the Meer Invention of Man: and with good Reason two, since it was but Necessary for him, that was going to eradicate all the receiv'd Notions of that Law of Nature, which were built on the Acknowledgment of the known Attributes of God, and to Substitute a new Law of Nature, that is the Law of the Sword, should deny the Foundation of the Doctrine opposite to his opinion. But does he give the least shadow of a Reason for so bold, so singular and so impious a Position. No one, any more, than for some other new Discoveries of that Book, in which he lays down Positions without Proof, and supposes his Authority sufficient to over balance the Reasons of all other Logicians, as well, as moral Philosophers. I shall give one Instance for All tho' I could give a Thousand (for the whole Piece seems to me an obscure Jumble of false *Logick*, and false *Ethicks*) under his head of *Experience*, he will needs have it, that *Experience* is no Guide to Certainty, since tho' it judge by twenty Times Success, yet it may fail in the one and twentieth Time. So that all knowledge from *Experience* is at most but as a Wager of twenty to one.

But in Opposition to this he draws the Confirmation of all his Positions from one single Instance, generally speaking, and never from above two, making from that particular, a general Conclusion. As for Example, he says, That there is no such Thing, as Colour really inherent in any Subject, and that Colour, and Light are but the Effect of Motion beating on the Eye; that Light is not in any one Body how much soever it appears to be luminous, but that it is a certain sort of Modus or Manner of Percussion of Motion on the visual Organ. One would expect some uncontested

Demonstration for so whimsical a Paradox. but all you are like to find is an Instance drawn from an Observation which has nothing to do with the Matter. It is this, That a Blow on the Eye presents the Image of Fire or Light, where really there is none; That is, when the Organ of the Eye is disturb'd, and disorder'd it does not see or judge of Objects, as they really are; therefore it does not distinguish Objects better when it is in order, and they in their just Position. A very Philosophical Consequence, and worthy the great Mr. *Hobbs*. He might have advanc'd some other Paradoxes, on this Bottom, as surprising as this, by arguing from the different Appearance of Things in regard of their Situation to the Eye, as when they are plac'd too far off, or too near; he might have concluded, that there was no such Thing as *Round* or *Square*, because the Eye viewing a Square Tower at too great a Distance, that is beyond the Power of the Eye to judge truly, it seems *round*, and that *Round*, and *Square* are only the different Pulsations of the Object on them. Many more learned, and nice Conclusions of this Nature might be drawn to confirm what he seems to aim at a general *Scepticism*; and to destroy all the Means of Knowledge he has not left us the Assurance of our Senses, so that being no longer certain whether it be Day, or Night, Light or Darkness; whether we feel, hear, see, or taste, or whether all these Things are fantastic motions of the Imagination.

Thus he has endeavour'd to rob us of all our Knowledge of God, except only this, that he *Exists*; that he is in his Nature (as you *Philalethes* urg'd) incomprehensible, and by Consequence not to be known by us at all; which I think I have evidently confuted by giving an Equal Demonstration

monstration of his *Attributes*, and *Will*, with that of his *Existence*. For your Conclusion was just, if we only know, that there is a God, or First Cause (for that is all Mr. *Hobbs* aims at in his first *Producer*) what is it to me whether it were *Chance*; or an Intelligent Being? nay does Mr. *Hobbs* give the least Hint that he takes it for an Intelligent Cause, and not *Chance*; if he does, I desire his Defenders to prove it from his Assertions, and Fundamentals, without at the same Time demonstrating all the *Attributes* of God, of which I have now discours'd, for whatever contributes to prove him an intelligent Nature, will prove all the rest; so inseparable is the Proof of his *Attributes* from that of his *Existence*.

But he depriv'd us of all Knowledge of God only to prepare the Way for what soon follows; The denying us any Knowledge of the Scriptures being the Word of God, but from the Church, which therefore ought to be the Interpreter of it to her Children, a Position extreamly agreeable to the Council of *Trent* I confess, and to the Popes Infallibility. But I Promise you to give other Proofs of its being the Word of God, than what Mr. *Hobbs* advances. But I suppose the Unintelligibleness of some Part of the Book, and the Novelty of others has given it a Reputation with those, who cry it up, that they may be thought men of Penetration, and Discernment to discover Wonders, where others can find nothing great, or Solid.

I must (interrupted *Pleonexus*) answer you as I have heard, that Mr. *Hobbs* himself answer'd a Bookseller, who told him that such a Person of Learning, could not understand his Book, what, says Mr. *Hobbs* can I help that, can I encrease his Understanding.

You need not make the Application (said *Christophil*) I understand you. But that is but a poor Defence of what Argues him guilty of so great a Fault in writing as Obscurity. If he wrote not to be understood, why did he Write at all? and since Men of Sense and Learning did not understand him, it is very plain, that he did not write with that Perspicuity which he ought. *Cowley's* Censures of *Persens* will be stronger on him, that he is not a good Author because he is Obscure. But it must be confess'd in his Justification that such Arguments, as destroy the Knowledge of God, of Religion, and Nature are best couch'd in Obscurity.

But I will leave him wrapt up in his beloved and palpable Darkness, to return again to the Light, and proceed to my proof of the Divine Providence, who as it made us, does still protect and preserve us.

Providence I, therefore, think may be very well defin'd, *The Action of God, by which he preserves and governs all his Creatures, according to the Laws Establish'd by himself.* You demand, perhaps, whether this can be prov'd by Reason? I answer— to a Demonstration. But first we must observe, that the Things, on which the Providence of God is exercis'd are of two Kinds, some are destitute of Understanding, and by Consequence of Liberty; others are both intelligent, and free. These Things being of such different Natures fall not under the Direction of Providence in the same Manner.

If we consider Corporeal Beings, we shall find, that they are guided perpetually in one exact, and regular Order, constant, and Uniform. Whence it is certain, that they receiv'd such a Nature from their Creator, which wou'd necessarily pursue that Order,

Order, and Course into which they were once put by their Almighty Maker ; or that they are govern'd by some constant, and certain cause. Which ever you take you acknowledge the *Divine Providence*. If the first, you must confess, that God has been *Provident* enough over his Works, when he had given them so fixt a Nature, that they shou'd never be able to violate those Laws, which he had given them in the Beginning. If you choose the latter, 'tis evident that they can owe their Course, and Constant Order to no other Cause and Director but that, which first produc'd them, and that is *Providence*.

As for those Things, which are indu'd with *Understanding* and *Liberty*, tho' in the Administration, and Regiment of them Providence may seem a little more obscure, yet I do not at all doubt but that I shall put it beyond Controversy, without having Recourse to the Opinions and Concessions of many of the *Heathen* Philosophers, or indeed to the general Consent of Mankind, tho' that be infinitely a better, and more solid Argument, for it is difficult to imagine, that all Mankind shou'd retain what is so false, and agree in spite of their different Customs, Languages, Opinions, &c. that God does regard, and take care of Mankind, that is, that there is a *Providence*. For this their Sacrifices, Prayers, &c. prove, which i they suppos'd, nay believ'd, not a *Providence*, they wou'd all forsake as useless.

That God, or that *First Cause*, (which I have already demonstrated to you to be Intelligent, Wise, All-powerful : that he knows, and can do all things) we find from every days Experience continues the Elements in the same Order, makes them useful to the Preservation of our Life, produces the Fruits of the Earth for our Nourishment,

purges,

purges the Air with Winds; exhales the Vapours of moist Places, and condensing them into Clouds, makes the Winds give them Motion, and carry their Waters to scatter Fertility in those Places, which Drowth else wou'd make for ever Barren. In short our Continuance of Existence, and these Conveniencies of Life, that we daily Experience prove a *Providence* as evidently as our original Existence a God.

Again you must own, that to prove there is no *Providence*, you must suppose either a Want of Power, Knowledge or Will in God. But how can he want Power to govern what he had Power to make? Or how can he be ignorant of what he made? or how can he want Will to take care of that, the making of which was the effect of his Will? For since he thought us worth the making, he cannot but think us worth the regarding; he does therefore regard us, and that is *Providence*.

Cotta in Cicero's *Natura Deorum* (whence I find *Pleonexus* has drawn many of his Arguments against *Providence*) attempts to confute the *Stoics* Arguments for *Providence* by instancing the peaceable Ends of wicked Men, and the unfortunate Ends of some good Men; without reflecting how far those things are really Evil, or considering, that Man is a free Agent, tho' he afterwards allow it, when he says, that *Man owes his Virtue to himself, but his Fortune to the Gods*, since without a presuppos'd Free Agency Man could not owe his Virtues to himself, for then they must be forc'd, and of Necessity, and so by consequence, not in his Power to possess, or not possess, and therefore must be owing to some extrinsic Agent, which entirely confutes all, that he says before against Reasons being a Benefit bestow'd by the Gods, which

which he denies from the ill use, which Men make of it, for if Man could not make a good use of it, he could not owe his Virtues to himself, but if he could make a good Use of it, the Fault is not in Reason but in Man, and *Providence* is acquitted of his Sophistical Accusations.

I do not think (interrupted *Pleonexus*) that this is a sufficient answer to all, that I have urg'd against Providential Care of Man even in his Formation; or the Inconvenience of his Qualities, especially of his Reason, the Source of all his Misfortunes, or his Passions to which he is Naturally a Slave, to say nothing of the rest, which if you have forgot I will repeat.

There is no need of that (reply'd *Christophil*) I have them yet fresh in my Memory, as you shall find by the Confutation of the whole. You, instead of being grateful to Heaven for the glorious Gift of *Reason*, complain of Nature for the Benefit, as a Grievance; because some, nay too many make an evil Use of it. Yet will you find no man willing to part with this Grievance, which you make so Burthensome, to become a happy stock, a stone, or at most a moving Machine. How then can any Man complain of a Gift, which no Man is willing to part with? But you would have a Reason exclusive of Vices and Transgressions; God has given you that very *Reason* which you Desire, which by its Essence excludes all Transgression, for you can commit no Offence against God, your Neighbour, or your self, but what is at the same time, as great against your own Reason. For Reason demonstrates the Excellence of Virtue, and the destructive Nature of Vice; it shows one conducive to our Happiness, and proves the other to be its Bane in so plain a manner, and so evidently, that there

is no Man so weak, or blind but is capable of Seeing it.

You may as well therefore expostulate with Heaven for giving you Eyes; because either you are Fantastically pleas'd not to make Use of them; but when to gratifie some extravagant Whim, or out of some odd Caprice, you will only go in the Dark or Blindfold; you accuse your Eyes for leading you into Pits, and down Precipices; tho' it is not the Error of your *Eyes*, but of your *Will*, and by Consequence no Lessening of the Benefit, or Gift of Eyes, which if justly consulted are faithful in their Information, and are of the greatest Ornament, as well as Use to the Body, of all the Senses. By those the noble Variety of Objects entertain, and instruct the Mind, from them is the most copious Inlet to Knowledge; and it is the Eye, that presents you with all the agreeable Sight, that please, or transport you.

Must you, or would you dash back the Benefit of the Eye to Heaven, because they some times show you disagreeable Objects when your Passion, Folly, or Accident directs them? Is it not in your Power to shut out any thing, you do not like by closing the Lids? If you will keep them Open on painful Objects, the Fault is not in your Eye, but in the Depravity of your *Will*, which chooses to look on what is disgusting to you, when it is in your Power to take a more agreeable Prospect.

Thus Reason is the Eye of the Mind, 'tis that, which makes all the divine Discoveries of God, and Nature; the Harmony and Use of the Virtues; the Method and Direction of the Passions; the Use, Beauty, and Delight of Arts, and Sciences; in short, all, that is great, all that is truly

ly transporting, lasting, and divine we owe to the Discovery of our Reason. If it submit to our Passions, if at any time it side with them, it suffers a force from a corrupt *Will*, and is neglected, or not minded by a blind, and deaf propensity to what is Evil.

Reason you say often sides with our Passions, and justifies our Wickedness ; if you take the Word Reason in its True Sense, this is never true, tho' it may if you take it in general. For the Word has a double Meaning ; Its true a sovereign Signification is RIGHT REASON, which never sides with our Passions, or Justifies our Wickedness. For the Laws, and Precepts of *Right Reason* are known, fixt and permanent ; are always the same, eternally true, and never joyns or takes part with Evil, or is destructive of our Duty to our selves, our Neighbour, or to God. *Right Reason* never allows the Passions to pass the Boundaries of Justice, and when they do, leaves them, and protests against their Actions and Designs. *Reason* is likewise taken for Causes, or Motives, or the Occasions for, to, or of certain Actions, Inclinations, Opinions, &c. This is a *Proterus*, as variable, indeed, as Men, Circumstances, and Aims. This may side with the Passions, justify Vice, defend Wrong, calumniate Right, and do indeed all the servile Offices of predominant Affections, for it is no more, than the Language of the Passions, and not *Right Reason*, which if consulted would destroy all the Excuses of unjust Passions.

You mention a Distinction of *Right Reason*, (said *Pledonexus*) which I know nothing of. Every Body pretends, that his is *Right Reason*, and Contrary Parties daily appeal to *Reason*, even in their Allegations of Authorities. If you have
any

any marks to know your *Infallible Judge Right Reason* by, amidst all these pretenders, you would do well to publish them, that the mistaken part of Men might know, and stand to him, whom now they wander after in the dark to no purpose, because he plainly plays at Hide and Seek with them, so that it is a thousand to one, whether they ever catch him.

The Task you impose is neither so difficult (reply'd *Christophil*) nor so disagreeable, as you imagine; and since it will be of Use to what I have hereafter to say, as well as to the justification of *Providence*, I shall address my self immediately to it.

Whatever you prove in this (interrupted *Pleonexis*) you will only remove the Difficulty from *Reason* to the *Passions*, and there it will require, as much trouble, and pains, as where it now lies.

O! (said *Christophil*) it will not remain there long, for as soon, as I have clear'd this Point of *Right Reason*, I shall soon drive your Complaints from the *Passions*, and by Consequence from the Field of Dispute. But first for *Right Reason*.

Right Reason is a true and fair Copy of that eternal Reason, or Law of Things originally writ in the divine Miind. The Knowledge of which Nature can only so far convey to us, as it shines out and appears from that *Right Reason*, which is communicated to, or rather innate in, or congenial with our Minds. And, as far, as it apparently discovers it self, so far it is Obligatory on our Conscience, as some divine Law writ in our very Hearts.

Right

Right Reason therefore being a Copy of the Divine Mind, must direct us to Virtue, being the Guide of our Conduct, and the Measure of our Aim. For the Sum and Perfection of Virtue consists in this, that we constantly pursue that which appears the greatest Good to *Right Reason*; because that, which is so is absolutely and simply the greatest Good, as being agreeable to the *Divine Reason*; whose Precepts, and Directions flow not from a partial Bias to this, or that, sprung from the blind Impulse of an impotent Passion: But, as the *Common Parent* of all, prescribes such Rules, promulgates such Laws, as by their very Nature conduce to the Happiness of Human-kind. Whence by *Aristotle* God is call'd *The eternal Law, equally extending to All*: And the *Stoicks*, and *Pythagoreans* use these Terms promiscuously, and for one another, viz. to follow God, to follow Nature, and to follow *Right Reason*; which constitutes our Nature, and distinguishes Men from Brutes.

To follow therefore *Pythagoras*, and *Aristotle*, we must acknowledge, that the Measure of *Right Reason*, is the Imitation of the Divine Wisdom and Goodness, as far as we are able; and the Way to know what these are, is to look back into those Attributes I have explain'd of God, and these wonderful Works, which I have describ'd, and to consider the End and Aim of the Almighty Mind in their Production; and which will appear to be the Happiness of the Creature. Now Happiness consists in Pleasure; and sure you wou'd not desire a more agreeable Conduct of your Life, and Actions, than that Law, and those Precepts, which are taken by *Right Reason* for the promoting and continuance of our Pleasure, which is our Happiness.

Pleasure

Pleasure therefore, I allow you with your *Epicurus*, for your *Supream Good*; I confirm the Maxim by the Sanction of *Right Reason*: which being so, I only demand of you to mind, to pursue your Pleasure and be happy; and to shun every thing, that may weaken, or render it unsafe. For you cannot have too strict a Guard on what you naturally most desire, and which alone can make Life it self valuable, and this *Right Reason* does.

You grant (interrupted *Philalthes*) that Pleasure is the *Supream Good*. But you do not yet define what *Pleasure* is, nor indeed what *Right Reason* is; for I do not take your calling it a *Copy of the Divine Mind* to be a Definition.

You allow I hope (assum'd *Pleonexis*) that Pleasure to be chose by every Man, which every particular shall judge a Pleasure. For since every man has a peculiar Palate, to force your Pleasure on him is to offer him a pain instead of a Delight, and that would be to rob him of that *supream Good*, and oblige him to the contrary.

Your Difficulty (reply'd *Christophil*) *Philalthes* for I defer a little first to touch on that of *Pleonexis*. I will therefore (pursu'd he, addressing himself to *Pleonexis*) grant you, that the *Supream Good* consists in Pleasure, nay I will suppose, that the Pleasure must be agreeable to your *Tast*, without insisting, as yet, on a just *Right*, which is that very thing, which you call Pleasure is not so, or that a vitiated *Tast* is not a more proper Judge of true Pleasure, than a vitiated Palate of Good, and true Wine. A sick Man in a Favour thinks it a Pleasure to drink cool, and small Lignors, which even you would condemn him for, since the gratifying a momentary Gult in a false satisfaction destroys his Life: The short and present

sent Gratification depriving him of all future Pleasure and redoubling his Pain till it kills him. From this I hope you will allow a false and a true Pleasure, the one in common Prudence to be Pursu'd. And the other with all our caution to be avoided ; or at least that there are very different degrees of Excellence in Pleasures, and that it would be the highest Folly to sacrifice a Great, and a lasting Pleasure, to a small, and short one ; and that it would be an Injustice to ones self to prefer a Mean, to a Noble Pleasure. The Business therefore is to fix such a Standard of Pleasure, as may be approv'd of by all Constitutions, and be admitted by every side. I shall propose such a Standard of it, as I have Reason to hope you will be infinitely satisfy'd in, since I draw it from the Universal Principle of SELF-LOVE.

The first Care of *Self-Love*, is *Self-Preservation*, for without that we can arrive at no part or portion of this Supream Good *Pleasure*. Whatsoever, therefore is an Enemy to Self-Preservation, is an Enemy to true Pleasure ; for by how much any thing renders our Being less secure, by so much it destroys the Means of our Obtaining, and Possession of Pleasure. But all those Delights, that are irrational, and sensual without Bounds, or Restriction attack our safety, or Self-Preservation, and in that the Fund of our Pleasures, and ought for that Reason to be avoided meerly out of a Principle of *Self-Love*, which you pretend to pursue, in the Chace of your Particular Inclinations. And even you your self must think him a very ill Husband in Pleasure, who prefers a Minutes delightful Destruction, to an Age of Happy Security ; since that is paying too dear for Pleasure. Whatever little, or particular Satisfaction is destructive of the safety, and continuance of Pleasure

in general, must even by the most voluptuous be rejected on the very Principle of *Self-Love*.

There is nothing more evident, than that Mankind cannot Subsist singly, and without a Dependance on one another. For God, or Nature has given to no One Man Force, Skill, or Power enough to combat all the rest of his Kind. And since *Peter* may pretend to the pursuing the Pleasure most agreeable to himself, without any Regard to *John*, as well as *John* to pursue a Pleasure most agreeable to himself without Regard to *Peter*, be that plac'd in Women, Money, Power, or any thing else, it may so happen that the Pleasures of *John* and *Peter* meet in the same individual Object, and how then will you determine the Point? will you allow either the Invasion of the others Pleasure? If you deny either you rob him of his Right, of following his own peculiar Pleasure. If you allow the Liberty to Both, you destroy the Pleasure of Both, in incroaching on the Right, which each claims in the Pleasure he Particularly fancies. Or will you put them to the Combate for the entire Possession of the Object, without a Rival? This puts the Security of both in Hazard, and certainly destroys one. But what Right has corporal strength to deny me my Inclinations, when you will allow Reason none? But if this confusion, and inconvenience proceed from two Mens contriving their Pleasures in the same Object, what must we expect from that of many but a Loss of Security, and by consequence must have but a fatal prospect of a very frail, and precarious Pleasure?

This must be the Event of pursuing arbitrary, and sensual Pleasures without any Restriction, by which you rob your darling Pleasure of Security and so invade the first Principle of Self-Love *Self-Preservation*. There is therefore a Necessity, if you will enjoy any Pleasure at all, that you remove
this

this Difficulty, and render your Enjoyments Secure, of which there is no Means, but by judging them by the infallible Rule of *Right Reason*, explain'd in common Justice, and the Moral Law. Which Brings me, *Philalethes*, to your Objection, which is of the more force, because there are Men, who have totally lost all sense of *God*, and divine things; and who acknowledge no certain, and unalterable Dominion in the Faculties of the Mind, but contend, that they are to obey the Sway of any Passion, that has usurp'd the Heart, and that they must blindly fulfill all its Desires, even to its own Destruction, affirming that in this consists the the supream Happiness of Humane Life. With these Men I confess I must deal in another Manner, and not draw *Right Reason* from that Divine Part of the Soul, that is directed immediately to Good, but from the Understanding.

I therefore define *Right Reason* to be *Evident Deductions drawn from evident Principles*. By evident Principles, I mean such Grounds, or Postulata, to which you cannot on the first hearing Deny your Assent without Cavelling. By evident Deductions I mean such Consequences as flow from those Principles, which you cannot deny without denying the Principles.

It is not my Business here to take notice of all the Principles, and Consequences of this Nature, but only of those, which relate to our present Subject, which is the Happiness of Mankind, that is in the Virtues, and Vices, that produce or obstruct it.

I have already prov'd, that God has made Mankind with a Beneficent Intent, that is with a Design, that he should be Happy. It follows, therefore, that he has given them a certain Knowledge, of what is conducive, or injurious to that End, and furnish'd them with Power to choose one, and reject the other.

To prove the first I shall lay down some Maxims, or Moral Principles, and then see the Deductions that may be made from them. To prove the other, I shall refer you to my Proof of the free Agency of the Mind, in which the Justification of Providence very much consists.

The Principles, that I shall lay down, are immediately true, and need no farther Proof, than the hearing of them, but into which all moral Reason is perspicuously and easily resolv'd. You need not be apprehensive of any severity in them, for they are extreamly sweet, and pleasant, since they propose Nothing but what is very grateful, and agreeable to the Receiver.

(1)

That is a Good which is grateful, pleasant, and agreeable, to any perceptive Life, or to any Degree, or Station of that Life, and consistent with the Preservation of the Receiver.

(2)

But whatever is ungrateful, unpleasant, and disagreeable to any Perceptive Life, or any Degree or Station of it, is an Evil, and if the destruction of the Receiver be join'd to it, it is the greatest Evil. For example, whatever is not only offensive to the Eye, and Ear, but also productive of Blindness, and Deafness is the greatest Evil. But it wou'd be an Evil if it only weaken'd either, or both those Senses. The same Reason holds of all the other Faculties.

(3)

There are some Species, or Degrees of Perceptive Lives, among the Variety of them, which is form'd in the Universe, more excellent, and of greater Value and Worth, than others.

(4)

One Good may be greater than another, either by Nature, Duration, or Both. This is self evident: But it

(117)

it may be illustrated by this Inconvenience, which wou'd else follow, That else one Life wou'd not be more Excellent than another, nor one Happiness greater than another, and by that Rule, God, Angels, Man, a Horse, or the vilest Worm wou'd have the same Degrees of Bliss, which sure none but a Madman can allow. But there can't arise the least Doubt, or Dispute, as to Duration.

(12)

A Good is to be embrac'd, an Evil avoided; but the more excellent Good is to be chosen before the less excellent, and the less Evil to be endur'd to escape the greater.

(13)

In a Thing we have not experienc'd our selves, we ought to believe those, who assure us, that they have experienc'd it, provided their Lives answer their Professions, and there be no Reasons to suspect any Fraud, or Worldly Interest in the matter.

(14)

We ought to choose rather the Absence of a real Good, than the Presence of a real Evil, of equal Degree in Weight, and Duration; and the Absence of the Good is so much the more eligible, by how much the Evil exceeds the Good in Weight and Duration.

(15)

We ought to have a present Regard to what will certainly come to pass, since it will once be present, tho' now in the Future. There holds a proportionable Consideration of what may only probably happen.

(16)

The Value of the less Good is to be measur'd by the greater, as to its Weight, and Duration.

(17)

A present Good is to be surrender'd, or diminish'd for a probable Expectation of a future Good, infinitely greater than

(118)

than the Present in Weight, and Duration, much more for a certain Expectation.

(11)

We ought to bear the present Evil to avoid a future Evil infinitely greater in Weight, and Duration, that may probably come on us, and therefore much more for the avoiding an Evil, that will certainly ensue.

(12)

The Mind judges more rightly of Things when free from the Prejudice of Passions, than when it is disturbed by the Passions, and any corporal Impressions.

On these Principles, Prudence, Fortitude, and Temperance are founded; which Virtues respect immediately our selves, and without which we can have no sincere Pleasure, or secure, and certain Happiness. Those, that follow, regard our Duty to Others, and fortify, and strengthen that Felicity the former produce, being the Foundation of Sincerity, Justice, Gratitude, Compassion, or Pity, and Piety. But not to repeat what I have said already, I must put you in mind that most of them I have given you in my Discourse of Justice, and which contain all our Duty to our Neighbour, and are necessary therefore to our own Happiness, because no Particular can be Happy by the Injury of the common and publick Safety, and Felicity. What I now add, regard our Duty to God the Band of all the other, and that to the Magistrate.

(13)

'Tis good to obey the Magistrate in indifferent Things, even without the Fear of Punishment.

(14) 'Tis

'Tis better to obey God, than Man, or our own Concupiscence, or sensual Desires.

These, and such like, are the Moral Principles on which are built the Duties of Natural Religion, as they are on *Right Reason*. They are likewise so self-evident, and clear, that at the very first hearing they are found to be true, by any Man of tolerable Capacity, and who is not byas'd by Prejudice, and that without the long Train of Arguments to confirm them. By this means I have given you an Answer to your Question *Philalethes* of what I meant by *Right Reason*. For you now find, that it is that which is resolv'd by certain, and necessary Consequences into some intellectual Principle, that is immediately true: And to remove all Queries, and Demands of Examples of such Moral Principles, those that I have mention'd are sufficient.

Thus I hope I have vindicated *Providence* in his Godlike Gift of *Reason*, and given you Marks evident enough of what I call *Right Reason*; and by it shown how great a Benefit it is to Mankind, when followed and obey'd; and that therefore you are so far from having the least shadow of a Pretence ingrately to accuse Heav'n for the Gift, that you ought to bless the infinite Goodness, and return daily Thanks for such eminent Marks of his Favour, as a Faculty which infallibly leads you through his delightful Wonders to himself; and thence teaches you those admirable Truths and plainly divine Rules, which are the Measure of our Conduct to Happiness, directing us even by a Principle of *Self-love* to the Choice of Virtue, and the Rejection of Vice, while he has given you Reason to judge of Virtue, and Vice by such

Principles, as are absolutely, and immediately true, and evident.

Thus I have shown you, that *Right Reason* is never of the Party of Evil, nor ever indulges the Passions beyond their just Bounds. That it is a certain Rule for your Judgment of your Pleasure, as your *Supreme Good*, and your Medium of distinction betwixt the *animal*, and *intellectual Appetite*; plainly showing that the Indulgence of the first is destructive of the Later, the Security of your Pleasure, and *Self-Preservation* the first Principle of Self-Love. For whoever loves himself must be Virtuous even for his own sake.

This leads me to your other Objection, when you said, that if I remov'd the Variety, and Inconstancy, nay Inconsistency from *Reason*, I should fix it in the Passions; Whose Power being so great, as to produce all those Evils, laid to the Charge of *Reason*; it is the same Thing in Effect, to which Principle in our selves, we owe our Misery, if to one of them, which is fix'd in our Nature, by Heaven; that made us. But by a short View of the *Passions*, and their Usefulness, I shall evidently show, that they are Benefits worthy of a Wise, and Good God to bestow; and that contrary to your Assertion, and the *Stoics* Notions, the Passions are all in their own Nature *Good*; and that the End, and Aim of divine Providence, is not less apparent in their Use, than in the Structure of the Organs of any Animal, which will furnish you with those confirmatory Considerations, of the Wisdom, and Existence of God, which I told you, you would find in the View of the Human Soul, or Mind.

The general Consideration of them will give us some sort of Idea of their Utility. The Passions being joynd to a vehement Agitation of the Spirits,

its, seem to perform, in the lesser World *Mind*, the Office which the Wind does in the greater. For, as the Wind Purges the Air, so do the Passions stir the Blood, preventing by their Emotions a Stagnation, and Petrification of it.

They farther bring into the Mind various Theatres, and Stages, as I may say, and on them present to it variety of Images, which, tho, they endeavour to bribe, and tempt the Judgment, yet do in Reality, furnish it with a greater Experience, and give us that wonderful pleasure to find, in all these Tempests, the various, and strong Insults and Witcheries of the invading Phantasms, or Images, that there is something divine in us, which we call the *Mind*, and which constantly preserves the same Sense, and Judgments through all the successive Commotions, not suffering it self to be won by the most importunate Temptations of the Passions; but absolutely, and entirely perseveres in its adhesion to that, which is simply the best, or the greatest Good.

From this Conflict and Victory we farther discover a kind of Empire, or Kingdom in our Soul, and that the Intellectual Part has other depending Parts, over which it Presides, which it Governs, Instructs, and directs, as a Father does a Child. So, that our Mind is not so Solitary a Thing, as some may imagine, but has a Train of Attendants numerous enough, and does not extend its Jurisdiction over its own Passions only, but ev'n to the very *Spirit of Nature*, in the corporeal Impressions, whose Incantations and Charms it can stop, and extinguish by the Power and support of a more divine sort of Magick.

From a nice Enquiry into the Passions, their Order, Situation, and Nature, we shall find, that they make our Life, and Sense fuller, and more quick

quick, and lively; For, as *Plato* says, the Passions are the Wings of the Mind.

Descartes asserts, that the Passions are the most certain and solid Treasure of the Mind. For since in many other Perceptions we may be deceiv'd, and that we cannot be certain, that what they represent is in reality what they show it to be, there is no Room no Way, nor any Means of being deceiv'd in those of the Passions. For they are so near, and so much in the very Soul, that it is impossible, that it should feel them, and at the same Time doubt whether they are in reality or no, as long as it feels them. Hence proceeds that wonderful Peace, and Tranquility of Mind, permanent Pleasure and Sweetness, that is never mix'd with the Alloy of Repentance, which arises out of a Sense, and Consciousness of Virtue, which does not only exceed all those poor, and imprudent delights, which by Nature bring with them on the Mind Disgust, Repentance, and Loathing; but ev'n all the opinions, and Speculations of Philosophers, because (besides other Matters) they do not exclude our Doubts, but the uneasiness of Doubt is absolutely remov'd, when we feel, and are sensible of a Passion.

In fine, besides that common use of almost all the Passions, viz. Their more firmly fixing, and almost engraving in the Mind the Images of the Objects, there is another, that is by no means contemptible, which is that we can by the Passions judge in our selves, how far, and how great an Esteem we have for those things which are truly Good, and Honest; and how truly, and as it were vitally our Mind is united to them. For tho we may be mightily eloquent in the Praise of Virtue, and the Love of what is Good, and Honest, yet the Mind cannot joyn it self intirely to them, or certainly know when it is join'd to 'em, but by the constant method

tion of Life, which must discover an equal Antipathy to what is Evil, or dishonest either in our selves or in any third Person, all which cannot be done, without the Passions, which are the inmost, and the most immediate Fruit of Life. It is the most perfect state of Life to love *Good*, and hate *Evil*, whenever it appears in our selves, as well, as others, for this is a Proof, that the Inferior Part of the Soul is subdu'd to a Consent and Agreement with the Superiour, and that to the whole Man like *Elias* is born up to Heav'n, and God in the fiery Chariot of the Passions.

The *Passions* therefore are in themselves good, and and the greatest, and last compleating or Perfection of the Humane Life; if you observe but these two Laws. The first is, that they be directed to their just Objects (which is stil'd the right or just Impulse of the Passions) and those who sin against this are the most guilty Criminals that are. Such are the Envious, and the Cruel, who delight in savage, and bloody Objects, and the rest of that sort of Men.

The other Law is that the *Passion* be proportion'd to the Object, and Aim: That is (according to one of our foregoing Maxims) that we are touch'd with a greater Passion for those Things, that are the best and greatest, with moderate Passion for those, which are of a moderate Degree of Goodness or Greatness, and that we affect those, which are of the least Consideration in these two Qualities, with the least Passion, and Inclination. But that we never give way so far to the Agitation of our Affections, that their Violence, do either diminish, or extinguish the Light of Reason so far, as to disable us to obtain or reach that End, which Nature aims at in every Passion, which she has given our Souls. And this is call'd the *Moderate Impulse of the Passions*.

But

But to set these in their true Light, and to demonstrate yet more plainly how little Reason you have to complain of Providence for his Gift of the Passions, I will run over the Heads of most of the Passions of the Mind, and show, that they are all subject to the same Rule, and wisely design'd by the Author of our Nature for our Service and Happiness.

I shall begin with *Admiration*, the Use of which is evident, since it plainly contributes to the imprinting in the Memory, with the greater Force and Efficacy, the Observations of new Things, that by this Means the Mind being furnish'd with a sufficient Store, it may arrive at the Knowledge of the most useful Truths.

Nature by giving the Passions of *Esteem*, and *Despising* informs us, that every thing is not of equal Value with us: that if there be a *Better* and a *Worse*, there must certainly be a *Best*, which we ought to aspire to ev'n by the Light of Nature.

The *Esteem of our self* is a Passion compounded of *Admiration*, *Joy*, and *Love of our own condition*: but a *Despising of our self* proceeds from *Admiration*, *Grief*, and *Self-Love* join'd with a *Hatred of our own Defects*. From both these Passions we learn, that all men should be of some Consideration, and above all Injury and Contempt. For when we easily persuade our selves to believe we are of some Consideration our selves, and yet, that there is not so great a Difference betwixt us and other Men, but that we should think, we ought to esteem others also, and show a Humanity, and Friendship to them. Nature therefore by these Passions teaches Humanity, and Civility of Manners, and Dilligence and Industry to obtain those Things, which have most intrinsic Value.

But to run throng all the Derivatives of this and the other Primitive Passions would take up two
much

much time. I shall therefore leave to your Imagination the Advantages of those which spring from *Love, Hatred, Joy, Grief, and Desire*. If *Desire* sufficiently express'd what the *Latin* Moralists term *Cupiditas*, and only touch on those Sources, and Fountains of the Rest, of which they are compounded and mix'd, and must by consequence bring proportionable Benefits to the Humane Nature.

The Use of the following five primitive Passions, *Love, Hatred, Joy, Grief, and Desire* is most manifestly clear, and the two first always end in the fifth. For every thing, that is grateful to us excites *Joy* or *Pleasure*; the *Pleasure* begets a *Love* of that which gives the *Pleasure*; and *Love* generates a *Desire* or *Coveting* of either encreasing, continuing, or sometimes of repeating the same *Pleasure*. On the other Hand every thing, that is ungrateful to us, produces *Grief*, or *Sorrow*; *Grief* begets a *Hatred* of the Cause of the *Grief*, and *Hatred* a *Desire* of removing your self from that Cause; and in these alone almost consist the Safety, and Preservation of all Animals. And what is worthy our Observation is, that those very Passions, which are most disagreeable to us, contribute no less to this, than the other. I mean *Grief* and *Hatred*, because this Life is, as much destroy'd by the Presence of *Evil*, as the Absence of *Good*.

Nay, what is more extraordinary, Nature seems to have taken more Care, and to have been more solicitous in our defence against *Evil*, than in her Provision for our Enjoyment of *Pleasure*; As we may observe in that most efficacious Eloquence, which Nature has bestow'd on most Animals to move Compassion, and implore Help, when they are oppress'd, with *Grief* or *Pain*, such is that plaintive, and mournful Tone of Voice, which they utter, that Sorrowful dejection of the Eye, and Countenance; that Howling, Sighs, Tears, Groans, and the Rest
of

of the dismal Equipage of Grief, which have certainly a very considerable Force to touch the Mind with Pity, and Compassion, and so to move us the more readily to give our Help, and Assistance, or the more Speedily to put a Stop to, or cease from the Injury we have begun.

Neither, on the other side, is Nature at all deficient in her Care and Provision for our perception of Pleasure. For the Eye and the Countenance present a more Pleasing Motion, and more agreeable, and delightful Air to the Beholder, when we are agitated with the Emotions of Joy. Which Effect of that Passion, Nature design'd, as Allurements and inviting Charms to win, and polish us to a necessary Urbanity, and Civility; and to give us mutual Studies and endeavours to *Pleaso*. Thus has she given us those foregoing Effects of Grief to deter us from offering Injuries to those, who do not deserve it; and to goad us on to a timely, and speedy relief of the Miserable and Unfortunate.

From *Love*, and *Hatred*, *Grief* and *Joy* we therefore learn to procure, as much as we can, what is good for our Selves, and others; that we hurt none; but that we defend, on the Contrary, both our Selves, and others, as much as lies in our Power, from Evil.

Desire gives an Edge to our Diligence: for it is the most buisy, and active of the Passions; because by the Heart deriving a more violent Agitation from it, than from any other Passion, it supplies the Brain with more Spirits, which rebounding on the Members of the Body render it more Active and more lively; and accustoms the Mind to a very agreeable Emotion, and Alacrity; which would without them, by the Defect of the Object that excites them fade, grow sluggish, and die.

But to have this *Desire* happy and successful, we must take a peculiar Care not to suffer it to be levell'd at Objects, that are not in our Power; nor

yet

yet to let them be born to those which are, with a greater, or more vehement Impetuosity, than our frail and mortal Body can bear. For to Covet, or *Desire* that, which is simply in our Power, with an Impatience, and Eagerness that grasps at it sooner, than our Faculties and Force can obtain it, is the same thing, as to direct it to an Object, that is absolutely out of our Power ever to obtain at all. And this mad Desire wou'd rather affect us with Pain, and Anxiety, than Alacrity, and Action.

What is the Use, and Effect of Love? It is not the Propagator of Mankind? Does it not unite Families, and Nations? In short, where it is bounded by Reason and Justice? are we able to sum up all the Good, and Benefit mankind derive from it, for their Pleasure and Happiness?

From what has been said you may find that the *Passions* are in themselves *all Good*: And if those Rules I have laid down be justly observ'd, extremely conducive to our Pleasure, that is, Happiness, or *Supream Good*. It is the Abuse of them, and the neglect of those Laws; a blind Faith in the Corporeal Impressions, without bringing them to the severe and just Tribunal of the Judgment that has made the *Passions* produce so many ill Effects. From this source flow all those deviations, and wandrings from Reason, which produc'd all the Miseries of Mankind, till *Right Reason* was entirely banish'd from their Practice, as well as Principles; from which we never cou'd have been recall'd, or restor'd again to the Guidance of *Right Reason*, but by that very inexhaustible Goodness, which gave Being to the World, and to Mankind, by sending into the Flesh his beloved first begotten, by his Miracles, and by his Death to Redeem us from a Tyranny so shameful, and so destructive of our Happiness, as I shall prove when I can to the Testimonies of the Christian Religion.

But what has been said on this Head, you, *Philalshes,*

lalethes, will find your Doubt resolv'd, by which you suppos'd, that God had given you Passions, that requir'd one thing, and Laws that commanded the contrary. Yet if you think that insufficient, I will come closer to your very words.

I suppose after what I have said of the Passions, you will both freely own, that without the Passions Man can have no Pleasure, and that without Pleasure, there can be no Happiness. The *Stoicks* finding the Pains and Inconveniencies, that arose to Humane Life by the common Indulgence of the Passions contrary to the dictates of Right Reason, prescrib'd Rules for this Evil, by which they wou'd deprive Man of what is essential to his Nature, and Happiness. For they aim'd at rooting out the Passions entirely, and to render Man as insensible of Pain or Pleasure, as if he were a stock or a stone. In short, they wou'd have Man put off his Nature, and be what his Creator never meant him, but as the Task was impossible, so none of them ever reduc'd their Precepts to Practice. But how much more excellent is the Doctrine of the *Gospel*, which only prescribes just Rules for the Regulation of them.

I have already shewn you, that all the Passions are Good in their Nature, and design'd for our Benefit. But as God has given us the Passions for our Pleasure, and the Happiness of Life, so he has furnish'd us with Reason to Judge how far they are to be Indulg'd, without incurring Pain: Now they never transgress the Bounds of Moderation, and Justice, but Pain ensues, which both Reason and Self-Love tell us we shou'd avoid. Nay the just, and moderate use of the Passions hightens the Pleasure, that proceed from them, while he that gives them no Limits feels but a weak, tasteless, and insipid satisfaction in his exorbitant Enjoyments. So that if you will show me any particular in which the Law of God

God bounds the Passions, and I'll undertake to demonstrate that Reason at the same time confirms it, and threatens Pain and Trouble in the passing these Boundaries fix'd by the Law, of which you complain. Now that Law must be highly Just; which every Man justifies as soon as he Consults his own Reason. And a Man has no Cause to complain of a Precept, which only heightens his Pleasure. For a Rational Epicure (if we can suppose such a Contradictory Being) while he attributes Order, in his own, and the World's Existence, to Chance; and supposes his careless little Gods unwilling and incapable of taking Cognizance of his Actions, wou'd yet Prescribe himself the same Bounds of his Passions, meerly for the sake of his own Happiness. For when our Passions prompt us to any thing that is Unjust, Invasive of our Neighbours Property, or Injurious to his Happiness, or destructive of our own Health, Peace, Abilities, &c. And Reason tells us, that the necessary Constitution of Humane Society, threatens, and will Pay it with Troubles too great for the Pleasure we propose: And that this must be, or Humane Society could not be Preserv'd; and that the Preservation of that, in all its Rights, is the Interest of every Man in it; since to that he owes his own Security, and by consequence, his Pleasure, and his Happiness. For without Security, there can be no Happiness, which is a Continuity of Pleasures; and a short and transitory Delight, which destroys this, is so foolish, that every Rational Man must Condemn it, as much as the Laws of God.

The Laws of all Nations aim at the same End of confining the Passions to certain Bounds, which to Transgress, is to Incur Pain, or the Immediate Penalty of the Law. Thus private Revenge, that affects our Property, or our Life, is Punish'd by the Laws of all Nations. Thus Theft, Rapine, and the like, the Wisdom of every People have agreed to Banish by Universal Consent, as Injurious to their Peace and Happiness; the same they have done with Promiscuous Conjunctions; for there is no Nation, tho' never so Indulgent in this Particular, but has set some Bounds or other to them, some more Extensive, and some more Confin'd. All these secure the Wife, or Wives to the Husband, with Severe Penalties, on the Invaders of the Marriage Bed. Thus Mens Daughters are the Right and Property of the Fathers, till Married, and most Nations Punish the Corrupters of them severely.

Since therefore, 'tis evident, that the necessity of Humane Society has Instructed every Nation to make Laws for Circumscribing the Passions, it highly Justifies the Principles of the Christian Religion, which, as they are the most Perfect and Equitable, aim directly at the End of Providence in the Creation of Mankind; *Secure Happiness*. This will appear if possible, yet more plain, when I come to consider more fully of the Law of Nature.

I come now to your last Assault on Providence, the Confusion of Humane Affairs, which, because it seems the most plausible Argument, I shall be the more Particular in my Answer; especially, because I have not met with so reasonable a Solution of it, as I think I have to offer. You

You suppose therefore, that the Affairs of Humane-kind are perfectly the Effect of *Chance*, because you can discover no Rule, or Rational Conduct of them; and from hence you draw a Consequence, that we may as well suppose the World Made, as Govern'd by *Chance*.

But, as I have Prov'd, that it was not made by *Chance*, so I believe I shall, with equal Evidence, convince you, that *Chance* has no Hand in its Government. As to the first, can you, or can any Man of Common-Sence (as I have observ'd) take a View of this World, and not from its Existence own a Wise and Omnipotent Creator of it? Will not the Consideration of even your own Being, lead you to the same Cause of your Existence? The Order, and Oeconomy of both deny a Fortuitous Original. For *Chance* can never produce Order, and Disposition of Parts, which cou'd proceed from nothing but Design, and the Design of *Perfect Knowledge*.

This Cause therefore, that is so Evidently Wise, Good, and Potent in the Formation of his Works, cou'd want neither Will, Power, nor Knowledge, to give the Affairs of Mankind, as Beautiful an Order, and Aspect, as those of the Inanimate Creation: And He has certainly done it, tho' the generality of Men want either Capacity, or Thought enough to discover it. But admitting the contrary, and confessing, that the Confusion of Humane Affairs is too Apparent and Intricate to be dis-entangled by every Considerer; and that Virtue and Justice often lose their Cause, while Vice Triumphs; I believe it far from a Reproach to Providence, or a Proof of

the Government of Mankind being without his Eye and Direction. As I shall immediately shew.

'Tis no lessening to the Power of God, to say, That he cannot do Contradictions, but a Confirmation of it; for, cou'd that be suppos'd, it wou'd destroy all Certainty, and by Consequence, the Knowledge and Proof of his own Eternal, and Omnipotent Existence. Contradictions are no more the Objects of *Power*, than *Knowledge*, and when, therefore, we say, God is Omnipotent, we mean that he can do all things, that are Possible. And tho' the *Romanists* are so very fond of this Childish, tho' Specious Argument of God's Omnipotence, for *Transubstantiation*, yet will they never be able to make it out, that God can make the very same Individual Thing to *Exist*, and not *Exist*, at the very same Numerical Moment. Thus likewise, God cannot make Man to be absolutely Confin'd, and yet Free; it is a Contradiction, and cannot possibly be true, and cannot therefore be done by God.

Thus it being Evident (from what I have said of the Divine Nature, and what I have to say on the Freedom of the Mind) that it has Pleas'd the Infinite Goodness, Power, and Wisdom to form the Humane Mind in his own Image, and Likeness, he cou'd not preserve that Likeness, without giving it a *Freedom of Agency*, proportion'd to the Faculties and Capacity of its Nature. By Likeness, I mean, that he gave our Mind certain Qualities, that bore some Analogy to his Divine Attributes (as appears from my past Consideration of the apparent Properties of the Deity.) which we discover by passing from the Effect to the Cause; for the Effect can have no
Qua-

Quality, but what the Cause bestows, and none gives what he has not himself. Now, if Man had not a *Freedom of Agency*, he must of Necessity have been a Machine, a Puppet, Incapable of *Pain* or *Pleasure*, Reward or Punishment, all his Actions being of Necessity, not of Choice. Or, which is all one, it had not consisted with the Goodness and Justice of God, to have made him liable to Pain, without giving him Power and Knowledge to avoid it; but nothing is so Evident as our Liableness to Pain, it necessarily follows then, that we have a Power to avoid it, which Constitutes part of our *Free Agency*.

But this is like Arguing to prove Motion; 'tis equally Evident from every Man's Self-consciousness, each Man Experiences this Liberty in himself, and therefore it needs no Forreign Proofs to Confirm it. Since therefore, it is Evident, that every Man is a Free Agent, it unavoidably follows, that the vast Multitude of Actions which proceed from such a Numberless Multitude of Free Agents, must appear so Confus'd, and Irregular, as to puzzle an ordinary Beholder.

The Conduct of his Life is committed to every Man's own Judgment by the Charter of Free Agency; and while every Man drives on the particular Dictates of his own private *Will*, too often guided by Passion, and too seldom by *Right Reason*, it would be more, than a Miracle, if there were not an Inextricable Confusion, without any Reflection at all on Providence, as being the Natural and Necessary Result of our Being. Yet, from hence, from this Bustle of Private Designs, this Hurly-burly of Opposite Aims, and Interests, Providence produces one common Interest, and a

most Beautiful Order of Government; the various Oeconomies of Humane Societies, much more wonderful, and more amazing, than that of the Planets, because the Beings directed by this, are vastly more Numerous, and at the same time Free Agents, which tho' disorder'd, and irregular in Particulars, yet Compose Order and Harmony in the whole. Thus, tho' there cannot be greater Discord, than that in the Elementary World; than the Natural Enmity betwixt Fire and Water, Earth and Air, yet Providence draws the greatest Concord out of them in the whole. In like manner, tho' the Natural jarring Interests of Mankind be great, yet is the Concord of the whole for the common Preservation, as admirable and noble.

But it is not only this Order and Oeconomy of the World, that is drawn hence by Providence, but from this Confusion of the Designs of each Free Agent, the Omnipotent Wisdom produces those Providential Events, which we, too often, attribute to Fortune; when we ought to refer them to the Immediate Finger of God.

As for the accidental and particular Pieces of Injustice that are done to Particulars, they are to be a little more nicely Consider'd, than they are by the generality of Mankind, before we Pronounce against Providence, where it is entirely Justifiable.

There are a great many things to be consider'd before we can decide this Question. 1. We must know the Cause perfectly well, else we cannot determine in its Favour, or against it, as Just or Unjust. 2. Next we must judge of the Justice of the Cause, not from Party-Opinions, but from Right Reason; else the very same things

things would be Just, and Unjust at the very same time, for one Party complains of Providence for its Sufferings, while the other Extols and Praises the Justice of Providence in their Punishment, and Misery. This is Evident in Publick Factions.

In Private and Particular Causes, we must be oblig'd to be entirely Matter of the Cause; that is, we must have heard both Parties, and must, our selves, have a Capacity to Comprehend and Judge of that Cause, when heard, without Bias or Affection, but with blind, impartial Justice, and when we have done this, we may then say whether the *Right* or the *Wrong* get the better. But how few are there thus qualify'd to Judge, and how few Judgments are made of Humane Affairs, with this Candour, and by this Method? But we build on Report, and judge by Humour and Passion, and therefore for the most part Erroneously.

3. Next we are to consider Virtue a little more nicely than we do in these general Sentences. A great many Men run away with the Appearance, and give that glorious Name to such as have no solid Claim to it, and so while Providence Punishes the *Hypocrite*, we Condemn it, for suffering the *Just*, and *Virtuous* to be Oppress'd.

4. We shou'd likewise consider, that to find a Man truly Just and Virtuous, is no easie matter; that is, a Man who is in nothing Bias'd from Justice and Religion in all its Parts, and Duties; by no Passion, no Prevailing Inclination. For, a great many Men carry off the Name of *Pious* and *Good*, either from their outward Zeal for the *Formalities* of Religion, or their Ostentatious Acts of Char-

ty, when, if their Lives were narrowly look'd into, they would be found Men, that strive, at most, but to unite God and *Mammon*; but most commonly such as make this Face, this Masque of Religion, Procure for their Private Gain. They will build Hospitals with the Money they have Squeez'd from the needy Families, they have undone. Others, who will be continually at Church, and miss no Lecture, or Sermon, who can recite the whole Bible, and quote Texts for all their Actions, are blind Slaves to some Passion, to the height of Injustice; they have no Compassion for their Fellow-Creatures; they are not touch'd for their Children, or nearest Relations; they Oppress the Poor, never forgive; are great Detractors; Censorious and Revengeful. And yet, shou'd Misfortunes fall on any of these, Men, who see their appearance of Virtue, and Religion, and know not their Vices, will Murmur at *Providence* for letting the just Suffer.

Men deal with *Providence* very unjustly; they give no Hearing to its Pleas, but run away with their Passion to Condemn Eternal Wisdom, and Goodness of Folly and Iniquity, without Reflection.

Again, We should consider, whether the Misfortunes of the suppos'd Just, are owing to their own Follies, or Faults. A great many Men of tolerable Probity of Manners, want *Action*, Industry, and Application, to thrust into Business, and are by that Means, disappointed of their Hopes; and live, by Consequence, in Want and Misery. But this being their own defect of Industry, which must necessarily be made use of to effect any thing among such a number of Oppositions, which natu-

naturally flow from so many contending *Free Agents*, it can, with no manner of Justice, be laid on *Providence*. These Men are fond of Notions, destructive to the End they aim at, and Proud of an Honesty, which is no more, than what every Man ought to have; they slight the only Humane Means of obtaining their Desires, vainly expecting Miracles in their Behalf, meerly for doing part of their Duty. For a Recluse, lazy Contemplation may be Innocent, that is free from Injury, but can hardly be Beneficial to our Neighbour; and that is but a *Lame Virtue*, that reaches no farther, than our selves, and justly is Excluded Success in the Publick; that contributes nothing to the *Publick*. And I believe it will be a hard matter to prove, that any one of the Justest that suffer, are not Guilty of Crimes sufficient to acquit *Providence*, e'en for their Sufferings.

I meddle not with the Sufferers for Religion, they Repine not at it, as sure of that Reward which its Author Promis'd; who foretold what they shou'd undergo for his Sake; their Sufferings being necessary to Plant what they Profess'd. Nor can it be otherwise, as I have said, while Man is a *Free Agent*; and you must make him a meer Machine, before you can make this the Crime of *Providence*.

'Tis true, (interrupted *Pleonexus*) that the Judgments which Men pass in this Nature, may be too Rash, and Inconsiderate. Yet it cannot be deny'd, but that sometimes, nay often, the Just Cause suffers, and the Unjust Succeeds. And if it be ever so, our Objection is in full force.

I will

I will own (replied *Christophil*) that this is sometimes true, but at the same time, you must own, that while Man is a *Free Agent*, and has Power to choose Good, and Evil, and yet submits more to his Passion, than his Reason, this cannot be prevented, without a perpetual Series of Miracles. Nor even by that, without destroying the very Nature of Man in his Free Agency. For suppose an Avaritious Person becomes a Judge, and that while the Just Cause before him, trusts to its Merits, the Unjust Bribes him to the *Wrong*, as unable to resist the Baits of Avarice, to which he is a perfect Slave. In choosing the Injustice he acts according to the Freedom of his Nature, and brings therefore no just Reproach on Providence, unless you would have had us made (as I have often said) meer Machines.

This therefore being the meer Effect of the Nature and Constitution of Mankind, it is a plain Proof of the Immortality of the Soul; and future Rewards and Punishments; for God, that is necessarily Just, must Punish the Injustices of this Life hereafter; and infinitely atone by his Rewards, for those Distresses which the Just may here suffer from the hands of the Unjust. And those Men, who here would not hearken to the Dictates of their Reason, but submitted to their Passions, the Conduct of their Lives, and by that means had no Regard to Justice, Virtue, or Religion, will have no Plea before the Tribunal of Providence for their Transgressions, because their own Reason will Condemn them. For, at the same time, that Divine Providence gives Man that Freedom of Judging, Choosing, and Acting, it gives him *Reason*, to direct him to Good,

Good, and to shun Evil. For, as there is no Precept of *Religion*, but what is highly Justified by *Reason*, so there is no Condemnation, which *Religion* assures us, that God will give, but at the same time *Reason* Confirms the Sentence.

Let not therefore the weak Vanity of Man, presume to Arraign *Providence* for his own Crimes or Follies, when God has given, and does give every Man a Power sufficient to follow the Precepts of *Righteousness*, and an evident Mark of what is so, and what the contrary; for he cou'd not, nor can do otherwise, or less for Man, without being unjust, that is, without ceasing to be God; nor cou'd he do more, without making Man an Image, a Machine, that is, without making him cease to be Man.

What you have said, indeed, (affirm'd *Philalctes*) has been out of my way of Reflection, and as it is now, seems extreamly reasonable; but yet methinks, if Injustice were always punish'd here, by some evident Judgment, it wou'd more efficaciously deter Men from such pernicious Ways. But the Generality of Men, either think not of the future Punishments, as not believing or forgetting them, or by a Flattery of themselves with a distant Repentance.

The last is as foolish as impious, (reply'd *Christophil*) since no Man is sure of a Moment in this Life to repent in; and those who can do Wickedness, with such an Intention, gives but little Prospect of a valid Repentance, which can never be real, where the Injury remains unsatisfied, and unaton'd; and those are as ill *Christians*, as Casuists, that teach, *There can be any Pardon without Satisfaction.*

As

As for the former, of not believing Punishments, that can fall in no Man but an *Atheist*; for whoever is satisfied of a God, cannot doubt of his Justice; and if not of that, by no means of his punishing the Breach of his own Laws, either in this Life or the next. As to the forgetting them, that is indeed, the most excusable part, because it has the Show, at least, of Frailty. But yet that is an Argument of the little Efficacy of these peculiar Judgments out of the ordinary way of Providence. For there has been no Age, without some remarkable Punishments, even here on Earth, of Signal Injustices and Impieties; and there are indeed, more than are taken Notice of, or known. Men are, as you observed, too apt to forget the signal Judgments of God, either because they seem not frequent, or else because the prevailing Passion persuades an Oblivion of what might put an end to its Tyranny. Yet the reading of the Holy Scriptures first and above all, and next of Histories, justly written Tragedies, and the like, is extremely useful to remember us of the Justice of *Providence*, and to put us in mind of the unhappy Events of Violence, Injustice, Intemperance, Pride, Oppression; and to warn us of a Slavery to any one or more of the Passions.

How (interrupted *Pleonexus*) do you allow of the reading and seeing Plays? which all the Zealous of the Times, are so justly loud against.

I confess, (pursu'd *Philalethes*) I have seen the gravest Pretenders to Religion, so hot against them, that I am surpriz'd at your favourable Word for them. I confess, I have generally found them Hypocrites.

I sup-

I suppose (reply'd *Christophil*) that their Heat proceeds from the Abuses of the present Stage, which is indeed, very abandon'd, and worthy the Inspection of the Government, to reduce it to that State, that all good Men wish, i. e. that it might prove what *Mr. Collier* says it might be. For while he shows a just Indignation at the Corruptions of ours, he as justly allows the Usefulness of the Drama, and with an Impartiality becoming a true Zealot for Religion, gives it a Praise, as great as just, in these Words.

The Business of Plays is to recommend Virtue, and discountenance Vice; to show the Uncertainty of Human Greatness, and the sudden Turns of Fate: And the unhappy Conclusions of Violence and Injustice. 'Tis to expose the Singularities of Pride and Fancy, to make Folly and Falsehood contemptible; and to bring every thing that is ill, under Infamy, and Neglect.

In Pursuance of this, he assures us, that the Wit of Man, cannot invent any thing more forcible and useful, for the promoting Virtue, &c. So that an Esteem for the Drama on that Account, cannot be unworthy a Lover of Virtue and Religion. Most of the Arguments against the Stage in general, are levell'd at the Audience, and the ill Effects of People meeting together. But to show the Absurdity of that, we need only consider, that all Places where promiscuous Company meet, are liable to the same Objections; and how impossible is it to put them all down, is as plain, as the Ridiculousness of the Proposal. 'Tis true, nor can it be denied, that there are several Irregularities in the Audience, that might be remedied, as well as those of the Stage; if the Government had a
more

more immediate Eye, on so publick an Entertainment. Then it might be made indeed, the School of Virtue, where Lessons of the Judgments of Providence, might be daily learn'd, and where our Memory might be daily refresh'd; that ev'n here Providence pursues the Wicked, and gives them an Earnest of the Rewards, their Crimes must expect hereafter. And in my Opinion, a Wise and Religious State, shou'd always think the Stage worth the reforming and encouraging, for so useful and noble an End.

I must confess (assum'd *Philalaches*) that I have from this Stage, Corrupt as it is, often retir'd with Contempt of a Grandeur so subject to Chance, and a Detestation of Passions and Violence, which Reason Condemn'd, and the Poet justly Punish'd, as soon as the Fact was Committed; shewing us the Punishment at the same time that it shews the Offence, and have wish'd, that it were more frequently so in the Stage of the World.

I was going to that Point (replied *Christophil*) when your Interruption threw me upon this Digression. If they were frequent, the obstinate part of Men, blindly bent on the unjust Gratification of their Passions, would believe, and perswade others, that they were Natural, and by Consequence, the Terror of them would cease; if seldom, the few they were confin'd to the common Corruptness of Rumour and Report, and the like, wou'd lessen their Force.

All Judgments are particular, and are therefore confin'd to certain Places of a narrow compass, and therefore Influence few besides the Beholders; because their Representation depends on Rumour; which is generally so false,
that

that it weakens the force, even of Truth. Whereas the Laws of God, and Reason, are Universal, and reach all Mankind, and therefore those who will not listen to them, will not hear one from the Dead; for he that denies to submit to, and be govern'd by that, which makes him a Man, will never be touch'd by any thing else. How Inefficacious these were, the History of the *Jews* (if you allow it but a meer History) will shew.

I have taken the more Pains, and detain'd you the longer on our Considerations of God, his Attributes, and the Vindication of his Providence, because these are the Foundations of all Religion, and the surest Conduct we can take to arrive at the Truth.

I confess (said *Philalathes*) I have but one Difficulty remaining, which remov'd, wou'd heighten my Idea of the Goodness of God, and give me an Infinite Satisfaction, and that is, if I could find, that I was not only the Momentary Care of God, but the Eternal; that is, that he has given me an *Immortal Soul*, and that he has not shewn himself to us for a Day, ever to lose the Contemplation of his Glory and Goodness for the Future.

I know not (Interrupted *Pleonexus*) whether that would be any great Concern to most Men, if the Laws, and Conditions of Salvation are as strict in Reality, as some Men would have them be thought.

Ah Miserable *Pleonexus*! (assum'd *Christophil*) are you then so wretchedly mean in Spirit, to Prefer the Satisfaction of an Irrational Appetite, to the easie Yoke, that would free you from its Tyranny; deliver you from a Slavery no generous Mind wou'd bear? Cou'd you, for the sake of the greatest Folly, be content to lose

lose the Glories of Eternity in abhor'd
Annihilation?

No, *Philalethes*! Much Nobler is your
Desire, and much more Rational. Cherish your
Hopes, for *Immortality* is your Lot, and, if
you please, a *Happy Immortality*, as will appear
from what I have to offer on the Nature of
the Humane Soul.

I must beg (said *Pleoneux*) that this be a lit-
tle defer'd; it dulls the Apprehension to keep the
Mind too long intent on serious Contemplations.
The Morning Invites, and I desire an agreeable
Walk before the Heat of the Day. The Foun-
tains, Grötto's, and the Shady Wilderness,
wou'd a little divert us, and make us come
with clearer, and less tir'd Minds, to hear the
Wonders *Christophil* unfolds. I am infinitely
pleas'd, that a Man of an Estate has employ'd
his Thoughts on a Point, which only Pedants
generally take care of.

I thank you for your Complément, (reply'd
Christophil), but must Inform you, that it is
not at all agreeable to me at the Expence of
the *Clergy*; and I must assure you, that in so
Numerous, and Learned a *Clergy*, as *England*
has boasted above these last Hundred Years,
you will not justly Condemn many of them of
Pedantry: When no Nation has come up to
them, either in the depth of their Learning,
the clearness of their Arguing, and the polite-
ness of their Stile. I therefore rather believe,
that you, *Pleoneux*, have not consulted them;
for if you had, you could not have entertain'd
such Principles, or such Difficulties as you have
discover'd. However, I think your Motion for
a Walk very just, we will therefore take the
advantage of the Morning's Air, and allow a Re-
cess till the Evening, for the better Prosecution
of our Enquiry.

D I S-

Discourse the Fourth.

Of the Nature of the Humane Soul, and its Immortality, against the Oracles of Reason and Second Thoughts, &c.

Christophil had no sooner ended, but they rose up, and began their Walk, in which, among the various Discourses of Indifferent Matters, they often glanc'd on *Avarice*, as the most destructive Vice, that is, to both the Publick, and Private Happiness of any Nation; especially of a Free Country, as *England* is, which cannot be supported without Public Virtues, which *Avarice* alway destroys. But whatever was said either Directly, or Indirectly against the Darling Iniquity, *Pleonexus*, like a true Miser, never minded.

Their Walk being ended, Dinner over, and a chearful, but temperate Grace-Cup gone round; *Christophil*, by degrees slid into the Dispute. And *Pleonexus*, who desir'd not to live Eternally, unless he could enjoy his Bags Eternally, took up the Cause of the Mortality of the Soul, *Philalates* being too willing to be convinc'd of the contrary, to hunt after awkward Arguments to destroy it, even in Supposition.

'Tis strange (said *Pleonexus*) that you shou'd believe the Soul Immortal, since you see Men Die every Day, and yet hear nothing from that Life they go to; not one comes to visit, or inform us, that we are not all Mortal.

L

Whence

Whence come you by the Knowledge of its *Immortality*? From outward *Sensation*, or inward *Reflection*? Not from outward *Sensation*, for that is only from what is Corporeal, and can affect the Senses, but the Soul is Immaterial, and cannot therefore give *Sensation*, when separate from the Body. --- Not from *Reflection*, for you have nothing there, but what you have first receiv'd the Image of from the Mediation of your Senses. But, that the Senses cannot convey the Sensation of a Spirit, is already prov'd. Tell not me of Apparitions and Ghosts, which Women and Children admire, which the Follies of Youth, and the Dotage of Age have given a Being to, tho' they are really no where in Nature, but in the Discomposed Brains of Enthusiasts; they are meer Day Dreams of sickly Fancy, which always Vanish when the Judgment is awake. Banter not me with Spirits, Visible to one, and Invisible to another at the same time, which to allow, destroys all Certainty, all Knowledge, and by consequence, your supposed Proofs of the Soul's *Immortality*. For, Body is the only Object of Sight, and Body that is Visible to one Eye, must be so to another that is equally well. These *Phantasms* therefore, and pretended Apparitions, must be false, or all things else are uncertain.

Besides you can draw no Proof from the Appearing of Spirits, or the Noises which they make, without giving Invincible Arguments of the Capacity, at least, of the Mortality of the Soul: For unless the Soul be Material, it cannot become Visible to the Eye, nor cause Sounds to beat on the Ear, for all Sound is the Effect of Body, if not Body, as some contend; now, if the Soul be Material, as if it appears

it must, it must have all the Properties of Matter, and by Consequence may Dissolve, since it is Divisible; nay we have no Reason to think but it will dissolve, since in its own Nature Dissoluble.

But if it be not Matter, it could not appear, and so by Consequence you can draw no Proof of the Soul's Immortality from the suppos'd Apparitions of Spirits, or Ghosts of Men.

This leads me to the Reflections of *Pliny* on this Subject. ' There are (says he) various Opinions of the Place and State of the Soul after the Burial of this our *Mortal Body*: But the most general is, (pursues he) ' That we shall be in the same Condition after Death, that we were in before we were Born. Neither Body nor Soul having more Sense after Death, than they had before this Life was given them in their Nativity. However, such is the Folly, and Vanity of Man, that it Extends to Future Ages; nay, even in the very time of Death, Flatters it self with fine Imaginations, and Dreams of I know not what after this Life. For some Crown the Soul with *Immortality*, others pretend a Transmigration of it, and others suppose, that the Ghosts, after Separation from their Bodies, have Sense, whence they render them Honour and Worship, making a God of him that is not so much as a Man. As if the manner of their Breathing differ'd from that of other living Creatures: And as if there were not to be found in the World, many more things, that live much longer, than Man, yet no body allows them the same *Immortality*. But show me, if you can, what is the Substance (as it

it were) of the Soul by it self? What Kind of
 Matter? Is it distinct from the Body? Where
 lies the Cogitation she has? How is her *Seeing*,
 and how the *Hearing* perform'd, with-
 out the Organs? How does she *feel*, or in-
 deed, what does she do at all? How is she
 Employ'd? Or, if there be none of all this
 in her, what good can there be without them?
 Again, I wou'd fain know where she re-
 sides after her Departure from the Body?
 And what an Infinite Number of Souls wou'd
 there be in so many Ages, as well past, as
 to come? Now surely these are but fanta-
 stical, foolish, and idle Toys, invented by
 Men who wou'd fain live always; the like
 Foolery is there in preserving the Bodies.
 Nor was the Vanity of *Democritus* less, who
 promis'd a Resurrection of the Body, and
 yet himself cou'd never rise again. But
 what a Folly of Follies is it, to think,
 that Death shou'd be the way to Second Life.
 What Repose? What Rest cou'd ever the
 Sons of Men have, if their Souls did remain
 in Heaven above with Sense? while their
 Ghosts, or Shadows are hurried beneath,
 among the Infernal Spirits? Certainly these
 sweet Inducements, and pleasing Persuasions;
 this foolish Credulity, and easiness of Belief,
 destroys the Benefit of the best Gift of Na-
 ture, *Death*. It likewise doubles the Pains
 of a Man, that is to Die, if he considers
 what must become of him hereafter. How
 much more easie, and greater Security were
 it for every Man to ground his Reason,
 and Resolution upon an Assurance, that he
 should be in no worse Condition after Death,
 than he was before he was Born. *Thus far Pliny*
 and I must think what he says,

very

very reasonable, till I hear it Confuted.

To begin, (reply'd *Christophil*) with your Authority from *Pliny*, and afterwards proceed to your own Reasons, I must take notice to you, that the Book whence you have taken the Quotations, viz. *The Oracles of Reason*, might in the same Letter have confuted all you cou'd have built upon it. For thus says the Author of that Letter. *Yet there are no Arguments of greater Weight with me, than the absolute Necessity and Convenience of it that it should be so, as well to compleat the Justice of God, as to perfect the Happiness of Man, not only in this World, but in that which is to come.* Thus you see, that your own Author owns, that the Justice of God cou'd not be compleat, if it were not so, whence it follows, that it must infallibly be so, because the Justice of God cannot but be Compleat.

To say more of this Quotation, is only to remark, that it is only a Huddle of Doubts, how this can be, or how it is? But I hope, that his Ignorance either of the Manner, or the Thing, is no Argument against the Reality of it? Since he brings not one other Proof to confute it, it must at least be allow'd even as an Opinion on, as good a Foot, and of an equal Probability with his; and when two things are equally Probable, 'tis most agreeable to Reason, and Nature, to entertain that, which gives a Prospect of things most Comfortable, and most agreeable to our Desires. But I doubt not to make it appear, that there is not so much as a Probability of the *Mortality* of the Soul, and as great a Certainty of the contrary, as the Attributes of God can give us, which I think is Infallible.

But how absurd is that Part of your Quotation, where he calls *Death* the best Gift of Nature, when he, by his *Mortality* of the Soul, makes it a total Deprivation of all the Gifts of Nature at once? If the taking away of all the Gifts of Nature in one Moment be so great a Benefit, certainly, he must think the bestowing all the Rest the greatest Curse. For if indeed it were true, that we wholly died with the Body, Providence cou'd not demand any Gratitude for our Productions in this Life, where our Days are generally *few and Evil*. For, indeed, we should look only like the Dream of Providence, which Creates a Thousand Fantastick Beings, that on waking, Vanish, and are no more. It cannot be the Result of an Omnipotent Good Being, *Terris tantum ostendisse hominem*; to have given Man only a hasty and Transient View of his Wonders, and his Works, and then to Plunge him Eternally in his *Original Nothing*. This is an Opinion, contrary to all our Notions of God, opposite to all the Attributes of him, which I have already Demonstrated; destroying his Justice and Goodness, and by Consequence, his Existence; for God can as soon cease to be, as cease to be *Good*, or *Just*. For the denial of one, includes the denial of the other. This Opinion, therefore, as plainly Atheistical, ought to be shun'd, and Contemn'd for its Folly, as well as Impiety.

What are your Boasted Men of Reason, who require a Demonstration for every thing before they admit it, as a Truth? Will they submit their Reason for the sake of Uneasiness, and Prejudice, to Absurdities, and yet will not be satisfied with Moral Certainty for their Re-
pose

pose and Advantage? These sure must be Mad-men, not Men of Sense and Reason.

But I shall Confront your Quotation with another, of a much wiser Man of Antiquity, which is *Marcus Tullius Cicero*, with his Judgment and Reasons for the Soul's *Immortality*. It is in his Book, *De Senectute*, or *Defence of Old Age*. Where in a Dialogue he Introduces *Caro* the Elder, with *Scipio*, and *Laelius* Discouraging, among others, of this Point.

' I can see no Reason (says *Caro*) why I
' shou'd not Impart my Thoughts of Death to
' you, which, in my Opinion, I have the better
' View of, because I am nearer to it. 'Tis
' my Opinion, O *Scipio* and *Laelius*! That
' your Fathers still live, and enjoy that Life,
' which is only worthy the Name of Life,
' For while we are confin'd to the Prison
' of these our Bodies, we perform the Du-
' ties of Necessity, and undergo a grievous
' Toil, and Fatigue. For the Cœlestial Soul
' is thrust down from its Exalted Home or
' Native Country of the Sky, and as it were,
' Drown'd on the Earth, which is a Place
' contrary to the Divine Nature, and E-
' ternity of the Soul. But I believe, that the
' Immortal Gods have distributed Souls to Hu-
' man Bodies, to Cultivate the Earth, who
' Viewing and Contemplating the Order of the
' Cœlestial Bodies, should reduce it to Imita-
' tion of the Manner and Constancy of their
' Lives. 'Tis not my Reason and Enquiry a-
' lone, that has given me this Belief, but it
' is confirm'd by the Nobility, and Authority
' of the greatest Philosophers; for I had heard,
' that *Pythagoras*, and his Followers (who
' were almost our Country-Men, and once cal-
' led the *Italic* Sect,) never made the least Doubt,

but that our Souls were given us from the Universal, Divine Mind, of which all things are full. I consider'd the last Words of *Socrates* too, on the Immortality of the Soul, the Day of his Death, who, by the Oracle of *Apollo*, was judg'd the Wifest of Men.

What need I multiply Words? My Opinion is, that when we consider the wonderful Activity and Celerity of the Soul, its great Memory of things past, its Providence for those which are to come, the vast Number of Arts, the Excellence of Sciences, and the Multiplicity of Inventions, we can never imagine, that the Nature, which contains all these can be Mortal: And since the Soul is perpetually in Action, and has no other Principle of Motion, but it self, it can certainly never have End of Motion, since it is not likely, that it will desert it self. Again, since the Mind is a simple and uncompounded Nature, without any Mixture of any thing opposite, unequal, and unlike its self, that it cannot be divided; and that since it admits of no Division, it cannot Perish. And it is none of the most Contemptible Arguments, that Mankind knew most Things before they were Born; that in their Childhood, and Youth, while they Study difficult Arts, they learn Innumerable Things, with such Address, and Swiftmess, that they do not seem then first to come acquainted with them, but to remember, and call them to Mind.

What I have here urg'd, I have, for the most part, borrow'd from *Plato*. But *Cyrus*, on his Death-Bed in *Xenophon*, goes much farther. O my Dear Children, (says he) do not believe, that when I depart from you, I shall be Nothing, or no where; for while I am yet with you, you cannot behold my Soul; but you know, that it has been, and

and yet is in my Body, from my Actions and my Sayings. Believe therefore, when I am Dead, that the same Soul remains, tho' it is not Obvious, and Visible to your Eyes. Nor would the Honours paid to Great and Good Men remain after their Decease; if we receiv'd no Influence, nor Impulse from their yet Existing Souls, which continues their Memory the longer in us. And I cou'd never yet meet with any Argument, that cou'd Convince, or Perswade me to believe, that our Souls liv'd only in our Mortal Bodies, but Died when they left them: Nor, that the Mind was any longer Frail, Ignorant, and Foolish, when it had made its Escape from the Body, to which it ow'd all these Defects; but that when it was freed from all Corporeal Mixture, pure and entire, then it was Wise. And when the Humane Frame, and Nature is by Death Dissolv'd, we see Evidently enough whether each Part, and Particle of its material Part removes, for they all return to their Original; but the Mind is neither seen, when in the Body, nor when it leaves it. You find, that there is nothing more like Death, than Sleep. But the Minds of those that Sleep, manifestly declares their Divinity; for being thus, as it were, at Liberty, and Free, they have a View of many things, that are yet in Futurity. Whence we may plainly gather what they will be, when once they are got entirely Free from the Clogg and Incumbrance of their Mortal, Material Bodies. Wherefore, if my Opinion be true (said he) revere me as a God; but if the Soul Perishes with the Body, do you, nevertheless, who Fear the Gods, by whose Power all this Beautiful Face of Nature is Defended, and Govern'd, Piously, and Inviolably Preserve my Memory.

This was the Opinion, and these the Words of Cyrus, on his Death-Bed. I will now, if you please,

' please, proceed to my own Notion of this
 ' Point. It will never be in the Power of any
 ' Man, to perswade me (O *Scipio*!) that your
 ' Father *Paulus*, or your two Grandfathers,
 ' *Paulus*, and *Africanus*, his Father, or his
 ' Uncle, or many other excellent Persons, whom
 ' it would be tedious to enumerate in this Place,
 ' would ever have aim'd at, and with Labour
 ' accomplish'd so many great and noble Deeds,
 ' in which the Memory, and Good of Posterity
 ' were so much concern'd; if he had not in
 ' his Soul foreseen, that Posterity had not a
 ' relation to him. Do you Imagine (like a
 ' true Old Man to boast of my self) that I
 ' wou'd have undertaken, and underwent so
 ' many Toils, so many Fatigues by Day, and
 ' by Night, in the City, and in the Camp,
 ' if my Glory and my Life were to have the
 ' same Bounds? Had it not on such a Sup-
 ' position, have been much better to let your
 ' Life glide smoothly and quietly away in I-
 ' dle, Unactive Supinity, without the Labour,
 ' and Contests of an active Station? But the
 ' Mind lifts it self up, as 'twere, with an
 ' Eye on Posterity, and Futurity, so firmly
 ' fix'd, as if it imagin'd it did not begin to
 ' live till it had died here. But, if the Soul
 ' were not Immortal, the Minds of the best
 ' Men wou'd not be thus Unanimously aim-
 ' ing at an Immortal Glory.

Thus far, *Pleonexus*, you hear *Cicero* by the
 Mouth of *Cato*, who, as he was before *Pliny*
 in Time, so he Excell'd him in Understand-
 ing, and has given substantial Reasons from
Plato, for the Immortality of the Soul. Where-
 as your *Pliny* offer'd nothing but *Peradventures*,
 if it should be so, or I do not understand how it
 can be so, and the like, on so Important a Que-
 stion,

tion, as that of the *Immortality of the Soul*.

This Quotation of *Cicero*, does not only Answer yours of *Pliny*, but even your precious Queries, how we come to the Knowledge of this Immortality? Which will appear yet clearer, by taking a short View of the Nature of the Mind. From whence it will appear, that the Mind being a distinct Substance from the Body, of different Properties, and a pure un compounded Nature, there can be no thought, that it shou'd be Mortal, since Indivisible. It remains in those Gentlemen, who are for its Mortality, to prove, that it is Divisible, and Material, that is, they must prove, that Matter, and Motion can think; for, to me, there appears no one Quality of Matter or Motion Analogous to Thought, as we shall see when I come to consider the Difference, or Distinction betwixt the Body and the Soul.

But I shall first consider the Means how we come to discover the Existence of the Humane Soul, or to know its Qualities or Properties, which I shall also take a general View of,

There are different ways, by which the Knowledge of Things is convey'd to us, for we know not every thing in the same Manner, or by the same Means. Some we know by the Mediation, or Intervention of the Senses: Such are all Corporeal Objects, which make their Approach to the Understanding, by striking on our Senses. Others we know by the Abstraction of the Mind; when we Contemplate and consider the Ideas, that are plac'd in the View of the Mind, without any External Archetype which they are like; such (among a Multitude of others) are the abstract Considerations

derations of Virtues, and Vices. Both these sorts of Ideas are, and appear to us, as no part of the Mind it self, but something External, and distinct from, as well as without it.

But there is, besides these, another thing, the Knowledge of which, we obtain neither by the Mediation of the Senses, nor the Abstraction of the Mind, whose Idea we find not as of a thing Extrinsic, or without our selves, but Intrinsic, and within. When we reflect, and enquire what we our selves are, who thus reason, think and enquire, we find a Self-consciousness, without any manner of Ratiocination, or chain of Argument, of some things, which are absolutely in us: Which, tho' we cannot behold in Ideas, as in Pictures, like other things, yet we find them by our Internal Conscience, to be actually within us.

But to make this more plain and intelligible by Example, I shall give an Instance of the Eyes, which discover, and know Objects and Things two several ways. Some they behold as Existing without, or Extrinsic, and others they perceive as Inherent in themselves. All External Objects, for Example, which the Day discovers to their View, as Trees, Houses, Fields, and the like, they View as Being Existent abroad, and without the Ball of the Eye it self; but their Sensation of the *Ophthalmia*, or Inflammation of the upper Skin of the Eye, not as of an outward Object, but as of something actually in themselves. Thus that *Thinking Substance* within us, which we call the *Soul* or the *Mind*, finds many things Extrinsic to it self, which, as certain Objects, it can consider, survey, and contemplate as it pleases: And besides these, it observes what passes within it self, or is, in other Words, *Conscious of it self*, From

From hence it will plainly and naturally follow, that the Mind cannot form any Judgment of it self, that is not built on what it observes in it self: It is therefore Ignorant of what Sensations it is capable of, till Experience has Inform'd it. Thus, a Man, that is born Blind, can never conceive, or so much as suspect, that his Mind has any Capacity of the sensation of Colours, which he is absolutely, and entirely Ignorant of. But, if we suppose him, either by any Course of Nature, by some unexpected Accident, or by some Miracle, come to his Sight, (as History assures us many have done) then will he find his Mind capable of that Idea, or Sensation.

If therefore from the Universal Consent of Mankind, we define the *Soul* or *Mind* to be that *Thinking Substance* within us, we must form our Description of it to those who enquire of its Nature, from those Properties and Qualities we find in it, by that Internal Sense, or *Self-Consciousness* we have mention'd. And from that we gather, that the Mind has *Perception, Understanding, Volition, Judgment, Ratiocination*, and that it decides, or determines with a perfect Freedom or Liberty, some particular Things, &c. The full Definition therefore of the Mind, is a *Being, or Substance, that has Perception, Thought, Will, Reasoning, is Free, and the like.*

If our Enquirer will yet Press his Question farther, and demand whether the Mind has not any other Qualities, or Faculties besides what I have spoke of, all that can be reply'd, is, that we do not know, nor are able to assert any thing positive of that Particular, since, as the Blind Man, in the Idea of Colours, so we can never know, that we have
any

any one Faculty, till we have made use of it. If this will not stop your Curiosity, but that you must have a more exact Account of that Substance within us, that manages, and orders so vast a Variety of Thoughts; unless you prefer Dreams to Reality, and specious Assertions to Reason, and Truth, you must be satisfied to hear, that all we know, or can know of the Mind, without a particular Revelation, is, that it *Thinks*. And that we have in our selves this thinking Faculty, is a thing so very plain, and is so Evident, that we cannot so much as Reason on that very Point, without being conscious to our selves, that this Reasoning Thing exists.

It being thus plain, that our *Soul*, or thinking Part Exists to give as great a Light, as *Providence* has allow'd, into the Nature of it, it will be proper to consider some of those Properties, which we do, with equal Certainty discover in it; and which is sufficient to build its Immortality upon. The Knowledge of God, and that of the *Soul*, seem much alike, Reason gives us the highest Evidence that both are, and have such and such particular Properties; but Reason cannot describe what the Nature or Substance of these Beings are.

The chief kinds of thoughts therefore, or Faculties of Thinking, are in number, seven, to take a small View of each of which, I believe you may think very well worth our while, The first is the *Understanding*, by which, I mean that Faculty of the Mind, by which it *understands things absolutely Incorporeal*, such as are *Abstract* Ideas, and whatever belongs, or relates to the Nature of the Mind it self, in which we can discover no Corporeal Quality

lity or Property. This Faculty is often call'd the *pure Understanding*, and the Perception of its Proper Objects, a *pure Intellection*, it being Oppos'd to the Intellection of Corporeal Beings, or to that, by which Corporeal Ideas are perceiv'd.

They call the *Understanding* a *Passive Faculty*, because in the Act of the Mind's understanding things, it properly does admit, or receive certain Objects. For, it is like the Eye, all whose action of Sight is to admit, or receive the Images of the Things under its View, neither adding to, or diminishing them. but receiving them just as they present themselves before it.

The *WILL* is another Faculty, by which we will, or will not consider any thing in our Minds, or have the Body do any thing as far as it depends on the *Disposition*, or *Decree of the Will*. Thus it is the *Will*, that keeps any Object under the Consideration of the Mind, or removes that, to bring some other in its Place; the *Will* likewise moves either Arm, or any other Member of the Body, that is subject to the Command of its *Volition*.

When we enquire into the Truth by a Comparison of various Ideas, while they remain so obscure, that we cannot plainly discover their Relation to one another, we sometimes keep them longer under our Consideration, and at other times quit them, without forming any Proposition about them, only recording in the Memory, that they are obscure, and uncertain, and sometimes we acquiesce in a confus'd Collation of obscure Ideas with one another, as if we had made some evident and certain Discovery, and commit to our Memory some Proposition about them, as if clear, and known.

known to us: There often is such an Evident Perspicuity in Ideas, that we immediately, and without delay, debate, or hesitation, form Propositions of them, and these we call Judgments; which are said to be restrain'd, forborn, or suspended. When the Doubtful, and Fluctuating Mind dismisses the Matter, either to a farther Consideration, or to another Time, or for Ever, without committing any manner of Proposition about it to the Memory.

The Faculty of *PERCEPTION* or *SENSATION*, is that, by which we Perceive or Feel in our selves from some Motions of the Body, Pain, or Pleasure, or any other Thought or Sensation, whether we will or not. There is no Difficulty at all in this; and the best way of Explaining it, is, for every Man to descend into himself, and observe what passes in his own Mind.

LIBERTY is a Faculty of the Mind, by which, it has a Power of Granting, or Denying its Assent to any Proposition; to wish, or not wish any Good, or Evil, Indulge, or Banish any particular Thought, or Thoughts. To Illustrate this by an Example, we can, or are at Liberty to believe, that there is, or is not, a Presiding Genius, or Spirit in the Moon, which gives it its Motion; we have it in our Power to wish, or not to wish, for any particular thing, or to turn our Thoughts, or Understanding to another Object.

But, to say a little more of this Faculty of Liberty, on which the foregoing Defence of Providence so much depends, there are three things that we must observe about it.

1. That we attribute it to the *Mind*, and not to the *Will*; for, since the *Will* is but a Faculty of the *Mind*, another Faculty cannot in-

inhere in it as its Subject, if we would speak properly.

Another thing that we must observe, is, that Philosophy, that is, Reason divides *Liberty* into *Liberty of Contradiction*, and *Liberty of Contrariety*, as they are term'd from the Nature of their Acting. The first, is that, by which the Mind can act, or not act, when one only thing is propos'd to be done. The second, is that, by which the Mind can choose to do this, or that, when there are more, than one propos'd to be done. But the latter is indeed, only two different Acts of the former; for, when I choose rather to read *French*, than *Dutch*, first at this time I will not read *Dutch*, and next, I had rather read *French* than not read at all. We may easily therefore make a Judgment of the *Liberty of Contrariety* by this of *Contradiction*, for I have not Time to discuss every Particular in all its Extent.

In the third Place, *Liberty* must not be confounded with *Spontaneity*, for *Spontaneity* is the same as the *Will*, for the Mind cannot act but *Spontaneously*, that is, by *Willing* or *Volition*, for that is only the Action of the Mind, so that to deprive it of *Spontaneity*, you rob it of the *Will*. Yet there are Times and Conjunctions, when the Mind is not free either in its Assent, Desire, Sensation and Will. Of which, more at large.

First, We must observe, That when our Mind considers Ideas, whose Relations to each other have attain'd the highest Perspicuity, we cannot deny our Assent to the Propositions the Mind forms out of them. Thus we cannot think two Numbers unequal, which, on comparison we find equal; for Example, on our

comparing thrice Ten to Thirty, no Man can entertain the least doubt, but that they are Equal. But, on the contrary, we find by Experience, that while the Ideas, we compare, are yet Obscure, we can doubt of that Relation, which we cannot distinctly Discern. Thus, when I have only lightly run over the several Sums, that Compose any two Numbers, but do not distinctly know them, I am at Liberty to doubt, whether they are equal, or unequal; and can Determine on which Side I please, tho' such a Determination would be Rash, and Ill-grounded. Every Day's Experience furnishes us thus with Men positive in their Decisions of the most Important, and weighty Affairs, which are evidently Obscure, and Unknown. But this proves, that there is a Liberty of Judging in things, that are Obscure; tho' there be none in Things that are Evident.

Secondly, All Men, by the Bent of Nature it self desire Happiness; all that they do, all that they design, tends to this End. *That they may live Happily; and, if possible, extend that Happiness to Eternity.* They are Born to this, with so impetuous a Force, that it is not in their Power to incline to, or aim at the contrary, even not to wish for Happiness. Wherefore, when the Question is of the *Summum Bonum*, or *Supream Good*, **HAPPINESS**, the Mind has no Liberty of deliberating, for that, we all of Necessity desire. But as for the *Inferiour Goods*, such, as are all the Goods of Fortune, and all the things, which relate to this present Life, we are entirely free to desire, or not to desire, to seek, or to neglect: We are not born down to them by an Irresistible Appetite, and Desire. To make this more plain by a familiar

miliar Example, every Man finds in himself a *Liberty* of Desiring, or Neglecting that Pleasure, which arises to the Taste from the Eating any particular Fruit. When we suppose the Fruition of any present Good Productive of Mischief to us hereafter, we can, and do avoid, and reject it. But there is nothing in Nature, that has Power to Extinguish our Thirst of *Supream Happiness*. Hence we find, that our desire of Particular Good is free, but that our desire of the *Supream Good*, which comprehends all, is *Necessary*.

Thirdly, There are some *Sensations*, which by the Body's Disposition, and Affection, we must have, and which we cannot, with all our Force, or Power, forbid, or avoid, or even remove, during the time of their Operation. Thus, if the Hand that is well, and sound, be Burnt, 'tis impossible for us to avoid a very troublesome, and Painful *Sensation*, in spite of all our Unwillingness that it should be so, and on the contrary, in the drinking any agreeable Liquor, when the Body is in its just State of Health, we of Necessity have a pleasing *Sensation*; and in this there is no *Liberty*. But then we have absolute Liberty to avoid External Causes of Pain, or Grief; or of applying them to our Bodies, if we believe, that this present Pain will produce us Health, or any greater Good hereafter. Here I must observe, that the Mind Spontaneously, and willingly receives the *Perception*, or *Sensation* of Pleasure, but not with *Liberty*, for we cannot help the Pleasure, for Example, that attends the drinking of cool Ale, or Water, when we are extremely Thirsty.

You may perhaps, ask, *How the Mind is determined to Volition in these things*, in which it is not free?

free? The Answer the School-men give to this Query amounts to no more than that when the Mind judges any thing to be *Will'd*, it *Will* it. Which, tho' true, for to judge a thing to be *Will'd*, and to *Will* it, is all one, yet is no Solution of the Question; which is not Answer'd till you can show what it is, that inclines the Mind; and determines it to pass its Judgment.

We must therefore, more justly reply, that the Mind, most commonly, has some Reason in its Eye, and that sometimes more clear, and sometimes more obscure, arising from our own Disposition, and from the Objects themselves, that determines it to *Will*. But when the Mind Judges of a *Free Case*, the Cause of its Determination is in it self, for it Acquiesces, because it *will* Acquiesce, which Volition has no *Necessary Cause*. Suppose, for Example, that I am to determine, or not determine, of something that is not known, what is it, that inclines my Mind to judge, or not to judge? There are, it is true, often Reasons of one side, but those not being Invincible, the Query still is what it is, that adds weight enough to them, to Poise us to their Side? It is plain, that since we, who determine in this manner, can produce none, then there is none but the Innate Liberty of the Mind, by which it is born to what it will in *Obscure things*, and *particular Goods* without any Impulse or Force from any Exterieur Cause.

There is another Question (for I am unwilling to omit any thing on this Head) propos'd, and that is, *Whether or no the Mind, after it has determin'd, or judg'd, that such a thing is to be done, can, notwithstanding, do contrary to its Judgment thus pass'd?* But this

Question

Question arises from an Ignorance of what a *Judgment* of the Mind is; for the Mind's Judging a thing to be done, is doing it, as much as the Mind can. For, suppose the thing to be determin'd by the Mind be, *Whether we shall believe the Gospel, or not?* If the Judgment of the Mind be in the Affirmative, in passing that very Judgment, it believes it; for, to Judge a thing to be true, and to believe it, is all one. The same will hold on the contrary.

But you will say, that the Mind very often Judges that to be done, which is contrary to what it judges true, or just. That is, when it fights against the Internal Light, in External Actions, in which the Body intervenes. This appears in a Man's committing Murder by the Instigation, or Force of some prevailing Passion, tho' he has always Judg'd Murder Unlawful. The Mind may also pass a true Judgment on a thing, and afterwards pass a false one on the same Object, forgetting the former, either through Weakness, some Passion, or any other Cause; and it may falsely adapt general Judgments to Particulars. In these Cases the Mind is said to act contrary to its Conscience, or its Judgment given before. But it never does, at the same Numerical time Exercise the Acts of *Volition*, and *Nolition*, for that is a Contradiction, and therefore Impossible.

The Question still remains, what shou'd determine the Mind to Murder rather than to abstain from Blood? Decisions of this Nature flow not from a sedate and tranquil State, and Judgment of the Mind, which can only arise from a through Comparifon, and Examination of the Ideas of the Matters under

Consideration, but from some *Passion*, which we still Indulge with Pleasure. Thus is the Mind determin'd to Murther, not by Comparison of Ideas, but by a kind of Brutal Impulse, or Force, which in the Vehemence of Passion gives a Pleasure.

This Observation will hold good in all things, which we do without being Influenc'd by any Reasons for the Action; for we are hurried to them by the Importunity and Force of that Pleasure, which we find in the doing it: And the Mind is buſſed, and taken wholly up with the only Thoughts of the Pleasure, without any farther Examination.

But this Pleasure which proceeds from our Compliance with an Injurious Passion, is not of that Force and Power, as necessarily, and inevitably to compel the Mind to that Determination, as it is of necessity, born to the Love of the *Supream Good*. To prove which, we need only consider, that if the Law-givers, and Magistrates of all Counties should, under the highest Penalties forbid the Desire of our *Summum Bonum*, or *Supream Good*, and should inflict the most exquisite Torments on all those, who by any Action should discover their desire of *Eternal Happiness*, how soon wou'd they Extinguish all Humane Kind; and if they wou'd submit to their own Laws, having slain all other Executioners, they must lay Violent Hands on themselves. For, that Desire is no more to be dissembled, than avoided; but on the other hand, if the Prince, or Magistrates of any Country forbid the drinking of Wine, and Punish the Wine-drinkers with great and severe Penalties of Mulets, Torments, or Life, they wou'd in a little time root the desire of drinking Wine out of the Minds of all their Subjects.

From

From all this it is apparent, that the Mind is free in giving, and denying its Assent to all Obscure Propositions, but not to those, which are Evident, and also in embracing, or rejecting particular Goods, which are not the *Supream Good*, to which we are Necessarily and Spontaneously carry'd, That it can Judge of things not Evident, or suspend its Judgment about them, and seek, or neglect particular Goods.

The School-men propose this Question, *Whether the Mind can Incline to an Evil, as an Evil?* Which they justly and Unanimously deny; for here the Word *Evil* only means what we Judge a Pain, without any Equivalent Pleasure, or Advantage. But as long as a thing so displeases us, that is, as long as the Will is averse to it, it cannot Please, that is, the Will cannot be Inclia'd to it, for these are Contradictions.

What has been said of the *Liberties of the Mind* here, will sufficiently clear that Point of our *Free Agency*, on which I built my Vindication of Providence in the Affairs of Mankind; since it is apparent from what has been said, that the Mind is entirely free in its Choice of particular Goods, whether they are *Real*, or *Apparent*; and that there is *Right Reason* to distinguish betwixt a *Real*, and *Apparent* Good, as well as betwixt a *Moral Good*, and a *Moral Evil*, and that therefore, where a Man pursues the latter, and rejects the former, he acts against his own Conviction, and by Consequence, offends as much against Reason, as against God, which justifies Providence in all its Dispensations. But, to proceed to the rest of the Faculties of the Mind, and the next of the seven is Imagination, or Fancy.

Incorporeal things, such as abstract Ideas, and general Propositions, &c. are not the only Objects of our Understanding, but it considers also the Images of Corporeal Things, both when they are actually before our Eyes, and also in their Absence. Thus in the Day-time we see a Tree, when it is in reality the Object of our Eye; and we Dream of this Tree in the Night, and we recall the Image of this Tree when we are awake, and absent from the Tree it self; and this Power, or Faculty of the Mind we call the Fancy, or Imagination, because it considers, or contemplates the Phantasms, or Images of Corporeal Things.

To be particular on every Faculty, would be too tedious, and of no great use to my present Design; which is only to give you such a View of the Mind, that from its Properties you might be led to the Wonders of the Creator in making it, and the certain Notices of its Immortality, which I shall go to, as soon as I have said a Word or two to the Memory, and the Habits of the Mind.

The Faculty of the Mind, which we term *Memory*, is that, by which we so recall the Images of Corporeal things, and the Ideas of the Incorporeal things, which we have understood, or perceiv'd, or had any *Sensation* of, as to set the Idea of them before the Mind when we Please. To attempt to describe the *Modes*, or Manner of the Mind's Exercising of this Faculty, wou'd be to wander in the Dark; for, all that we could gain, wou'd amount to no more, than a very Precarious Probability. It is sufficient for us, that we find this Faculty is in our Minds, and the knowledge of its means and manner of Working is of no manner of Use, or Service to our Advantage, or Happiness.

I come

I come now to the *Habits* of the Mind, which from their Definition, and Nature will indeed appear to be scarce any distinct Faculty from that of the *Memory*, for we define a *Habit of the Mind* in general to be a certain *Disposition, acquir'd by repeated Acts, by which the Mind does, with more Ease, think of any particular, or Wills any thing with greater Facility, than it did before.* Thus, by a frequent reading of Geometrical Books, our Mind acquires a *Habit* of understanding Mathematical Demonstrations, without any Pains or Labour; tho' they seem extremely difficult at first View, even those who are very Perspicacious, and of extraordinary Penetration.

To run through the Dispute, and show, that all *Habits* of the Mind, both Intellectual, and Moral, are easily resolv'd into the *Memory*, wou'd be Superfluous; it being sufficiently Obvious to any Man, that will give himself time to think.

Thus much for the Properties, that we Evidently discover in the Mind, of which we shall make this Use, and from which we shall draw this Doctrine, that there is plainly a Distinction betwixt the Mind, and the Body, which will open a way to the Proof of the Immortality of the Soul, which it concerns us so much to Establish on the surest Foundations.

But a little closer to enquire into the Distinction betwixt the Mind and Body, we must consider the Properties of each, and compare them together: We have already defin'd the Soul or Mind to be that Substance within us, that thinks, but we call that Substance the Body, which we find, or perceive adhering to that Thinking Substance, and which is extended,
di-

divisible, and solid, and compos'd of various Parts, of various Shape and Figure.

There is no Man sure, in his Senses, but will confess, that the Spiritual Faculties, or Properties, such as the *Understanding, Will, Sensation, Liberty*, and the like, are vastly different from those, which we call *Corporeal Properties*, such as *Extension, Divisibility, Solidity, &c.* For on our comparing them together, we shall not find the least Affinity, or Relation betwixt them. Let us for Example, compare the *Faculty of the Understanding* with *Extension*, and we shall not be able to find any thing common to both, and this will hold Good in all the other distinct Qualities of the Soul, and the Body.

This is the Reason, that none was ever so wild as to suspect, *Thought* to be in any thing, in which we can discover nothing but *Extension, Divisibility, Solidity, various Figures, and Motion*. Who, for Example, that sees a *Wooden Bowl in the Green*, did ever fancy, that it it was indu'd with *Thought, or Understanding*? We may well therefore deny, that Bodies can think, since we can make no Discovery of any thing in their Nature, that holds any Analogy with *Thought*.

Having laid this Foundation, when we find within our selves various Thoughts, we are sensible, that they cannot by any Means, be attributed to that Part of our Being, which is *Extended, Divisible, and Solid*. And we reasonably conclude, that the Subject in which our Thoughts, as it were, Inhere, is some certain Substance perfectly distinct from that, which is indu'd with the *Corporeal Properties*. The only way we have to the knowledg of the unknown Properties of Beings, is by those which are known ;

known; and since all the Attributes, or Qualities of Body and Mind, which we do know are so very different, we Rationally contend, that those which we do not know, are so too. And it remains on those, who are for the *Corporeity* of the Soul, to demonstrate, how Matter, and Motion, or Extension; Divisibility, Solidity, variety of Figure, &c. can produce a Thought. If this be a Task above their Capacity to perform, they require a very unreasonable thing of us, to quit a Rational Opinion, for one that is so very much in the dark, that they cannot make out the least Part of it.

This leads us to our last Consideration of the Soul, its Immortality, for the sake of which it was brought into our Dispute; for if that be Mortal, all our Enquiries about God, and Religion, are of no Use, nay, must be very Idle Amusements. And it is observ'd by a very great Author, that there never was any one, that oppos'd the Immortality of the Soul, that either explicitly, or implicitly did not Attack the Being of God likewise. The *Epicureans*, who are the mighty Patrons of this Doctrine, while they pretended to talk Magnificently of the Deity, Broach'd the most absurd, and derogatory Notions of him, that ever Men cou'd Invent. The Mortality of the Soul lessens our Idea of that great Good, and wise Being, who made all things, and indeed, by a close and evident Consequence, denies his very Existence.

I have often enough defin'd the Soul to be *that Substance within us, that thinks*, and by *Death*, I understand not every Change, but either *Annihilation*, or such a Change as destroys all the Faculties of any thing, and therefore that the Soul is to be call'd *Immortal*, if it either be not
Anni-

Annihilated, nor ever so Chang'd, as to lose those Properties, that we now find in it.

This being the state of the Question, it is not to be doubted, but, that God, if he Pleaseth, can Annihilate the Soul, as well as all other Substances whatever, as he drew them all out of nothing in their Original. But we shall hereafter show, that he will not do this from the very Principles he has fix'd in the Mind. That it is in its own Nature Perishable, they very weakly attempt to prove, who draw as a Consequence from the Perishing of the Body; for it is a very absurd Deduction, that because the Parts of the Body are dissipated, therefore the Soul, which does not consist of Divisible Parts, shall be subject to Dissipation, or be depriv'd of its Properties, or be annihilated; for, since the Mind is evidently, from what has been said, of a distinct Nature to the Body, the Change of the Body cannot Necessarily reach the Mind.

I shall here, only for Brevity sake, demand what is already prov'd; first, that Mankind had a Beginning. Secondly, that he receiv'd his Beginning from some other Cause more Excellent than himself, since Nothing comes out of Nothing. And Thirdly, that this first Cause, whom we call *God*, Plac'd us here with a beneficent, not a malevolent Intention; that is, that the Design of our Production was for our Advantage, not Prejudice: As is Evident from that Infinite Number of Good things, with which he has surrounded us, such as the large Increase of the various, and excellent Products of the Earth, which in their Use afford us so much Advantage, and so considerable Pleasure. Nor must we forget, that God has so contriv'd our Constitution, that
he

he has annex'd a very great Pleasure to the satisfying the very Infirmities of Nature; as in Eating, or Drinking after Hunger, and Thirst, &c. The fourth Postulatum is, that by the Necessity of the Humane Constitution, Mankind cannot subsist without mutual Offices, unless it incur the greatest, and most insupportable Evils; as has already been made out; for what Security cou'd one Man alone, independent of all others, pretend to? Or how indeed, compass all the Necessaries of Life?

There is no Man, that is not fond in the greatest Degree imaginable of Cavilling, that will not allow the former *Postulata*, or Desire a longer Proof of what bears the force of a Self-Evident Principle. Now therefore, let us suppose, that all Mankind perform'd all the Offices, and Duties of Humanity, and Love to one another, so that the whole Race of Men liv'd with that Conduct to one another, which the nearest, and dearest Relations pay mutually to each other. There seems nothing more to be desir'd, to compleat this suppos'd Happiness, but that this Life shou'd endure for Ever, without the Inconveniences, and Disturbance of Diseases; or, that, if this were to be lost, that it might be exchang'd for another Life, not Inferiour to this in Happiness, and Secur'd by Eternity. Nay, if we will give our selves the Pains to Reflect on our own Minds, we shou'd find fix'd, and woven into our very Nature, a necessary, and unavoidable Thirst and Desire of a Happiness so compleat, and perfect, that nothing shou'd be wanting to provoke our Wishes, and Desires for something more; or to move our Fear of any Evil to come. This is the Sum and Sense of all our Wishes, and Hopes, to which we are
Born,

Born, with so Irresistible an Impetuosity, that nothing less than such a Happiness will satisfy the Desires of our uneasy Minds.

The Natural Consequence of this, is, that all those Benefits, all those Favours, and Advantages we have receiv'd from the Benificent Deity, wou'd be of no Value, empty and fruitless, unless we were to enjoy a Perpetual, and Eternal State of Happiness. For, cou'd we heap up all the Wealth, engross all the Delicacies, enjoy all the Delights, that this mortal, frail Life can afford, yet we must be reduc'd to the most dismal, and most griending Sorrow, when we must Die in the greatest Pain, and Misery, beholding Death robbing us for Ever of all we held so dear, and without any Hopes of repairing the Loss, destroying for Ever all those most agreeable, and most delightful Enjoyments. At that Moment wou'd all the Good we had Possess'd, change into the most Poisonous Venom, and Rack us with Tortures, proportion'd to the greatness of the Excellence of the Pleasures we had Enjoy'd. It wou'd be with us, as with a Man in his full Health, Appetite, and Strength, that remembers indeed, that he once had Affluence of all manner of Delicacies, and Food, but saw, that he must now in a few Days, or Hours, Perish by Hunger. The Memory of his past Plenty wou'd aggravate, not lessen his present Calamity.

If therefore we were to Die, without any hopes of Happiness after this Life, that Benificent Deity would ev'n now seem, and with great Reason be thought to have given us all the Goods of this Life, not with a design of doing us Good, but merely to stir up an insatiable Desire, which he never intended to satisfy,

satisfie, that is, that he gave all these Apparent Goods, the Evident Effects of his Power, Wisdom, and Goodness, for our Punishment, for our Misery, not Happiness. He has planted in our Souls, has wove into our very Natures, a necessary, restless, and insatiable Desire, and Avarice (if I may so call it from its force) of an absolute, and compleat Happiness; he has here discover'd a Part of that, to raise our Desires higher, to wake us to Wishes for the rest; is it then consistent with either the Goodness, or Justice of God, after he has of his own Accord in our Constitution, and Formation, impress'd Appetites, and given such strong and vigorous Hopes, of a suddain, to hurl on us a total despair in Death of all that his Power, his Goodness, and his Works had promised us in Life? He would do by us like that Tyrant, who, to glut his Revenge, order'd a Man to be starv'd to Death at a full Table, by perpetually serving up variety of Dishes, but hindring him from a Power of taking one; and this to compleat the Savageness of his Cruelty, he sate and saw.

Can Humane Imagination form any thing more exquisitely Cruel? Wherefore we must of Necessity come to this, that we must declare, that Nature the most Cruel, from whom we receiv'd so many, and so very considerable Benefits, or that he denies us this Eternal Happiness, because it is not in his Power to grant it.

The third is as absurd as it is Blasphemously Impious, and Unjust. For it is both absurd, and unjust too, without any manner of Reason or Cause to suspect, that God is guilty of Cruelty, who has given us certain, and evident Marks, and Notices of his Goodness. But we shou'd,
 280 without

without Cause suspect, that God wou'd not bestow Eternal Happiness on us, till we were convinc'd, that we ought not to expect it from him. But how can we possibly be satisfied beyond all manner of Doubt, that God has not the Will and Intention to grant us this Bliss? We see, that we have receiv'd innumerable Benefits from him already, whence we may reasonably conclude, that he will be Beneficent to us hereafter, if by our own Vices, which are absolutely in our own Power, we do not deprive our selves of the Advantage. We find, that in the midst of the depravity of Mankind, there is no cause of Cruelty, or Avarice, but Weakness; and few Men are so very abandon'd, as wou'd deny that to another, which was no Prejudice to himself. What Miser so great as wou'd deny a Pin to save the Life of a meer Stranger? Weakness you cannot suppose capable of falling into the Nature of God, and by consequence you cannot suppose him *Cruel*: 'Tis a Contradiction of his Deity; and that he shou'd deny Man that Happiness which he has made him desire, is contrary to that *Goodness* already demonstrated in the Deity: The Miser's Pin is infinitely more to him, than the Happiness we contend for, to God, for he parts with nothing from himself, in making Infinite Myriads of Beings happy. It cannot therefore be the Defect of his Will, if our Soul be not *Immortal*, that is capable of Eternal Happiness; it must therefore be the deficiency of his Power, if our Souls are Mortal.

But it labours with as great an Absurdity, to say, that God cannot give us perpetual Happiness; for how can we suppose, that the Deity, who gave us our first Existence
out

out of nothing, shou'd not be able to make part of us perpetually Exist after Death, or to make the whole Man at last live again, notwithstanding his Body be before separated into ten thousand Millions of Atoms; this is not harder than the making us out of nothing.

Hence it is evident, that God both *Will* and *can* bestow on us *Eternal Beatitude*, which it is Impossible to do, unless that *thinking Substance* within us eternally Exist, that is, unless the Soul be *Immortal*. If therefore, from what I have said, and the evident Deductions from evident Principles, which I have made, it be thus demonstrated, that God has both the Will and the Power to give us *Eternal Happiness*, it necessarily follows, that the Soul is *Immortal*.

The Argument I have urg'd, supposes, that God wou'd not act agreeably to his Goodness, if he did not give the Humane Soul an Eternity of Existence in Happiness, tho' he enjoy'd all the Goods and Pleasures of this Life in the greatest Abundance, and Extent; but this Argument will be yet stronger, when we reflect, that no Man can enjoy them all, both by the Weakness of our Body, and other inevitable Casualties; but above all, by the violation of that Law, which Nature it self, and the Constitution of Humane Affairs teach us. I have already told you, that Men were plac'd here to help and assist one another, because the less they do so, the more Miserable they are; as their Happiness encreases, the more that Rule is observ'd. Tho' this be so very evident, yet Men, blinded by their Passions, too generally strive to do all the Prejudice they can to each other, which has

made this Life so far from happy, that it has render'd it the most Miserable. And very often those, who most regard, and observe that Law of Nature, Reason, and Religion, are made the most unhappy ; that is, that those who live most according to the Divine Will, they are often the most unhappy of Men. I mean by this, that they enjoy the least share of the Goods of this Life, which would be the greatest Misery, if there were no Immortality of the Soul.

Having laid down these Premises , 'tis a reasonable Question to ask, whether we can suppose from those Attributes we have discover'd in God, that he shou'd have regard to them least, who observe that Law most, which he himself has Planted in Humane Nature, and by the observation of it, beget to themselves Misery, which by the breach of it, they might perhaps have avoided, that is, might have enjoy'd more of the Goods of this World. There can be no manner of doubt made, but that God, who gave Mankind Existence at first out of Nothing, and framing him according to his own Pleasure, and so giving what Necessities of Nature, and what Laws he thought convenient for the well-being of his Creature (for no Man can suppose Man made with a Malevolent Intent) by which he commands a Mutual Help, and Assistance of Man, and Man must, by consequence, approve their Lives, which are Conducted according to these Laws fix'd in the Constitution of Mankind, and Humane Society. But if God approves of their Actions, and Conduct, is it possible for us to believe, that he wou'd neither exempt them from the Miseries of this Life, nor give them any Rewards

wards after this Life? For, if we shou'd suppose, that he did not recompence the Miseries thus Incurr'd, by a Compliance with, and Obedience to his Laws in this Life, with some proportionable Reward in the next, we must suppose him to contradict his own Will, which is Impossible, since his Will is apparent, that Men shou'd help, and assist one another, and yet shou'd suffer those who most obey'd this Precept, to be most subject to Misfortunes.

From the Miseries therefore of the truly Good, that their Virtues subject them to in this Life, we draw a certain Conclusion of the Immortality of the Soul. Because if that were not so, it wou'd be Evident, that God wou'd have no Regard to the Pious, and truly Good; and how great an Absurdity that is, we have seen.

Thus meerly by the Rules of Right Reason, we find the Immortality of the Soul, and the Absurdity of the contrary Opinion. And if Humane Reason go so far, where-ever it fail of that full Satisfaction you perhaps would desire, as perhaps thinking nothing a Demonstration but Experience, Divine Revelation comes in to your Relief, to assure you, that your Soul is Immortal; so, to put an end to your Melancholly Reflections, to remove your Painful Doubts, and assure you from God's own Mouth, of that Immortality, our Nature so much desires, and longs for with so great an Inquietude. A wonderful Effect of the Inexhaustible Goodness of God, and a more Immediate, and lively Warning to us to keep a Vigilant Watch on our Actions, and to regulate them by those Laws of Reason, which God has taught us by Natural Light,

and improv'd; and enforc'd by the clearer
Light of the *Gospel*.

I might here produce a Number of Arguments to prove the Immortality of the Soul. But this being a Demonstration, I shall refer you to the several Authors, that have wrote upon it; as Dr. *Moor*, Dr. *Bates*, Dr. *Smith*, Sir *Kenelm Digby*, and many more.

Notwithstanding all you have said (assum'd *Pleonexus*) I cannot perswade my self, that you have demonstrated the Soul to be Immortal: Your Argument drawn from the Goodness, and Justice of God, falls to the Ground, if I deny, that you understand what the Goodness or Justice of God is. For, may not what seems to us Good, be bad to God? And may not what we think unjust, be just with God? Is there any Proportion betwixt us? Can a Finite judge of an Infinite, or prescribe him Laws of Goodness and Justice?

Besides, I confess to you, that I have very great Doubts, whether I have any Soul at all distinct from the Body? And if so, the Evidence of your Eyes, and daily Experience, will bear no manner of Arguing upon. For we see the Body Perish every Day, and if the Soul be only the Life, as a Learned Gentleman of the Faculty of Physicians, has lately prov'd in his Book of *Second Thoughts of the Soul*. If the Soul be no more, and our thinking Substance is dissipated in our Corporeal, let me tell you, it affords a strong Presumption, that when we Die, there is an end of us for all Eternity. I know the Doctor says indeed, we are to rise again, and be judg'd, and so our Bodies Transported to Heaven or Hell: But if I think his Arguments for that weaker, than for the Mortality of the Soul, I

must beg his Pardon if I believe one, and not the other.

I do not at all wonder (replied *Christophil*) that you are not convinc'd, it is said, *that when Reason is against us, we will be against Reason.* The Belief of the Soul's Immortality wou'd perhaps disturb you in the blind Pursuit of your Passions, and therefore you chose rather to shut your Eyes to the clearest Light, than quit so Brutal a Chase.

As for your Objection about our knowledge of Goodness, and Justice, and whether we have the same as God, &c. it is too trifling to be answer'd. For, do you know, that you your self are, have a Being, and talk to me on this very Point in Dispute; if we are certain of that, I think I have thence prov'd by a certain, and inseparable Chain of Consequences, the Existence of a first Cause, and a knowledge of his Attributes, not at least contradictory to their Reality, nay, adequate to them, in their Nature, tho' not in their Extent, which is Infinite in Perfection, which alters not the Nature of Goodness, and Justice, but strengthens, and renders them more strong, and active; and by Consequence confirms the force of my Argument in Proportion to the Infinite Perfection of these Divine Attributes.

In short, to Answer your first Objection, I refer you to a Reflection on all I have said of the Proof of a Deity; and since you were not Witness of what I said of the Attributes of God, I will now repeat it, to convince you, if possible, of so weak an Error?

I insist not, (interrupted *Pleonexus*) so much on that, as to desire you to repeat what you have said already; but I will, rather than

give you that Trouble, suppose that you have Establish'd the Divine Attributes beyond Contradiction, yet it does not follow, that my last Difficulty is resolv'd; which must remain till you have Answer'd Dr. C——'s Book.

The Use, which you have made of his Doctrine (replied *Christophil*) seems to me what the Author aim'd at. For what other Motive cou'd engage him on so extraordinary a Subject? I cannot think he wrote the Book without any Design at all, for that would be unworthy so great a Philosopher as he seems to desire to pass for. And I defie him, and all his Fantors to give a better, and more evident Motive for it.

His Doctrine of the Mortality of the Soul, or that we have indeed no Soul at all, can be of no Advantage to Mankind, no Service to his Country, or Pleasure, or Use to Good Men, nor indeed, any Excuse to the Bad, since he owns a Resurrection, and gives all to the Body, which Religion attributes to the Soul; holding a Life and Death Eternal after this general Resurrection of the Body. For all his suppos'd Interval of *Non-Entity* will be but as a Moment's Nap to this reviving Mortal Soul or Body; and so comes to the same thing, as if the Doctor's Book had never been written, and his extraordinary Notions had never cost him so much Pains and Labour to send them abroad into the World. So that here appears, at least, no Motive worthy a Man of Sense to Publish two or three Books upon a Subject of no use, to free us from a receiv'd Doctrine of no Prejudice, but universal Good to Mankind.

Now

Now 'tis certain, that those Opinions (supposing the Immortality of the Soul but barely an Opinion) which aim at the Good and Happiness of Mankind, and perfectly agree with the Goodness, and Power of God, are certainly the more reasonable ; but to oppose the more reasonable Opinion, with a suppositious Discovery, that can have no End but what is Prejudicial to the Humane Happiness, is an Undertaking very much unworthy a Lover of either God, or Man.

But he will say, the Discovery of a Truth, whether pleasing or displeasing, is a Task worthy a Philosopher. I answer perhaps, it may, but then this Philosopher ought to be absolutely sure, that it is a Truth, nay, he ought to demonstrate it beyond the possibility of a Contradiction, else it will amount to no more, than a bare *Probability*; and then this dangerous and disagreeable Discovery is at best but on an equal Foot with the contrary Opinion; I mean, as to its evidence, and therefore of two Probabilities, 'tis most agreeable to our Nature, and to our Reason, to choose the most pleasing, and most useful, and so all he has said is of no Force, or Validity.

But to bring his Opinion even to amount to a Probability, he must prove, that Matter and Motion can think, that he is perfectly acquainted with all those Properties of the Mind, which the rest of Mankind with the highest Penetration, cannot discover; and that he has from thence drawn certain Marks of the Soul's Mortality.

On the contrary, I have prov'd, that there is an evident Distinction between the Properties of the Soul, and the Body, and such as bear no resemblance to each other, so as

to give us the least Grounds to suspect, that they are of a Nature, and thence prov'd, that the Perishing of the Divisible Body, is no Proof of the Dissipation of the Indivisible Soul. Which remains in so full force, that all his weak Attempts at Philosophy will never be able to surmount.

But I need say no more of these Books, malevolent in their Design, and I think, weak in the Performance, since Dr. Smith, in his Book, call'd *Evidence of things not seen*, has Fully, Ingeniously, and Learnedly Confuted these ill-grounded Errors. And no unprejudic'd Reader but must own, that there is a Candour, Reason, and Learning in the Divine, which the Iniquity of the Argument denied the Physician.

But the boldest Attempt of this Gentleman, was, his quitting his Philosophical Arguments for Proofs of Scripture, which is full of the contrary Assertions, where the Soul and Body are every where distinguish'd. But to Instance only in two Places, one in the Old, and the other in the New-Testament. *Eccl. 12. 7. Then shall the Dust return to the Earth as it was, and the Spirit to God, who gave it. Matth. 10. 28. Fear not them, who Kill the Body, but are not able to Kill the Soul, but rather Fear Him, who is able to Destroy both Soul and Body in Hell.*

These two are so plain, and so extreamly evident, that it is impossible to evade them without the last Force, and Constraint, or by absolutely denying the New and Old Testament.

But I refer you to the fore-mention'd Book for a full, and reasonable Satisfaction, if I have not given it you.

For

For my part (said *Philalethes*) I should oppose the Evident Truth, and the perfect Conviction of my own Reason, if I had the least doubt of the Soul's Immortality. Nor would I quit this Conviction for all the Pleasures of Mankind in this World. Now it seems worth ones while to take care of ones Conduct, and to set a Guard on our Passions, since we are to reap an Eternal Benefit of it. O God! how wonderful dost thou now seem to me! How Amiable in thy Goodness! And how Bounteous in thy Power! O *Christophil*! I count my self happy in your Acquaintance, and Bless the Accidents that brought us together; for from you have I heard things, that fill, and delight my Soul. You have Painted the Charms, and Beauties of Truth, and given us a Prospect of that happy State, that Man was first made in, and shown how happy he might yet be, by following those Laws; which God has written in our Hearts, and plac'd in the Eye of our Reason. I am satish'd Things, cou'd not be otherwise, than they are, unless God had made us Machines, that is, without Reason, or Liberty. For, if we were to be made Rational Creatures, we must have Liberty, else Reason wou'd be of no Use, and if we were Free Agents, every one must Act as he thinks fit. That God has taken all the Measures, that Infinite Wisdom saw consistent with our Natures, to incline our Appetites to Good, and to Establish us happy too here in this Life; as fixing a Necessity of Virtue in our Subsistence, and setting such Evident Marks of Distinction of Good, and Evil, that our Reason cou'd never mistake them. And added a Reward for the doing our Duty,

ty, that is, he does Reward our Endeavours at our own Happiness. O wonderful Effect of Infinite Goodness! O Immense Extent of Divine Love! My Soul is transported with the Thought, and all other things seem to me Irksom, or at least, not worth my Pursuit. My Heart is eager for the fuller Enjoyment of this Blessed Eternity, and it seems long to me till it begin.

The Heart of Man (said *Christophil*) seems indeed unsatisfied with all that this World can afford, and the most fortunate amidst his full Enjoyments, is still pursuing more; which looks as if nothing cou'd satisfie the Humane Mind, but Infinity in the Fruition of God himself, who made it. And to what end should (as I have said) God fix such a boundless, such an unassie, and so insatiable a Desire in Man, but with a design of affording it Satisfaction. The Eye is not satisfied with Seeing, nor the Ear with Hearing, and therefore God, (who has given us the same Demonstration of our Soul's Immortality, that he has of his own Existence) prepar'd for them, that love Him, and keep his Commandments, what has not enter'd into the Heart of Man to Imagine. Which is to say, something Vast, something Wonderful; for the Humane Imagination is almost of an unbounded Extent, and by a sort of Creation, forms various Beings, and Worlds, not visible to Corporeal Eyes, and proposes Joys beyond, and above the Power of all Sublunary things to afford.

I think, *Phloeus*, if you are not convinc'd, if you are not satisfied of this Particular, I shou'd Labour in vain to effect what you are resolv'd not to believe, tho' an Angel from Heaven were to come to assure you. For
even

even then you wou'd Evade it, and pretend it might be an Illusion of Fancy, and no real Apparition. And the same Excuse you might make at the reviving of the Dead, you might suppose it a Juggle, and be no more touch'd with it, than the *Jews* in general were by the Course of our Saviour's Miracles. The Great Men, and the Rich Men, were too fond of their Power, and their Interest, to listen to any thing, that wou'd Perswade them from from it, and we find but one *Nicodemus* in all the Gospel.

In my Opinion, (affirm'd *Philalethes*) you have given us Proof enough of this Point, since the Sum of it is, that this must be believ'd, or we must deny a God; for, by denying his Attributes, or supposing him to Act contrary to his Attributes, is to deny a God. I wish therefore, that you wou'd proceed thro' the Law of Nature, to that of *Christ*; for, the Satisfaction I have found in what you have already said, gives me restless Desire to hear what yet remains; that being at once deliver'd from the fluctuating State of Uncertainty, I may once for all fix in a happy Security, which no Fortune, nor any Chance can destroy.

Christophil finding *Pleonexus* uneasy at the Expectation of a further Discourse on these Serious Affairs, to humour his Malady as much as possible, by that to render him more Docile, propos'd to take either a Walk in the Neighbouring Fields, or else to go on Horseback, or in his Coach, to see some of the Gentlemen of that Country. *Pleonexus* was extremely Pleas'd at the Proposal, and *Philalethes* was entirely devoted to what *Christophil* thought convenient, not at all doubting

ing but that he had his Ends in the Motion, and those very good, and reasonable.

They therefore took Coach, and *Christophil* order'd the Coachman to Drive to a Minister's House, of the next Parish, where he Promis'd *Pleonexus*, he wou'd find himself Diverted with a homely Entertainment, in a House not likely to offend him with its Magnificence. *Pleonexus*, who was Indifferent whether he went, provided this irksome Discourse were broke off, seem'd Pleas'd with *Christophil's* Account of the Entertainment they were like to find, and desir'd him to give him a Character of the Person, who was Master of it.

Christophil, who knew that he shou'd arrive there by that time he had finish'd his Character, which he Imagin'd wou'd not be in all things agreeable to him, bid him look out of the Coach to the bottom of the Hill they were on, and there he might see the Mansion they were going to. Which having done, he saw in a pleasing Valley, a little Village on the Margin of a winding River, and near the Church, a little plain House, that at that little Distance shou'd abundance of Neatness, and a good Situation. There, said *Christophil*, lives *Christophorus*, the Person you enquire after, who has been Minister of this Place about Forty Years, that is, ever since he was Five and Twenty; for he had no sooner taken Orders, but I Presented him to this Living. I was not deceiv'd in the Man, for as his younger Days discover'd abundance of Good Sense, and Learning, as well as Honesty, and uncommon Piety; for, I cou'd never yet, nor any other Gentleman of the Country perswade him, either to exchange it for a Better,

ter, or to accept of a Plurality. He us'd always to say, that he thought the Cure of Souls of one Parish enough for one Man, if he discharg'd his Duty well, and that a Minister ought not to aim at getting Estates by the Gospel; he thank'd God, that he had enabled him to bring up his Family with that, in Honesty, and Religion, and tho' it was pretty Numerous in both Sexes, he had now liv'd to see them all happily provided for in honest Trades, and suitable Matches.

He has (continued *Christophil*) by his Zeal, and his good Example, reduc'd this from the most Litigious, and Unchristian Place in the whole Country to a perfect Honesty, and to live like one Family; the Attorneys have quite forsaken it, out of Despair of any Advantage, while *Christophorus* lives, who on all Accidents of Debate, is perpetually the Umpire, and never fail'd to Please both Parties.

Here are now no Beggars, nor starving Wretches, as there were when he came; he has stirr'd up the Charity of the Rich, and the Industry of the Poor, so that, they know not what Necessity means. His Church is always full, nor is there a Dissenter in his Parish. His Sermons are Plain, and Moral, directed to make his People Good Christians, not nice Criticks in Controversial Divinity. In short, he Preaches what they understand, and that makes his Hearers reduce it to Practice; so that I may truly say, he is belov'd by God and Man.

As for himself, and his own Family, there is always a Cheerful Holiness, nothing starch'd, nothing formal, no marks of Hypocrisy, but Diversify'd with Innocent Mirth, and Diversions, serious Studies, and daily Prayers.

I wish

In with said *Philadelphes*) there were more
Christophorus in the Nation, they would soon
 heal our Divisions, and unite us like your Vil-
 lage. I am apt to think (reply'd *Christophis*)
 that they are not so scarce as the Malice
 of their Enemies wou'd suppose, who being
 to deceive the People, run them down with
 an outward Face of Sanctimony, but not
 with Christian Humility, Love, true Piety
 enough. But this is a Point I shall not enter
 into; and now you find, we are arriv'd at
 our little Bower of Bliss. He shall show
 you the Ornaments of the Inside himself

and himself in his own Place in
 most religious, and Unchristian Place in
 the whole Country to a perfect Honesty
 and to live like one Family; the Attorneys
 have quite forsaken it, out of I don't know
 of Advantage, while *Christophorus* lives, who
 on all Accidents of Debate is constantly the
 Advocate and not the Plaintiff, and Parties
 there are now no Beggers, and starving
Philadelphes as there were when he came; he
 has built up the Charity of the Rich,
 and the Industry of the Poor, so that they
 know not what Necessity means. His Church
 is always full, and is there a Disunion in
 his Parish. His Sermons are Plain and Mo-
 ral, directed to make his People Good. Chris-
 tian, honest, and cheerful in Conversation. Dis-
 tinct, in short, he preaches what they in-
 deed need, and that makes his Hearers re-
 verent, and that I may truly say

THE

As for himself, and his own Family, there
 is always a cheerful, honest, and good Family
 nothing formal, no works of supererogation, but
 simplicity with innocent Mirth and Diver-
 sions, serious Studies, and daily Prayers.
 I will

THE
Fifth DISCOURSE
OF THE
LAW of NATURE.

THE Coach no sooner stop'd, but the good Minister was ready to receive, and conduct them in, where nothing was to be seen but Neatness, and Convenience. None of the Elegance of Building, or Furniture; what was Necessary seem'd sufficient for a happy Life.

After a Cheerful Welcome, *Christophil* desir'd to see his Library, to which they ascended by a small Stair-case into a handsome, and larger Room, than that House seem'd to afford, Furnish'd with a handsome Collection of Books in all Sciences, tho' chiefly in Divinity: This was the noblest Entertainment, he knew, that he could give *Christophil*, and a Feast where he often indulg'd himself to an Intellectual Luxury.

This was a Repast which was not very agreeable to *Pleonexius*, who took more Delight in Baggs, than in Books, which *Christophil* observing by his apparent uneasiness, address'd himself to him in this manner,

I find,

I find, (said *Christophil*) that the Frugality, which the other Part of this House gave you not more Pleasure, than the Richness of this, for here is Money thrown away, *Pleonex*, on things superfluous, in your Opinion.

I am not such an Enemy to Books Sir, (reply'd *Pleonex*) as to think, that they are of no use; I have some my self, I have the great *Hobbs of Malmsbury*, *Spinosa*, the *Guide to a Justice of Peace*, and several Law-Books, that are for the Information of my Conduct. I have some too for Diversion, as the *Pilgrim's Progress*, *Herberts*, with *Quarles*'s Poems, and the like. But to make a Bookseller's Shop of my House, I can see no Reason, unless I cou'd sell them to good Advantage, and methinks this Gentleman might have made better Use of his Money, for the Benefit of his Family.

I thank God, Sir, (answer'd *Christophorus*) and my Benefactors, I have provided for my Family, and have never wanted my self, since my good Patron here, Presented me to this Living; I have enjoy'd all the Innocent, that is, all the reasonable Delights, that the Richest can pretend to; for I have chearfully made use of what I Possess'd, in providing for my own, and serving my Neighbour; and what Money I had to spare, designing neither Hoarding, nor Usury, I laid out in Books, to render my self the fitter for the execution of my Ministerial Function. They came in by degrees, and many Years addition has swell'd them to some Bulk. But you must know, Sir, that I have been oblig'd to the Bounty of many Gentlemen, who have contributed to the compleating my Library. Among which is now a small Treatise of *Mr Hobbs's*, Presented me
not

not long ago, with a Desire, that I wou'd Peruse, and Answer. And that is his *Tripos*, particularly his Treatise in it of Humane Nature, and *de Corpore Politico*.

And have you, Sir, (interrupted *Pleonexus*) made any Progress in your Answer. I have not so much as begun it, (reply'd *Christophorus*) having but lately Perus'd it to that End; for I had read so much of Mr. *Hobbs* in my Younger Days, that I knew there was no wonderful Discoveries in him, or any larger proportion of Reason, than his Neighbours, tho' he sets up for Singularity in most of what he has Wrote, which requir'd a larger share of Evidence, and strength of Reasoning, than I cou'd ever perswade my self, that he was Master of.

You read Sir, I fear, (said *Pleonexus*) with Prejudice, else you cou'd never think so little of a Man, the whole *English* World so loudly applauds. Is there a Man of Wit in the Town but keeps him by him as a Treasure, and is as Perfect in him as you in your *Bible*?

I am not to be Govern'd by Vogue (reply'd *Christophorus*) which is generally in the wrong; as we find by the Extravagant Success some Authors have had for a time, that have afterwards sunk in the Opinion of their Fautors, and grown the Contempt of all Men beside. And tho' this be not yet Mr. *Hobbs's* Fate, 'tis not owing to his Merits, but to the Principles he has advanc'd, on which the

Cri-

Criminal Indulgence of the Passions are built with all the Security, Men willing to be deceiv'd can desire ; for as they tend directly to *Atheism*, so they naturally dissolve all the Bands of *Morality*, and *Religion*, which is so agreeable to the young *Wou'd-be-Wits*, and *Debochees*, that 'tis no wonder his Works are not sunk yet ; but the frequent Confutations the Learned Clergy, and others of our Church have, and do give him, must in time make them asham'd of so baffl'd an Instructor.

Every side (interrupted *Pleonexus*) Triumphs, tho' every side is not the Vanquisher ; but as I can find nothing but Magnificent Expressions of the Deity in him, so can I not find, that he has been so baffled, particular in one Point, which is perfectly his own Discovery, and that is, that Mankind are naturally in a State of War ; how well has he fortifi'd this new Discovery with Reason ? If you pretend to be a Man, submit to Reason ; if you are a Protestant, allow us the Submission to the Convictions of your Reason ; or plainly tell us, that in quitting the *Romish* Church, we only chang'd the Tyrant, not the Tyranny, and in throwing off the Implicit Faith in the *Pope*, and his Councils, we must have it now in our Parsons, and National Synods, or Convocations.

With Submission, Sir, (replied *Christophorus*) I may allow, that there may be some favourable Expressions of God in Mr. *Hobbs*, and the

the old *Epicureans* abound in the same; but when the Principles he lays down are destructive of the Existence of a God, those who are willing to have it so, easily distinguish betwixt formal and empty Words, and the Force and Energy of an Argument.

Next, Sir, if you have not known him to be Baffled in all his Arguments, it is because you have been so Partial, as not to read his Oponents, nay, even this magnify'd Piece of his which you seem so fond of, has been entirely Confuted by Bishop *Parker*, and others, beyond the Possibility of a fair Reply. So that, Sir, you need not have been so very severe on the Church, which does desire no such Implicit Faith, as you seem to apprehend, she desires you only to exert your unbiass'd Reason, and be her Enemy if you can. And since you are such an Asserter of Reason, I hope you will submit to its Decision, even against your Great Mr. *Hobbs*, else you pay him that Implicit Faith, you are so afraid the Church should demand of you. Here is the Book, I desire you wou'd turn to the stress of his Argument, and I shall endeavour to show you how contrary it is to Reason.

That you'll find a harder Task, than you imagin'd, reply'd *Pleamorus*, (taking the Book) here's the Place; the first Chapter of his *De Corpore Politico*. Which when he had read, *Christophorus* began.

Mr. *Hobbs* here, lest we shou'd think Mankind

the Work of an Infinite Goodness, and Wisdom, supposes a State of Man, which never was, or ever could be *in esse*, or Reality; he dissolves all the Natural Ties of Father, Children, and Relations, which must necessarily have been, and spread themselves over Mankind from their Rise, unless he wou'd suppose Men sprung from the Earth like Mushrooms in the Night, or from the Dragon's Teeth, and so naturally fall to Fighting, without knowing why; every one against one, and every one against all; that is, as Bishop *Parker* observes, he must suppose all Mankind Mad, to suppose, that any such State of Nature ever was. Nor is there, indeed, any such Malignant Principle in Man, as his Speculations suppose, which is not to be guided by any Law, or Precept of Reason, or Justice, we find the contrary every Day.

Another Absurdity he supposes, that is, that if he that was strongest subdu'd him that was weakest, and so oblig'd him to be his Dependant, or Slave, the Conqueror had a Right over the Subdu'd, and his Fidelity and Service, but he gives no Reason but an Assertion, *that Power is Right*; which if so, then the Weaker has no longer the Right of the Conqueror, till by Stratagem, or otherwise, he delivers himself from him, tho' by the Death of his Vanquisher, the Weaker having as much Right, (*viz.* Power) to his Conqueror's Life, as he had to his Liberty. This is a pretty Distinction of *Right* indeed, when every Man's Selfish, and Animal Pas-

sions

sions are to be Judges of it; for it Justifies all Robberies, Thefts, and Murthers, that the most wicked of Men can be guilty of : For if there were a time, when all things were Lawful, and the Strongest shou'd by Right; take all, it is still in Force; for, what was Right once, is, and must be Right always, especially speaking of the Universal Right in the Law of Nature, which always did, and always will reach all Mankind.

But Mr. *Hobbs*, and his Followers, shou'd not suppose, that all Men are so fond of Fighting, as he wou'd have them by this whimsical Supposition : Some, nay most wou'd be willing to have a Reason for it, as well as a Probability of Success, but so unproportion'd a War, as one against all cou'd propole no shadow of a hope of Victory. All are not *Almanzors*, or Bullies, to quarrel with all they meet, on I know not what Comparisons, nor are all Men so blindly led by their Passions : as to have no Regard at all to themselves, or others, their unhappiness, or safety, or that of their Neighbours, Relations, or Friends. Nay, suppose them to love none but themselves, they wou'd be more cautious, than to fall into the Confusion of so mad and general a War, such a higgie piggle of Blows. He must allow, that Man was always a Rational Creature, and ever endu'd with Self-Love, and the Principle of Self-Preservation, and therefore, that they always us'd all imaginable Caution to obtain an End so desir'd ; for they cou'd never reason on this Point, and be in so confus'd, and mad

mad a State, as that of War, in Mr. *Hobbs's* Sense, or show when, and how this Principle, which every Man now finds in himself, had its Rise, when begun, and how spread through the whole Race, without any apparent Cause, or Necessity more now, than at the Beginning.

The Instances, and Examples he gives of the Barbarous Nations now, and our *German* Ancestors, are absolutely false; for there is no Nation, nor ever cou'd have been, how small soever, without a Regular Government, and certain Laws written, or unwritten. And *Tacitus* will sufficiently convince him of his Error in his quotation of our *German* Ancestors.

But if we allow, as we necessarily must, that Mankind had a Progressive Beginning, that we were born of our Parents, they of theirs, and so on till we come to some first Parents, made immediately by the Hand of God, out of Nothing, or out of the Earth, it entirely destroys his *State of War*; for the Ties of Relation, the Mutual Love of Children, and Parents, Brothers, and Sisters, Wives, and Husbands, with the Consanguinities, and Friendships contracted by those Conjunctions, united Men into Families first, and then spread to larger Extent in common Interest, and Reciprocal Kindness; which cou'd never be so in a Moment dissolv'd, but by some Frantick Disease, that running like an Irresistible Plague over all Mankind, shou'd, I will not say perswade, but compel them to quit

quit their Safety, and Peace, for Insecurity, Danger, and Disquiet.

But if this suppos'd State of Nature never was, or ever cou'd have been in *rerum Natura*, to what serves so mad a Supposition? Does it give a better Foundation of the Rational Principles of all Mankind? No, --- it on the contrary destroys their Force, and by consequence removes all the Virtues from Certainty to meer Opinion, depriving them of their solid Foundation in the very Nature of God, and Man, that is, in the Necessities of Humane Society, and so in the Deity, who is its Benificent Author.

The Truth of the Story is (as far we can gather from the Cause, from the Effect) Mr. Hobbs had a Mind to set up for the Head of a Party, and being to touch on a Point so often, and so well handled by others, disdain'd to tread in so plain, so evident, and so common a Path, but chose rather to leave Truth, and wander after Plausible Errors of Wild Opinion, and Fancy, than to give his Disciples nothing new to distinguish themselves by; and as all Men else, at least, in our Modern Enquiries appeal to Reason, he was for setting up his own Authority against the common Reason of all Mankind. This, I say, by the Dictates of Christian Charity, supposing that his Aim was not infinitely more Criminal.

But Sir, as you have seen a Christian Philosopher would say, and Q. 4 for

sopher declare for a *Chance*, or confus'd Original of the Law of Nature, give me leave to show you the Reasoning of a Heathen on the same Head. It is *Cicero* that I mean, in his Book, *de Legibus*, the Subject of Mr. *Hobbs's* Book; and when I have done that, I will lend you Bishop *Parker's* full and large Confutation of the *Manusbury* System, which was too long to dwell on here.

We have (interrupted *Philalethes*) accidentally fallen on a Subject, which *Christophil* has Promis'd to Discourse of this Evening, in pursuance of a Design, which he has very happily begun. For he has pass'd through the Proof of a Deity, demonstrated his Providence, given a Rational Account of his Attributes, and prov'd the Immortality of the Soul, in order to the Proof of the Law of Nature, and the Christian Religion. For indeed, without the Immortality of the Soul, all the other Enquiries would prove but Painful Amusements, if I may soften it with so mild a Word, and not rather call them the highest of Torments the Mind of Man is capable of.

I shall readily (reply'd *Christophorus*) thus render the Dispute into such good Hands, and will only in a few Words offer the Substance of what *Cicero* says on the Head, I have touch'd upon, which may perhaps give a just occasion to the Rise of his Discourse.

It is, what you would much better Prosecute, (said *Christophil*) but since you know not the

the Method I have taken, I will proceed, with this Desire, that when you have any thing to add, either on the Law of Nature, or the *Christian Religion*, you will be so free, as to support my Argument, by your help.

I shall Sir (pursu'd *Christophorus*) observe your Directions. And not to delay your Intention, I shall be as quick in my Dispatch of *Cicero* as possible.

In short therefore, he has Recourse to the Providence of God, and the Natural Reason of Man, for the true Rise and Spring of the *Law of Nature*. But hear him speak after *Pomponius Atticus* has allow'd him, that the World is Govern'd by Providence.

' Proceed, says *Atticus*, for I long to hear
' to what End the Concession I have made,
' is directed. I will not (replies *Cicero*) keep
' you longer in suspense, for this is the Aim
' of that *Postulatum*. This Provident, Sages-
' cious, Manifold, Acute Animal, endu'd
' with Memory, and full of Reason, and
' Counsel, which we call *Man*, is Form'd,
' and Produc'd by the Supream Deity, on
' some Noble and Excellent Condition, and
' with an Aim worthy the Cause, and the
' Effect. This Humane Animal is the only
' single Being among all that vast Variety of
' Kinds and Natures of Living Creatures,
' that participates of Reason, and Thought,
' while the rest are all destitute of them.
' But what is there, I will not say in Man,
' or in all the Earth, or in the Heavens,
' more

' more Divine, than *Reason*? Which obtains:
 ' justly the Name of *Wisdom*, when it grows
 ' up, and ripens to its Perfection. But since
 ' there is nothing more Excellent in *Man*, or
 ' God, than *Reason*; *Reason* is the first Alli-
 ' ance, and Commerce betwixt God and *Man*.
 ' But those who have *Reason*, have also *Right*
 ' *Reason* in common, which being the *Law*;
 ' Men are associated, or united with God,
 ' by the *Law*. But those who have one common
 ' Law, have also one common *Right*, and
 ' who have one common *Right*, are to be
 ' Esteem'd Fellow-Citizens of the same City.
 ' If therefore they are obedient to the same
 ' Command, Empire, and Power, much more
 ' will they submit to this Cœlestial Rule,
 ' to the *Divine Mind*, and the all *Powerful*
 ' God: Hence all this Universe, this whole
 ' World is to be judg'd one common City
 ' of the Gods, and Men: And as in Cities
 ' the States of, and Degrees of Families are
 ' distinguish'd by a certain Rule (of which I
 ' shall Discourse in its proper Place) so in
 ' Dividing the several Classes, and Degrees
 ' of Beings of the Universe, it will be so
 ' much the more Magnificent, and Noble,
 ' for Man to find himself Plac'd in the Al-
 ' liance and Family of the Gods.

' For in our Enquiries into the System of
 ' Nature, the Prosecution of the Argument
 ' generally brings it to this (and what is
 ' there Argu'd, is as it is Argu'd) That by
 ' the Perpetual Courses, and Revolutions of
 ' the Heavens, there was a certain Matter,
 ' or Seed of Mankind produc'd, which be-
 ' ing

'ing cast, and sown on the Earth, was
 'enlarg'd, or exalted by the Divine
 'Gift of Souls. And though Man had
 'assum'd all things else, which belong
 'to his Nature, from a Mortal Kind,
 'which, like their Origin, were frail,
 'and fading, yet was his Soul Infus'd
 'into him from God; whence we may
 'derive a Kindred to the Cœlestial Pow-
 'ers, and may be term'd their Kind, or
 'Progeny.

'This is the Reason that no other Animal
 'but Man has any Notion of God, but there
 'is no Nation of Mankind, however Barba-
 'rous, or Savage, that is not sensible they
 'ought to have some God, tho' they are
 'Ignorant what kind of God.

'Again, Man has a Commerce with God
 'in Virtue, which no other Creature has;
 'but Virtue is nothing else but the high-
 'est Perfection of a Rational Nature. Man
 'therefore has a Likeness to God: So
 'that what greater, what nearer Kindred, or
 'Relation can there be to the Deity? For
 'this Reason Nature has furnish'd, and
 'bestow'd on them for their Use and Con-
 'venience, so vast a Plenty, so remarka-
 'ble an Abundance; that it is plain, that
 'the Numerous Products of the Earth,
 'the Water and the Air, are the Gift
 'of Providence, not Chance. And this
 'is not only Evident in the Fruits of
 'the Earth, but in the Beasts, of which
 'some are evidently Design'd, or Point-
 'ed

ed out and made for Use, some for the Advantage, and some for the Food of Mankind. Nature has likewise Invented for us innumerable Arts; and Reason imitating Nature, has, with wonderful Address, furnish'd Life with all things necessary for its comfortable, and pleasing Subsistence.

This same Nature has not only adorn'd Man with a quick, vivacious Motion of Mind, but added the Senses, as Sentinels, and Spies; and laid open to our View, the obscure, but necessary Notices of most things, as the Foundation of Science, or Knowledge, and fitted and adapted the Figure of the Body to the Genius, and Nature of the Mind. For when she had made the rest of the Animals with a prone, and downward Bent, meerly for Food, she gave Man an Erected Look, and by that excited him to behold the Heavens, as its Kindred, and Ancient House. Then she has Drawn, and plainly Painted the hidden Manners in the Countenance. For the Loquacious Eyes discover the Affections of the Mind, and the Face, the Manners and Inclinations, which cannot be form'd in any other living Creature. I shall pass over the Aptness, and the Address of the other Parts of the Body, the Formation of the Voice, and the Efficacy of Speech, which is the greatest Engagement of Humane Society. Now, because God has Created, and Adorn'd

Man, as the first, and chief of all Beings, it is evident, that his Nature can by it self and its own Force, make a farther Progress, than any of the Rest. For his Nature by it self Confirms, and Perfects Reason, by proceeding from those things whose Kinds it knows, in the first Reflection, or Ideas of the Mind. How remotely have you run (says *Atticus*) for the Principles of *Civil Law*, but in so agreeable a manner, that I am not at all Sollicitous about, what these things were Introduc'd for, but cou'd be very well satisf'd, that you spent the Day on this very Point; for these things seem to me of greater Consequence, and more Noble, than those for which you Prepare the Way by these Contemplations. I confess (reply'd *Cicero*) what I have just touch'd cursorily in this Discourse, are things of Moment. But that of the greatest Consequence among all the Disputes of the Learned, is perhaps that, which Demonstrates our being Born for the Practice of Justice; and, *That Right is not Founded in Opinion, but in Nature.*

This will appear more Evident, if you Consider the Humane Conjunction, and Society. For there is no one thing so like another, as we are all like one another. So that if the Depravity of Customs, and the Variety of Opinions, did not Reach the Weakness of our Minds, and keep them to that Bent, they had first given them, no Man wou'd be more
 'Uni-

' Uniform to himself, than every Man to
 ' every Man in the Universe. The same
 ' Definition therefore of Man, reaches, and
 ' fits the whole Kind; which makes it evident,
 ' that there is no general Distinction.
 ' For Reason, in which alone, we Excell
 ' the Brutes, which helps our Conjectures,
 ' by which we Argue, Confute, Examine,
 ' Form, and Conclude, is common to all
 ' of us; we differ in the Doctrines, but
 ' are alike in the Faculty of Learning;
 ' or Understanding; for all the same things
 ' are Comprehended by our Souls; and
 ' the same things, that move the Senses
 ' of one, affect those of all Men. And
 ' those Images, or Ideas which are Imprint-
 ' ed in the Mind of one, as the first Notices
 ' of things, are in like manner Imprinted
 ' in the Minds of *All*. Thus in Speech,
 ' which is the Interpreter of the Mind,
 ' all Men agree in the Meaning, though
 ' they differ in the Sounds, or Words.
 ' Nor is there any one, of what Nation so-
 ' ever, who cannot, by following the Dictates
 ' of Nature, arrive at Virtue.

' But this Similitude of Mankind to each
 ' other, does not only hold in good Deeds,
 ' but is as Remarkable in Bad. All are
 ' caught by Pleasure; which, tho' it be
 ' the Bait of Wickedness, has some sort
 ' of appearance of a *Natural Good*; for it
 ' contains something of Lenity, and Sweet-
 ' ness. By the same common Ignorance,
 ' all fly from Death, as the Dissolution

' of Nature; and Life is desir'd, because
 ' it contains us in that Condition, or
 ' State that we are Born in. *Grief*, or *Pain*,
 ' is by all esteem'd the greatest *Evil*, both
 ' because of that Sharpness, and Sting;
 ' that it has in its own Nature, and be-
 ' cause the Destruction of Nature seems
 ' to follow. Troubles, Joys, Desires, Fears,
 ' Invade the Minds of all Men; nor
 ' does the Variety of the Opinions of Men
 ' alter the Matter; for they who Adore
 ' a Dog, or a Cat for Gods, labour un-
 ' der as great Superstition, as the rest of
 ' the World. But what Nation is there,
 ' that does not love Affability, Benignity,
 ' and Gratitude. What Nation in the
 ' Universe, does not hate the Proud,
 ' the Mischievous, the Cruel, and the Un-
 ' grateful?

' Since we find, by what has been said,
 ' that all Men are alike in what we have
 ' mention'd, and Knit together in one
 ' common, and general Society; it fol-
 ' lows, that a right Course, and Method
 ' of Life, is that which renders some bet-
 ' ter than others. From hence it is plain,
 ' That all were made Originally Just, that
 ' they might Participate one with another,
 ' and have one general Communcation a-
 ' mong all; but that the Corruption of Evil
 ' Customs does, as it were, extinguish those
 ' sparks of Good, first given us by Nature,
 ' and gives Rise and Confirmation to the
 ' con-

contrary Vices. But if Men would, in their Judgments, as it is in Nature, think all *Humane* Offices their Duty; *Right* would be equally regarded, and observ'd by all. For they who have Reason given them, have likewise *Right Reason*, and by Consequence *Law*; for the *Law* is but the *Right Reason* of Commanding, or Forbidding; and if *Law*, *Right*. But all Men are endu'd with Reason, all Men therefore have the Obligations of *Right*.

I fear I have been too tedious in my Quotation, but I cou'd only here and there, for Brevity sake, leave out some things, as I with Pain, leap over what remains, to conclude with *Atticus's* Summing up the whole: *Quintus* (*Cicero's* Brother, who is another Person of the Dialogue) having said, That from what he had heard, he found, that *Right* was deriv'd from Nature; the same (replies *Atticus*) is my Opinion. This is plain, that we are first Form'd, and Adorn'd by the Munificence of the Gods. Secondly, that all Men have the same, or like Mode, or Form of Living, as to those Particulars, Commemorated by *Cicero*, And that all are united to one another by a Natural kind of Indulgence, and Benevolence, and a share or Society of *Right*.

By

By this you may see, that this *Heathen* had a nobler Idea of God and the Humane Nature, than Mr. *Hobbs*, and that he attributed the Disorders we now find to the Corruptions of evil Customs, and only Innocence & mutual Love and to the primitive of Nature before those Customs were form'd by longinquity of Time, and on which, only Mr. *Hobbs* has built without the least shadow of Reason, which will yet do him no Service, since there is no arguing from the Corruption of Custom, to the Purity of Nature.

I have done what I propos'd, and now desire you wou'd take up your Argument, according to your former Intention.

For my part (said *Philalethes*) this *Heathen*, as you call him, satisfies me infinitely more, than what you read out of Mr. *Hobbs*, because more agreeable to Reason, and those Attributes of God which you *Christophil* have already demonstrated.

I have many Objections to make to what *Cicero* has said (affirm'd *Pleonexus*) but that it wou'd too long detain *Christophil* from his beloved Argument, and I suppose, we are all satisfy'd in the Justice of the Law of Nature; so I shall not raise any scruples in the matter, but shall listen to your Discourse, as to a very agreeable Amusement.

I do not know what Objections you may have (reply'd *Christophil*) against what *Cicero* has advanced, but I am very sure, that if you had consider'd justly, what I have already said of the Law of Nature, and right Reason in my former Discourses, you wou'd have found, on how sandy a Foundation Mr. *Hobbs's* System is built. In my Considerations on the moral Attributes of God, in my Vindication of Providence, and in
P my

my View of the Properties of the Soul you wou'd find not only the whole Doctrine of the Laws of Nature, but also a demonstration, that they were of Divine Institution. However, to recall to your Memory, what you have heard, and in short to repeat what you have not, I shall recapitulate, and lay down the Laws of Nature, deriv'd from their proper Source.

Right Reason, therefore, which we have defin'd, and given you instances and Maxims of, draws with the utmost certainty these Prescripts and Rules, which form the Law of Nature, from the Nature of God, and Man; and they are all comprehended under these three Duties.

(1.) *Our Duty to our selves,*

(2.) *Our Duty to our Neighbour,*

(3.) *And our Duty to God.*

Of each of which I shall speak, with all imaginable Brevity; because, I think, I have said enough of all but the last already, and built them on the most infallible Grounds.

To defer, therefore, the greatest Force of their Sanction by the Divine Authority, till we come to the last of these three Heads, I shall begin with those which are comprehended under *our Duty to our selves*, and show, that they all naturally proceed from that universal Maxim, or Principle, which God has implanted in all Mankind *Self-Love, or Self-Preservation*. If I should derive all the other Duties from the same Source, I doubt not but it wou'd appear very reasonable to you all, That we should follow whatever plainly, or probably contributes to our Preservation,

tion, and to that Love which every Man naturally bears himself. But first of these.

Self love, as *Rochefort* justly observes, rightly understood, is the Mother of all other Virtues, as it is the Parent of all our Vices; when ill understood. *Self Love*, therefore, rightly understood, gives us a Desire of Good, and an Aversion to Evil, that is teaches us to seek Pleasure and Safety, and to shun Pain and Insecurity; for Pain and Insecurity threaten our Preservation, while safety and pleasure promote it: for Pleasure is the Charm, that renders *Self-Preservation* so engaging. This Principle, therefore, proposes to right Reason to consider and judge of Pleasure and Pain, according to their Nature in their Time, Duration and Degree; whether immediate or distant, probable or certain, greater or less, long or short, corporeal or intellectual, real or imaginary; and how every one of these contribute to, or oppose the Safety or Preservation of the Being.

Our *Safety*, therefore, being the first thing that Reason considers in these Enquiries, because *Pleasure* is inconsistent with *Insecurity*, which always begets Fear and Fear and Joy (without which no Pleasure is) are opposite and almost inconsistent. It first examines into the ways of fortifying *Security*, and defending and blocking up all the Avenues against the Approaches of *Danger*, and *Insecurity*; and by consequence considers which way it may be invaded, and ruin'd, and which way made defensible: And on Reflection, *Right Reason* finds by its own Rules, that our Safety cannot be ruin'd but two several ways, that is From without, or from within our selves. Its care, therefore, is naturally to prevent all domestic Underminings, and treacherous Surrender

of the Fort by our selves; and that it does by finding that there is a Necessity of those home Securities call'd *Moral Virtues*; which will preserve us from doing those things, which may make our Bodies or Minds unfit to perform those Offices, for which Nature design'd them, and by that means disappoint our selves of that Pleasure, or continuity of Pleasures, which make up Happiness, and which *Self-Love* is born to with so vehement an Appetite, and on which the very Soul of *Self-Preservation* depends: Reason therefore declares, that *Temperance* or *Sobriety* is absolutely necessary to this end, in those Pleasures which regard the Body, and that without this Virtue, it is in vain, that *Wisdom* or *Prudence* provides against Foreign Assaults; or that Fortitude or Courage oppose or support Extrinsic Accidents; if we cou'd suppose these Virtues to remain in the midst of corporeal Excesses, which oppressing the Organs of the Body, at the same time, renders the Mind incapable of performing her Duty. But where both the Body, and the Mind are depriv'd of their proper Operations, there can be no Pleasure, since the Appetite is dull'd, and the Safety of the whole is endanger'd.

It appears, therefore, that Reason deduces from the Principles of *Self-love*, and *Self-preservation*, that there is a necessity of *Sobriety* and *Temperance*; that is, that there must be a bounded enjoyment of sensual Pleasures to Secure our Safety, even from our selves. Excesses in Drinking, unlimited venereal Pleasures, and Gluttonous Eating debilitate the Body, impair the Health, breed Satiety and Pain, and a manifest Insecurity: Satiety destroys those very Pleasures, Insecurity destroys all; and both, therefore,

therefore, are directly against *Self Love* and *Self-Preservation*.

Thus it is, that Reason finds Sobriety and Temperance, to be the Security and strength of Pleasure in regard to our selves, and in relation to the Body.

Let us now consider, how we our selves may destroy our own Pleasures, by the Disturbance of the Mind. There can be no Pleasure without Peace of Mind, nor any Peace of Mind without Virtue, that is, a Regulation of the Passions by the Rule of Justice and Moderation. For to suffer the Passions, to be often, or always in a Violent Agitation, puts the Mind in a perpetual Tumult, and renders it unfit for the Performance of those Offices it was design'd for; and robs it of that Tranquillity and Peace, which are necessary for the perfect enjoyment of Pleasure. For when the Judgment is Fluctuating, and all the Faculties of the Mind confounded (as they must be in an arbitrary and constant Domination of the Passions) what Taste or Relish of Satisfaction can the Mind have? Besides, a perpetual Agitation of the Passions in a violent and unbounded manner, disorders the Body; produces Diseases of immediate and fatal consequence, which often end in sudden Death, which is immediately against the first Principle of Nature *Self-Preservation*.

Hence Reason draws the necessity of our reducing our Passions into certain Bounds, and of finding out what these Bounds are; which on Enquiry it finds to be the *Moral Virtues*, and that when they transgress these, they betray our Pleasure, and our Safety, undermining them at Home, and provoking their Invasion from abroad. Thus it is plain, that *Prudence* is necessary to

our Preservation, which the Moralists call the first Primitive Virtue, and define it thus. *Prudence is a Virtue of the Soul, which has that absolute sway over the Passions, that it permits not any of their Emotions to hinder the Mind from judging truly, and happily apprehending in her deliberations, what is Absolutely necessary and simply the best.*

But when Reason, in the discharge of that Trust, which *Self-Love* confided to it, has found, that our Pleasure and Safety do not depend on us alone, but that they are in great measure, in the Power of others, it considers what is the most likely way to prevent any Danger from abroad. Reason reflects that Man is not a Solitary Creature, that Individuals cannot singly Subsist, but that a Solitude wou'd not only deprive us of all or most part of our Pleasure (a large share of which we owe to others) but also of our Security and Preservation; in short, that both our Pleasure and Safety, depend on Society.

Reason, therefore, from these Considerations, making its report to *Self-Love*, that our Pleasures and our Security had a very great dependence on others, *Self-Love* produced *Good Will* to the Preservation of those others, on whose *Well-being* our own so absolutely depended. Thus this *Good Will* produced Beneficence, or a grateful desire to return Pleasure and Safety to them, who gave ours to us. Reason thus proceeding further, finds that to keep up this Society, on which Self Preservation depended, there was a necessity, that each particular should have certain Rights inviolable, which to invade, was to destroy our own Security, in that of Society, by which our own is supported; and this be-
 got.

got *Justice*, which is a *constant and perpetual Will of giving every one his Due or Right*, which being every one's Case, it begets *Mutual Love*, and common *Justice*, because every one contributes to the Safety and Pleasure of each. Every one therefore has a particular Right, which it is the common Interest to Preserve. So that from the Universal Principle of *Self-Love*, and *Self-Preservation*, these Universal Virtues arise, and are fixt by the Universal Principle of each particular *Self-Love*, and so firmly, and necessarily fixt, that the Breach of them endangers the Pleasures and Safety of each particular Offender against them, and by Consequence is a Breach of the first and most evident Principle of Nature, *Self-Love*, and *Self-Preservation*; and so far is our Duty to our selves concern'd in their Breach.

As for Example

The invading our Neighbours Rights, forfeits Mens Good Will to us, and gives them a Right to invade ours, by our breaking the common Bond of Security, and so takes away all Pretence to our own; it sets every Man's hand against us, in order to secure the Publick Safety, which depends on the Inviolableness of each Man's right.

Thus an unbounded sway of the Passions, in Drinking, Promiscuous Copulations, Contentions, Sedition, Theft, Murder, Litigiousness, Enmity, Avarice, Medling in others Affairs, and the like, produce naturally, Want, Poverty, Contempt, Disquiet, Confinement, Loss of Goods, Banishment, and Death, and are all by consequence Enemies to *Self-Preservation*, and to be, therefore avoided on the Principle of *Self-Love*; and are for that Reason, a Breach of that part of the Law of Nature, which teaches us our Duty to our selves.

On the contrary to secure our *Safety*, and in that our *Pleasure*, according to the dictates of *Self-Love*, we ought to engage the Affections of others, as much as we can, and fortifie them with Esteem, and that purely in Duty to our selves, which is only to be done by our Adherence to Prudence or Wisdom, Fortitude or Courage, Temperance, and the like. For the Observation of these it is, that begets Esteem, as Esteem does Honour and Interest, which fortifie our Security, and in that our *Pleasure*. *Honour* in its Nature is built on an esteem proceeding from our *Virtue*, which first did, and always only will raise one Man above another, secures just Pleasures and Happiness, and in that shows it self the genuine Off-spring of *Self Preservation*.

It secures our Quiet within, for the first Reward of Virtue, is its own intrinseck Pleasure, since it naturally tends to Tranquillity of Mind and Health of Body. For all the good natur'd Passions, that is, the Passions that are duly regulated by Reason, and by Consequence have a great share in our Virtues, produce a satisfaction in the Mind of the Performer of those Actions immediately, without any subsequent Pain, whereas all the Actions which the criminal Loose of the Passions produces, are either join'd with, or follow'd by Pain, which is an Evil, *Self-Love* it self teaches us to avoid. The natural Reward of these Virtues, therefore, that reduce the Passions to their just Bounds and Limits, is the Indearment, and Recommendation of the Possessor of them, to the Good-Will and Love of all Mankind: For every Man loves a publick Spirit and Benefactor, every Man wishes well to him, from whom he hopes *Good*, or at least from whom he fears no Injury, which is com-
plying

plying perfectly with the first Principle of *Self-Preservation*, in assuring our Safety, and in that our Pleasure and Happiness.

I might add a third greater, than all, and that is the Conscience a good Man has in assimilating himself to the Goodness of God, and in his obedience to his Divine Will; in which he exercises the highest act of *Self-Love*, and *Self-Preservation*, as more immediately depending on God. But a fuller consideration of this I shall reserve for my discourse of our last Duty, that is to God.

Thus we have seen how far *Self-Love* has taught us the Duty, which by the Law of Nature we owe to our selves, and which will yet appear stronger, when we shall derive them from their Heavenly source more immediately, that is from the Will of God, our supream Protector, Benefactor, and Maker.

The Doctrine, therefore, that we draw from hence, is, that every thing is not lawful for us to do, that the Animal Passions prompt, or the Corporeal Impressions importune us to perform, and this by the Evidence of our darling Principle of *Self-Love*. That tho' Pleasure be our chief Good and Aim, yet, that Reason is to be judge of that Pleasure, that is how far it is Lawful, which is to say, how far it brings us greater Pain, or renders insecure those Enjoyments, which Nature design'd us for, and our Preservation, which is the Fund of all. It farther shews us, that when we pass the Boundaries of *Temperance* and *Justice*, we lose what we seek, and act against *Self-Love*, in weakning and endangering *Self-Preservation*. So that even in this we find a Duty to our Neighbour, interwoven so fast in our own Happiness and Security, that they

they cannot be separated without the Destruction of both. Which naturally therefore leads us to the second Duty, which is that *Towards our Neighbour*,

Here you plainly see that I might pursue the same Track, and trace all our Duties to him from the same Original, *Self Love* rightly understood: Which being so obvious from what I have said, I shall take another Path, and as I have drawn these from the nature of Particulars, so I shall deduce the rest from the nature of Humane Society, that is from the *Will of God*, which must be an Eternal and Inviolable Sanction. The general Defection of Mankind from these Laws, thus establish'd by God, render'd their force too inefficacious to attain the end they were design'd for, and so made it necessary, that the *Divine LOGOS* should come in the Flesh, to restore the noblest use of our Duty to our Selves, to our Neighbour, and to God, in which all Religion, with the Happiness of Mankind, both here and hereafter consists.

I cannot perceive (interrupted *Pleonexus*) but that Mr. *Hobbs*'s System of the *State of War*, wou'd do the Business every jot as well, as what you have laid down: For tho' he supposes Man at first in a State of War, yet he concludes, that the Inconveniences of that State made Men agree to a Peace, as the more eligible; which not being to be preserv'd, but by these Rules, they were confirm'd by the common consent of Mankind for their own Security.

There is this difference (reply'd *Christophil*) that M. *Hobbs* supposes all Right founded on Power, and so by consequence, a Thief that is stronger than you, has a Right to what he takes from

from you by Force: for that which was *Natural Right* once, must be *Natural Right* still, unless you can bring any evident Proof of an essential change of Nature since. But my System supposes the Principles of Virtue, or the Laws of Nature, founded on the Nature of things themselves, ingrafted in the nature of Man, and fixt in his first principle of Being *Self-Love*; which was planted in him by the Sacred, and Supreme Cause of his Being, and by consequence is of Eternal Original, and not Casual, or by a Treaty of Peace, or Consent of People compell'd to the Agreement, by the Inconveniencies they had experienc'd in the contrary, and by consequence alrerable, as often as each Party shall think it for his particular Benefit, which is a Principle, that justifies all the Dishonesty and Villany in the World.

But if Mr. *Hobbs's* Gibberish have any meaning at all, it is this, that when Mankind was totally mad, unfurnish'd with Reason, Wild, and Salvage like Brute Beasts of Prey, they acted like Lunaticks, and Beasts of Prey. But that when they had, I know not how, obtained Reason, they then began to act like rational Creatures. But I, and all Men that ever made a serious Reflection on Mankind, finding Reason essential to the nature of Man, can suppose no time, when they were without it, and therefore conclude that Mr. *Hobbs's* natural State of War, cou'd never have been in Nature.

He supposes the Passions to have extinguish'd entirely all the Light of Nature, before there were those Motives to inflame our Passions beyond the Power of Reason, by the Corruptions of Luxury, which were produc'd by Affluence, and Numbers: and never arriv'd to that exorbitant
Height,

Height, as universally to spread over all Mankind, I mean to a total Extinction of Reason, as is apparent from the Governments, and Laws of the several Nations of the World, nay, and from the very Subsistence of Mankind, who must have sunk in such a State, and never have reach'd to our Age.

I shall, therefore, only premise two *Postulatus*, which you cannot deny me, because I have already prov'd them. The first is, *That Man was made by an Omniscient, Omnipotent, and infinitely good God, with a Beneficent Intent.* 2. *That Man has those Faculties of Mind, which I have already prov'd, that is, that Man is a rational Creature.*

Man then being confess'd to be the Effect of an intelligent and good Cause, not of Chance, this Cause could Want, neither the Will, nor the Power to put him into a Station capable of yielding him that Happiness, which was design'd him: but it being evident, that this entirely depends on Society; it follows, that Society is of divine Institution, because God could not impose a necessity of a thing, which he did not approve or design. It is also as evident, that God cou'd not in his Wisdom and Goodness, lay on Man a necessity of Society, without furnishing him with means of making that Society conducive to that End, which a Benevolent maker propos'd, that is, the Happiness of the Creature. This *Means* is evidently *Reason*, which plainly discovers, by Man's Activity of Mind, and Reflection on the nature of Things, all the necessary Rules of this Society, which must make it useful to the common Happiness of the whole.

From

From this Natural Reflection of Reason, Man discovers an inborn Bent to Happiness, and Natural Inclinations in our Conjunctions for Propagation of our Kind. Affections betwixt Parents and Children, native Tenderness, Pity, or Compassion; of which all Men have more or less (except some particular Monsters, who are so particular, that their being excepted, can make no Falsity in the general Rule) Natural Gratitude and Love of Benefactors, &c. All which reduce the Laws of Nature to one Principle of *Mutual Love* and *Kindness* amongst all Mankind: which shows it to be the Will and Intention of their Maker, and of which there is the same Evidence, as of his Existence; as I have already shown from the nature of Things, and Humane Society.

For this reflecting Reason sees, that for the Subsistence of Society, there is a Necessity of *Dominion* and *Propriety*. For, as Mankind cou'd never subsist, without some sort of *Government*, so cou'd it never be without some sort of *Propriety*; whether that arose from Prepossession Division, or Agreement. For as for every Man's having a right to All, it reduces it indeed to what Mr. *Hobbs* proposes, that none had a Right to any thing. But Man's Nature and Being is too limited to have a Right to all: nor has he such extravagant Notions, as to engross what is of no use to him. And since Particulars cou'd not subsist without cultivating the Ground, and providing the Necessaries of Life, that being originally done by each Particular; the Fruits of it being the effect of particular Labour, it gave a fair and undoubted Right to Particulars, in what each had thus manur'd: the same may be said of Families and Nations, when Man-kind

kind encreas'd to such Bodies Politick; nor could there be any Quarrel about that, since no Man was hindred from cultivating, as much, as suffic'd his use. This gave a Propriety of Goods, without which there cou'd be no Society.

But since the Natural Inclinations of Man led him to the Propagation of his kind, and gave him a Love for the Help to this Propagation, with Tenderness and Affection for the Product of these Conjunctions of himself and Wife; he cou'd not distinguish which were his own Children, if he had not a Propriety in the Wife, exclusive of all the Promiscuous Embraces of Others; and therefore must lose the use of that natural Desire of Propagation, and that Tenderness and Love of his Children, which is the Cement of Society, the motive of Action, and the secret Ground of our Love to our Country. The same will hold good of the Love of Children to their Parents, which must be entirely lost in promiscuous Copulations. Besides, Humane Society cou'd not be preserv'd, unless this Propriety of Women were inviolable; for many might challenge the same Woman, which must produce Confusion, and dissolve Humane Safety and Society together: Without this likewise there cou'd be no Conveyance of Property, which must destroy Industry, when the Fruit of any Man's Labour cou'd not descend to his own undoubted Offspring. Nor can we express what a confusion it must cause about Propriety of Goods, on the Death of Particulars, &c. Hence it follows, that the Propriety of Women is another right of *Humane Society*.

But since not only the Violation of these Proprieties are inconsistent with Humane Society, but chiefly the Insecurity of the Persons, in consideration

deration of whose Benefit and Happiness, those Properties are inviolable; there is a Necessity, that the Persons of Particulars should be secure from the Invasion of each other, as being the Foundation of each other's Happiness and Security, which impos'd a Duty on all Mankind, reciprocally to one another, that none should invade the Life or Being of Particulars.

From these three Branches spring all the Duties we owe our Neighbour, and which are compriz'd in *Justice* and *Benevolence*. Justice extends to our keeping our Compacts; Sincerity and Truth; to our not injuring our Neighbour in any of his Proprieties and in giving him his due. Benevolence includes, Compassion, mutual Help, Desire of our Neighbours Welfare, and the like. And a Proof, that all these are obligatory, is, that God has made a necessity of them in the Constitution of Humane Society.

Now since in such a Variety of Properties as must be on the increase of People, and the Prevalence of the Passions, it was impossible, that Disputes should be decided without some third Person to decide them, whose Interest cou'd encline him no more to one side, than the other, who by Consent might put an end to Contests of this Nature, which else must disturb and confound Humane Society; It was absolutely necessary, that from the beginning there should be some Dominion or Government, which to preserve, as well as establish, was the common Interest of each Particular, because to that he wou'd the safety of his own Propriety, and without which there could be scarce any quiet Enjoyment of any thing. The Constitution of Humane Affairs, thus making Government necessary, this

this very Necessity is a Poof of its Divine Institution. 'Tis true, indeed, that while the World was in its Infancy, the Fathers of Families might naturally have the Power of decision among their own Children: But when Families Multiply'd, Disputes betwixt Families required a Third Person necessarily to decide Controversies, and to see Justice impartially done, and this was the Rise of Governments.

Thus we have seen that *Humane Society* was of divine Institution, as well as *Propriety* and *Government*. Whence it naturally follows, that all the Rules, which Necessity prescrib'd for the Benefit and Security of them, had also the Divine Sanction, and were by Consequence of inviolable Obligation. These are all compriz'd in *Prudence, Justice and Temperance*; and their first Discovery was made by Thinking or Knowing, as *Cicero* observes, *That there is no more due to our selves, than to our Neighbour, or by a more Divine, tho' equally rational and natural Rule of Doing as you would be done by*, which ev'n Mr. *Hobbs* allows to be the Test of all the Laws of Nature.

If you desire more particular Rules of these Virtues, I must request you to recal to mind those moral Maxims, which I have already laid down, from whence you may deduce the whole, or every particular Duty of the Law of Nature.

Having thus briefly run through our Duty to our Selves and to our Neighbour, I shall proceed to the last great Duty of the Law of Nature which is *Piety, or Our Duty to God*, which confirms, and gives Sanction and Authority to the whole.

Reason

Reason having taught us these Rules, and Precepts of Nature, and deduc'd them from the necessity of Humane Society, and the Principles of *Self-Love* and *Self-Preservation*, both which proceed from the Divine Original of our Being, who, as a certain Declaration of his *Will*, establish't the first, and planted in us the last; it follows, that we are obliged to these Duties in Obedience to the Author of our Being; and that we cannot please him at the same time, that we contradict his *Will*; but on the contrary, as God cannot but approve of those, who act, and live according to the Rules, he approves, so can he not be displeas'd with, or disapprove of what Men act against his Apparent Will, and visible Design, and Approbation, without a contradiction to his own Being, which is impossible. But all the before recited Principles or Laws of Nature, and all other, that flow from the same Source, are the Plain and Evident Effects, of the necessity of Humane Society, and the State of Mankind, who were made in that Order, and Condition by God. The necessary Consequences, therefore, and Results of that Order, and Condition must have been design'd, and are enjoyn'd by their Good, and Omnipotent Cause; who for the same Reason must Condemn the Breach, and approve the Religious Observation of them.

And this gives them their Force Naturally, or from natural Reflection on the Mind of Man, which reaches beyond a *meer Convenience*, that might still be preserv'd in the whole, tho' some Particulars forlook, or destroy'd them: And so a cunning Man, who cou'd either conceal his Vices, or do his Injuries with such Art or Good Luck, as to Evade the Ignominy, or Pain of Punishment;

Punishment ; or the Hazard of the Hate, and Prosecution of Mankind against him, for the Breach of their common Security, wou'd, nay cou'd have no check on him, for being guilty of all the Vices in the World, that did not immediately affect his own Person, or Interest : Because it often happens, that Men either want knowledge to discover the Ill, or Power to punish it. But when the Offender reflects, that his Breach of this Law is opposing the Will and Pleasure, nay the visible Command of God, he has no manner of reasonable Evazion, to promote his Offence, by hoping, that it can be hid from that Being, that knows all things, or secure from his Vengeance, whose Power has no Bounds, and who must disapprove, and condemn what opposes, and as much, as a finite Being can, destroys the Constitution made by the Will and Pleasure of God ; unless you make his Will contradictory, which is impossible. And what he condemns, according to his *vindictive Justice*, he must punish, both in regard of the breach of his divine Law, and in regard to his *distributive Justice* to all Mankind, for there is no Breach of these Laws, but what does in some measure, or other injure some other Man, who has an equal Right to the Benefit of them, with our selves ; and must, therefore, in Justice demand of their common Maker, or Governour Reparation, in the Punishment of the Offender, in proportion to the Offence. But in Consideration, that there may be several Breaches of these Laws, which may be made out of Frailty, not Malice, that is by the sudden impulse of some Passion, and want of Reflection, which we may be sorry for when we come to reflect on the Action, and wish it undone, and endeavour

endeavour to make some Compensation for it, on which the Punishment naturally due to the Breach of the Law, may be remitted by the Mercy of God; the Remission of the whole, or part of the Penalty of any Law, being the proper Object of Mercy; which in Men is greater or less, as they indulge, or oppose the good natur'd Passions: But in God it is always infinite, and therefore must be exercis'd, whenever the object is fit to receive it, by a true and perfect Conversion of Heart, which cannot be disguis'd to God, and by Men is commonly ghes'd at by Restitution and Amendment. For that can never be a true Repentance, that has not both these Qualities; tho' as to Man these may both be dissembled, but can never avail the Hypocrite, in his sight, who cannot be deceiv'd; and which can never therefore be the Object of Mercy, which is sufficient, first to shew the necessary obligation of Obedience to the Laws of Nature; next the Viudicative Justice of God, in the Punishment of the Disobedient; and thirdly, the Attribute of Mercy, the Consideration of which, I refer'd to this place.

But as Experience shews us by the Success of the Wicked, and the Peaceful Ends of the Impious, that these Punishments are not always inflicted in this World, nay, seldom in proportion to the Offence, it unavoidably follows, that we are to expect them in the next Life, having already prov'd the Immortality of the Soul, tho' even this is an Invincible Proof of the same. Hence naturally flows the Fear of God, which is justly call'd the *Beginning of Wisdom*; for it is indeed the Beginning, or first step to *Virtue*, which in the Scripture, and all reasonable sense is *Wisdom*. For Fear deters us from

these Things which obstruct, or weaken our Consideration of God, which being by Fear freed from those Incumbrances, easily leads us to the Beauty and Loveliness of Virtue, in its reasonable Foundation, in its Assimilation to the Deity; where we find it in Perfection, which begets the first degrees of Love.

But as the Breakers of the Laws of Nature, have the Justice of God in his Punishments, in their View, so the Observers of them have his Goodness in their Eye, appearing in Rewards, and Benefits. Whence proceed Faith, and Love.

For knowing the Attributes of God, and Considering the State of Humane Affairs, and thence justly drawing his Will, they cannot but assure themselves, that their Obedience to it, is pleasing in his sight; for how should he but approve that which he has done, and his Approbation is an Argument of his Pleasure. If therefore the Obedient consider his Goodness, and his Justice, they have the comfortable and necessary Reflection, that God who approves, will Reward them, which begets Faith and Hope. But since it is Visible, that this Reward is not here; nay, that the necessary Course of Things renders that impossible, without destroying the Freedom and Nature of Mankind, they with the highest Reason, and Infallible Assurance conclude, that the Eternal Approver of their Lives and Actions, will Reward them in the next, which Assurance begets Love.

But the Mind cannot run through all these Reflections, without considering the Wonderful Works of God, his Attributes of Power, Goodness, Wisdom, &c. It must necessarily confess the supream Excellence of God's Nature, and

own

own it the Beneficent Source of all Things; and that in him we live, move, and have our Being. The humble Acknowledgement of which Supream Excellence, and Eternal, and unalterable Power over us, is *Adoration*, which is apparently our Duty to God, as is evident from his very Existence, and the Consideration of his Attributes; which being allow'd, who can deny the Consequence, that we ought with all Humility, Fear, Admiration, and Love, to avow the Belief of that, which is so plain and clear to our Reason. For if there be a God, as I have demonstrated beyond the Power of a Cavil, what is more reasonable, than to acknowledge what you cannot deny? But to acknowledge a Truth, full of such tremendous, such amazing Wonders, without a profound Veneration, and Respect, or rather Prostration of Mind, is absolutely impossible, which is *necessary Adoration*, which we see thus flows from the very Essence of God's Nature; and by Consequence, the not doing it, proceeds from an Ignorance of, or not reflecting on that Divine Nature; from which Source arose the depravation of Mankind, and the loss of his Interest Band to the Moral Law, the Knowledge of the true God. For when Men had once lost the Knowledge of God in his *Unity*, they soon fell from their Knowledge of him in all his other Attributes, and lastly, all tolerable Notion of him, and by Consequence the Light of *Right Reason*. Man therefore cou'd never arrive at Happiness, without a Restoration of the Rules or Laws of *Right Reason* to reclaim him, to the Knowledge of God, and of those Duties which we ow'd to him, to our Neighbour, and to our Selves for his Sake, and in Obedience to his

Law and Will. And this made the Necessity of *Revelation*, as I shall make evident as soon as I come to discourse of the Christian Religion, which I will now proceed to, if you have no objection to what I have said in this point.

I am far from disproving what you have said (assumed *Christophorus* after a Pause, to see if either of the other two had any thing to offer) on the contrary I highly approve your Arguments; but because this last Point is of the greatest Consequence, and I find you lay it as the Foundation of a thing of the highest Importance, I will beg leave to add my Sentiments on the same Head. All expressing a Willingness to hear him, he began.

Piety, or what we call our Duty to God, is a part of *Distributive Justice*, for as its other Part Prohibity is, *to give every Man his Due*, so by *Piety we give that to God, which is his Due*, that is what by his Natural Right he may claim of us, and that is, what we vulgarly call *Worship*. *Worship* chiefly consists in this, that we with the utmost Diligence apply our selves to *know* him *rightly*, for that answers the natural Bent of the Soul, which is not satisfy'd with an imperfect or false Knowledge and Information of things, especially in a Point so necessary, and so worthy of a full and sedulous Enquiry. The next Duty of Piety is, That we love and honour him with our whole Mind, and Soul, when once we are arriv'd at a true Knowledge of him: And since we wholly proceed from him, depend entirely on his Will, that we devote our whole selves, that is all the Force and Strength of our Bodies and Minds to him, submitting our selves and all that is ours to his Holy Will, and absolutely confiding in his Providence, and that

we adhere so strictly, so inviolably to his Laws and Commands, whether written or unwritten, as to chuse Infamy, Poverty, Torments, nay Death it self, rather than surrender the Integrity of our Conscience in the Violation of any one of them. These are the Rights, that God justly demands of us ; and whoever wou'd rob him of any Part does (as much as in him lies) an Injury to God.

We may easily conclude, that the *Worship* of God chiefly consists in these particulars, that I have mentioned, from the general Opinion, that *Worship* is the Honour which we pay to God. For we pay the greatest Honour to God when we rightly understand the Excellence of the *Divine Nature*, and how great an Affinity and Likeness there is betwixt that and the Humane Mind ; and endeavour and contend with all our Force and Power to polish and strengthen that part of our Soul, which brings us to the nearest resemblance with God ; for when we have done so, we shall prefer any Pains, any Torments, or any Death to our submission to any Vice, and so to injure and contaminate the Soul with any Sin, or wilful Transgression.

There cannot, I say, be any greater or more pregnant Proof of our Honour and Love to God, or any greater Conviction of our profound and awful Esteem of his Nature, than what I have laid down. For while we show so nice a regard to this Image or Picture of him, that we make no difficulty of Sacrificing all the Pleasures of this Life, nay, and ev'n Life it self, to our sense of that more Divine Life (which we discover by *Right Reason* in Virtue) we evidently demonstrate, that we love, Worship, and adore God in an infinite degree beyond this, since he is infinitely more ex-

cellent, than this Shadow or faint Picture of him in our Minds. For those, who neglect their Regard to their Minds, plainly discover their Ignorance of God, or that they have not that Veneration for the divine Nature, which they ought to have.

But since the very Definition of Virtue, which is *always to pursue that which is simply and absolutely the Best*, and not what is the most agreeable to the animal Life, is a sufficient Proof that it is something Divine, and the true Image of God. For this sense of Things, which this Definition declares is plainly Divine, and exalted above the Animal Nature, and by consequence is no weak Proof of the Immortality of the Soul,

But that there is such a sort of Virtue in Nature, is plain both from our Reflection on our own Minds, and the irrefragable Dictates of Right Reason, and, if that be any Addition, from the Authority of the old Philosophers; whose Authority indeed is of no further Force, than that it proceeds from their just Consideration of the Nature of things, and a right Reflection on the Nature and Faculties of the Humane Mind. First Plato, who having shown that there wou'd be an end of all our Miseries, as soon as we had taken our Flight to the Gods, from this Mortal State, explains himself thus: *This Flight (says he) is to be made like to God, as much as our Nature will permit. But this Similitude or Likeness consists in Justice, Holyness, and Prudence.* And a little after, *God has no manner of Injustice, but is perfectly Just; nor is there any truer, or more like Picture and Image of him, than one of us cleans'd of all Injustice, and arriv'd to the perfect State of Justice.* Again, every honest and good Man is a Friend to God; but

the Dishonest and Imprudent are the most unlike the Divine Nature. By which Plato means, that Virtue has a sort of Divinity, and is assimilated and related to God.

This is the cause likewise of *Cicero's* launching out into these magnificent Eulgiuims on the humane Soul. *The Humane Soul* (says he) *is taken or gathered from the Divine Mind, if it be lawful to express it in that manner; since there is nothing in the compass of Nature to which it can be compar'd but to God alone.* Thus likewise largely explaining the Oracle of the Delphick *Apollo* KNOW THY SELF, he says, *For whoever knows himself will immediately find, that there is something Divine in his Nature; and will look on his Wit, Knowledge and Understanding, as an Image dedicated to God, and so will do, and think things worthy of so great a Gift of God.* How much more nobly did this Heathen think of God, and the Duty arising from him, than *Mr. Hobbs*, and the Practice of too many of us Christians wou'd perswade that we do.

But it wou'd be endless to run through all, that particular Moralists even among the Heathens, have said on this Point; from all which it is very plain, that there is so great a share of Divinity in Virtue, and in our Mind if we regard and pursue Virtue in its Relation to God, that is, as the lively Image of God, and Worship him in the best and most excellent manner. For whereas the Rites and Ceremonies of Worship are variable, not eternal, this one Right of God is immutable and Everlasting. So that the sum and substance of natural Religion seems to be couch'd in this Precept of *Antoninus*. *To be mindful of God, and to reflect that he delights not in empty Flatteries;*

teries, but that all things rational are made with a Likeness to himself. Or in this other, where he admonishes us to be pleas'd, delighted, and satisfy'd with passing from one Action that is servicable to the publick Good, to another publick Action joyn'd with the Remembrance of God. To imitate God, as much as we are able, and our Love of his Perfection, is the most immutable, and invariably fixt Right of the Deiry:

But this is no manner of Exclusion of any other Rights, whether made known to us by Divine Revelation, or establish'd by the Commands of the supreme Magistracy, or the Legislature of our Country. Such are particular Ceremonies, and various Rites, and the like Circumstances of Divine Worship; which by the virtue of the Law become Rites Divine: which it is unjust to violate till they are all abrogated by the same lawful Authority, which made them, provided, that they are not repugnant to the Scripture, or the Prescripts of supreme Virtue.

These Duties of Piety or Religion, are rationally deduc'd from several Maxims of right Reason. As for example from this,

That the supreme Good is to be lov'd with the most ardent, or the highest Affection, and that the same supreme Good, and those Goods, which make the nearest Approaches to it, be not postponed, or made subordinate to the mean or middle or the least Goods, but the contrary.

That we are to return Good, not Evil, for Good.

That it is better to obey God than Man, or our own proper Concupiscences, or blind Desires.

That

That we ought to chuse a Good, and avoid an Evil. To prefer the Greater to the Less. To endure the Less Evil, rather than incur the Greater.

That which will certainly happen, or come to pass, we ought to have a present regard to, Or that we ought to look on what will certainly be, as if it were present; because it will certainly once be so. The Reason in proportion will hold in probable Events.

Lastly, not to Burthen you with too many That we undergo the present Evil, to avoid an Evil that may probably happen of infinitely greater Consequence, as to Weight and Duration; which yet is stronger, when we suppose a Certainty instead of a Probability.

I shall offer nothing on the Existence of God, or on the Immortality of the Soul, because I find that, *Christophil* has already hande'd those Points, on which all Religion depends. What I have urg'd is only a corroborating Proof and Explanation of our Duty to God, according to the Light of Reason, and which is easily confirm'd with the strongest Evidence from these six Maxims, which I have advanc'd, as being the Source of the Reasons of these Duties; and whence a little Reflection will draw them without the least difficulty.

Having offer'd this, Sir, (pursu'd he to *Christophil*) I leave you now to the Prosecution of your Discourse in Proof of the Christian Religion, which has been often attempted, and admirably performed by a great many of our Divines, but in a manner, which seems yet to want something for the easie Conviction of the more unlearned sort. For tho' their Proofs of the Truth of the Christian Religion be absolute-
ly

ly and beyond Controversie convincing to Men of Learning, yet they do not seem to be calculated for the Conviction of the unlearned Enquirer, who yet may have Apprehension enough of plain Reasoning, and indeed more justly require, and deserve our Endeavours.

You have touch'd a Point (reply'd *Christophil*) mentioned in the beginning of this our Conference, by this young Gentleman, and which therefore I have promis'd to remove; and am now come to the place of the performance of that Promise.

Pleonexus was just going to desire a Cessation according to his Custom, when they were inform'd that the small Collation waited them below. On which *Christophorus* desir'd them to walk down, and defer the Dispute till after Supper; which was very agreeable to *Pleonexus*, who was soon weary of Enquiries of this Nature.

*The Sixth and Last Discourse,
Of the Certainty and Reasonableness
of the Christian Religion.*

THE moderate Repast being over, and a few minutes past in occasional Discourse, *Philaethes*, who was earnest in the pursuit of so important a Truth, reviv'd the former Enquiry by a Request to *Christophil*, to make out what he had promis'd in the *easy* and *obvious* Proof of the *Christian Religion*.

I shall

I shall most willingly (answered *Christophil*) comply with your Desires, since they are so agreeable to my Wishes, and promise so happy a Reward of my Endeavours, as the convincing you of a Point so necessary for both your present and your future Happiness.

I have in order, and with a Prospect of this End, gone to the very Fountain head of *all* Religion, and have shew'd it to you in the Existence of a God. And to conduct you to Truth with the greater, nay, with an infallible Evidence, I have proceeded from his meer Existence, to shew you clearly so much of his Nature, that is to say, of his divine Attributes, as is abundantly sufficient to attain the End we propose. And I have been the more particular in them, that I might furnish you with a sure, and certain Test, to try all Opinions by, which may be offered by the different Sects, and Parties into which the World is divided.

From his Existence and Attributes, I have likewise evidently demonstrated that Attribute, which makes all his other, and his very Existence of any Concern to us; I mean his *Providence*; which I have sufficiently vindicated from those Impious Objections made by Men, whose blind slavery to some sordid Passions, rais'd them in favour of that Criminal Servitude, of which they are so preposterously fond. This led me to another Point of equal moment, that is a thorough Consideration of the Soul of Man from which it is plain, that it is distinct from the Body, and knows, and acts, in a different manner from it, in willing things contrary to the Dictates of the Corporeal Impressions; and understanding meer intellectual Objects. From whence, and from the Attributes of God I have put its Immortality

mortality beyond any manner of rational Doubt. For 'tis directly contrary to the Nature, and Attributes of God, that the Soul should be Mortal, because the Disorder of Mankind, which necessarily proceeds from his free Agency, makes many of the Good suffer here, and live miserably: but whatever is contrary to the Attributes of God must be false. The Necessity of that Enquiry is apparent from this Consideration, that if the Humane Soul were not Immortal, all the foregoing Demonstrations, and all the subsequent Doctrines wou'd be of no manner of Consequence to Men, more, than to the most imperfect of Brute Animals, or the very stocks and stones insensible of all Pain, or Pleasure.

But the Soul being thus prov'd immortal, it is obvious to common Sense, that since it is to endure for ever, it highly imports every rational Creature seriously, and with the utmost Attention to enquire, and thoroughly weigh what will be its future State: and it will intallibly follow from this Consideration, That this State must either be of Pain or Pleasure, and that by consequence that Pain or Pleasure, must be greater than either in this World; since, as the Pleasure, to the Pain of the Mind is greater, than that of the Body, so that the perishing of the Body will be no Advantage to the Wicked, since the Mind cannot perish also. This I speak without regard to the Resurrection of the Body, which is a Doctrine of Revelation, by supposing that, the additional Pain of the Body cannot lessen that of the Mind.

Next I have made appear, that, as God has given us an Immortal, and Rational Soul, so he has made us with a Beneficent Intention
that,

that is, that he made us to be happy, and that, as he cou'd not make us rational Creatures without a Freedom of Agency, so he has proportion'd the Measures of our Happiness to our Means of obtaining it, by prescribing such Laws for our Living, as our Reason shou'd plainly, and obviously lead us to, if we wou'd follow its Directions. For as Cicero observ'd, in what *Christophorus* quoted from him, *No Nation is so Ignorant and Barbarous, but may attain to the Knowledge of Virtue, if they will follow the Guidance of Nature*, that is of Reason; which is justifi'd by St. Paul's Assertion, that *they who know not the Law, are a Law to themselves*. From this I demonstrated the Precepts, or Law of Nature, which was the first, and Eternal Rule of our Actions given us by God, which never yet was, nor ever will be altered: for the Law of *Moses*, and the Law of *Christ* were the Restoration of this Eternal Law, which Man by his Follies, corrupt Customs, and Vices, had either universally forsaken, or extremely weaken'd, by losing the Knowledge of the surest and most inviolable Band, and Obligation of them all, the *Will and Love of God*, and the true Knowledge of him, and by Consequence his true *Worship*, which was of even stronger Right, than our Duty to our Selves or Neighbour; and so by a plain Consequence had lost all Security and means of Happiness, both here and hereafter.

For it is as evident as the very Being of God, that all Religion, both Natural and Reveal'd, was only and purely for the Happiness of Man. For the Creation of Man, or Angels, or any other Being, cou'd nor add one Jot or Tittle to the full and compleate Beatitude of the Deity; since that is contrary to his very Nature, and
Essence :

Effence : For what cou'd admit encrease might suffer Diminution.

The Happiness of Man, therefore, being the End and Aim of God, in the Production of Man, that must be the same uniform End of all the Laws, and Revelations, which he has been pleas'd from Time to Time to bestow upon him, beneficently to compell him, as much as a free Agent cou'd be compell'd, to what Reason, and his own Nature so plainly prompted him, and mov'd his Desires to.

This therefore being the Aim of the *Christian* Religion, give me leave to premise some Reflections on Miracles, since on them at least the Propagation of the Gospel so much dependeth.

There are therefore three Marks necessary to prove a true Miracle. 1. It must be above all Humane Power and Force. 2. It must be out of the Constant Order of Nature. 3. If there is to be any Consequence drawn from a Miracle, in favour of any one, this shou'd be foretold by him, whose Power produces the Miracle, or by him in whose Favour it is wrought, or that at least it shou'd happen at the Time, that it is wanted. But I shall examine each of these Heads apart, to see the use of them more fully.

First, we call it not a Miracle, if we see a Man bear four hundred pound Weight, because that is not beyond the Power or Force of a Man to do. But shou'd we see a Man carry a Thousand pound weight, provided the other two Conditions accompany'd it, it must be allow'd to be a Miracle, because that is absolutely above the Humane Strength. But some will only allow a Miracle, to be that which requires the

the Omnipotence of God to accomplish, by being beyond the Power of all created Beings. But I shall shew their Error, when I speak of the Author of Miracles.

We may in the mean while gather from hence one Mark, by which we may distinguish a real Miracle, from a false one. Whatever Wonder is boasted for a Miracle, and does not absolutely surpass the whole Power, and Force of Man, or may be compass'd by any Slight, or Art, affords pregnant Grounds of Suspicion. It is not for Example, above the power of Art to preserve some hing like a Man's Head, several Years uncorrupted; since we can make a Waxen Image of one that will hold many Ages. And even by the Surgeon's skill, the Humane Body, and any Part of it may be preserv'd for many Years, entire in its Colour and Feature. As an Ingenious Friend of mine, Mr. *Greenbil* the Surgeon has now publish'd Proposals, for the Printing an excellent Book to prove. We ought therefore very narrowly, and with the utmost Care and Scrutiny, to examine whether or no some Art, or Fraud be not conceal'd in the pretended Miracle; which Enquiry if we are deny'd to make, there is abundant Ground to suspect a Juggle.

Thus, *Secondly*, No Man ought to think it a Miracle, to see Metal Dissolv'd, and Stones reduc'd to their Calx by Fire, because Common Experience shews, that to be the natural Effect of Fire. But we may justly term it a Miracle, if the third Mark be not Wanting, for Men to walk about in a fiery Furnace unharm'd, and their very Cloaths unsing'd. But here the matter of Fact ought to be beyond Question; for it wou'd be very ridiculous, to put our selves to the trouble of examining, whether or no it be contrary to

the common Course of things, when we are not satisfi'd, that the Thing thus to be consider'd, was really done. And this is the common Pretence of the Vulgar Legends of false Miracles.

There are some indeed, who deny that these are a certain Test of Miracles; for, say they, since we are not entirely acquainted with the Order and Nature of Things, it is possible, that abundance of Events, which seem contrary to the natural Order of Things, may yet in reality be otherwise; I confess indeed, that our Idea of Natural Things is either inadequate, or at least, that we do not know that it is adequate. But yet on the other hand, our Ignorance of these Affairs is not so great, that we can never certainly assert any thing to be contrary to the Order of Nature. The Experience of all Nations, and all Ages sufficiently explains most things; particularly these Instances I have given. 'Tis certain that we have not a perfect Knowledge of all the Qualities of the Humane Nature; but yet we know so many of them, that we are sure 'tis above the Power of Humane Strength to carry a Thousand Weight, and to continue in the Fire without Burning. We may indeed reasonably doubt, whether the Entrance of a Comet into our *Vortex* or *Turbillion*, be against the Order of Nature, or not, because we have not a sufficient Skill in the Motions, and Revolutions of Comets, to determine when they are out of the Order of Nature, and when not. But when we take things which fall into the Experience of all Men, and all Ages, we may certainly pronounce of their possibility in Nature. And of this kind are the Instances I have given.

In the third place, that which happens unexpectedly, will never be look'd on, as a Miracle,

cle, from whence any Consequence can be drawn. It is for example reported, that the Body of *Alexander* continu'd several days after his Death, without Corruption, in the Hot Climate of *Mesopotamia*, and that he retain'd that Vigour, and Complexion of Countenance, which is generally the Effect of Spirit and Life, which if we shou'd condescend to own as a Truth, yet cou'd no consequence be drawn from it, because it was neither foretold by any one, nor cou'd any one receive any advantage from it. The same may be said of most of the Portents, which we find in the Heathen Historians. But when a Man foretells a Miracle, that is, the time when it shall be done, and makes use of it in his own, or another's Behalf, then indeed we may draw this Consequence from it, that the Author of the Miracle had reveal'd it to him, who foretold it, and that he did it in his Favour, which he wou'd not do, unless he in whose Favour it was done, was most acceptable to him. Thus *Moses* foretold, that *Israel* shou'd be deliver'd by God, before the Red-Sea was commanded to divide it self for their Passage in the whole View of *Israel*; and by this Event he prov'd himself to be a true Prophet, and that their Departure out of *Egypt* was pleasing, and agreeable to God. For God wou'd never have done so great a Miracle, in favour of an Impostor, to favour an Escape of a People, which were odious to him.

This third Mark of Miracles renders their Objection vain and trifling, who attribute all Miracles to a certain unknown, yet necessary Order, and Revolution of Nature. For if that Order of Nature be unknown to Mankind, how came it to pass, that the Prophets, Christ and

his Apostles, so infallibly foresaw the Events of it, that they perpetually happen'd after they had pronounc'd their Prayers, or their Words? To this Question, these wise Gentlemen are not able to make any Reply.

But since all these three Marks are found in the Miracles, which are recorded in the Scriptures, it is very clear from thence, that they are truly Miracles.

Let us now if you please, consider the immediate and efficient Cause of Miracles. It is plain from the description I have made of them, that we exclude Mankind, and those common Natural Causes, which act by, and according to that Order, which God establish'd in the Beginning. And hence it is that some affirm, that no Miracles can be done against the Order of Nature, but by the infinite Power of God.

It cannot be deny'd, but that innumerable Miracles are the immediate Effect of the Divine Power, according to the Testimony of the Scriptures in many Places. But that is not the Point in debate, but this, whether they are always without Exception to be attributed so absolutely to God, as their immediate, and total Cause, as perpetually above the Force of any created Being. To give a positive Answer to this, a Man ought to be acquainted with the utmost Bounds of the Power of all Creatures; and know that Miracles are of that Nature, that they really exceed those Bounds. It is certain, that Miracles are done against the ordinary Course of Nature; but how do we know, that God has given no created Spirit Power to transgress that stated Order of Nature? Though that Order be the Work of an infinite Power, yet certainly it follows not that it can not be chang'd or alter'd by any other Power,

Power, but that which made it. For it is plain from the Scripture, that several Miracles were done by Angels, by the Command of God.

It is indeed very credible, that this Order is never broke by the Angels, but by the Command, and peculiar Permission of God. For it wou'd be unworthy its Author, to have that Order, most wisely establiſh'd by the Supream Lord of all things, rashly, and frequently chang'd by the Creature. Hence it appears, from the Holy Scriptures, that these Miracles were rarely done, and never without the most weighty Occasions and Causes.

What I have said of the Power of Angels will hold for the Bad, as well, as the Good. Not that I wou'd pretend to level the Power of all Angels, since perhaps some may be able to do more, than Others.

It may be said, that if we allow Angels the Power of working Miracles, as well as God: First, how shall we know which are done by God, and which by an Angel? Secondly, how shall we tell the Miracles of a good Angel from those of a Bad? The nature of a Miracle, does not seem to require an infinite Power to perform it, so that from that we cannot draw the certainty of its Author, but by how much the more difficult the Miracle seems to be, by so much it makes the nearer Approaches to an infinite Author. We cannot for Example but suppose the foreseeing, or foretelling things to come after a great many Years and Ages, to proceed immediately from God, tho' we do not know the certain Bounds of the Angelick Power; but we easily believe it in the Power of either a good, or a bad Angel to raise a Scorn.

As to the other Difficulty, viz. of distinguishing betwixt the Miracles of a Good and a Bad Angel, we may give a much more certain Answer. Since Truth is *One* and *Simple*, it is always consistent with it self; wherefore whatever Miracle is directed to perswade a Falsity must proceed from a lying, and therefore, from an Evil Angel. If therefore any Miracle shou'd be done to promote or confirm a Doctrine, which we know to be, false by *undoubted Revelation*, or *Right Reason*, we immediately attribute it to a deceitful Author, and truly judge it to be some Bait laid, by the Devil, to undermine the Weak, and Ignorant. On the contrary, when we see a Miracle directed to the Proof or Recommendation of a Revelation agreeable to *Right Reason*, and for the Guidance of Mankind, we adjudge it to God, or a good Angel: For an Evil Angel, that is an Enemy to Virtue, and Piety, wou'd never work Miracles to bring us to Virtue, and Godliness, and so destroy his own Harvest, and contradict his own Desires.

There can be no Consequence drawn from Miracles, whose End and Aim we know not, and such are all the Portents of the Heathens. But let us suppose a Person or Prophet coming to Men, defil'd with Errors, and Vices, and endeavouring to reduce them to wholesomer Ways, and a better Mind, and Inclination, and when he has laid down his Doctrine, taught them what they are to believe, and what to do to be deliver'd from their Vices, and Errors, if he should tell them, that he was sent from God to accomplish this Work, and declare, that he wou'd do such Miracles, as an Argument, that the Commands he delivers were receiv'd from God, and if he accordingly does those Miracles, there

there can be no manner of doubt of the Truth of his Assertion. For no Evil Angel could assist him to do good, and add a Force and Weight, to his Holy and true Doctrine: Nor would God or Good Angels disturb the common Order and Course of Nature, to give Credit and Authority to an Evil Man.

Nor let any one here tell me, that a Deceiver shall turn, and apply the extraordinary Effects of hidden Causes to his own Use and Advantage, in imposing on the credulous People, as if they were sent by Heaven, as Testimonials for him, whereas they were the necessary Events of infallible Causes. For there is no room for this Scruple, or Objection in Miracles, which are foretold before they happen. For those are infallible Credentials of an extraordinary Revelation.

A cunning Man may indeed make use of unusual Events after they are come to pass, but his Cunning can never reach to the foretelling such unexpected Portents. Thus in the instance of Miracle of the dividing the Red Sea by *Moses*, the Event exactly answering the Prediction, none can be so mad, as to doubt but, that it must have been reveal'd to him by the Author of the Miracle, nay, and that the Miracle was done in Favour of his Mission. But for *Alexander's* passing under the *Pamphylian* Mountain, by the Favour of the North Winds keeping back the Tide, to attribute that to a Miracle is ridiculous, since there is nothing so variable as the Winds, and he made use of the lucky Accident.

Lastly, as Contradictions are not the Objects of God's Power, so neither can Contradictory Effects make a Miracle. But what I said of the Omnipotence of God, will suffice for that

I shall only add here, that if we examine all the Miracles of the Old and New Testament, there is not one which is contradictory, or repugnant to the Nature, or Essence of things. God has done Miracles of all sorts, but yet they can all be expressed in intelligible Propositions. It is for example no hard Matter to understand, that God raised the dead. But should any one tell me, that God made Man to be dead, and alive at the very same individual Numerical Moment, that would be a Proposition, that I never could understand, and would therefore in vain be propos'd, as an Object of my Faith.

Hence it appears, that a Miracle, which great Part of the Christian World, will needs persuade us, is now done in the *Eucharist*, can on no Account in the World be admitted for they say 'tis a Body extended, and not extended, one and not one.

I approve of your Marks of true Miracles (said *Christopherus*) both because they are highly rational, and also because they the more forcibly evince the Truth of those of our Blessed Saviour, which were all attended, with those three Marks, you require. This the better distinguishes them from the Legendary Wonders, whose Number and Absurdities have brought no small Reflection on Miracles in General, and so weaken'd the Foundations of Christianity. I cannot sufficiently recommend that Test of Miracles; which tries them by the Doctrine, they are done to promote. For it is certain, that our surest way is to Judge of the Doctrine by the Miracles, and of the Miracles by the Doctrine, or to speak more properly, and without the Imputation of a Circle, we are to Judge of the Mission of the Deliverer of a Doctrine by the Miracles, and of those Miracles by the Doctrine

Doctrine he delivers; for as one distinguishes the Doctrines, so does the other the Miracles.

But since there are Miracles, which are certain Proofs of the Truth, and Others which are not certain Proofs of the Truth, there is a Necessity that there shou'd be some Marks to know them by; or they wou'd be wholly useless; but they are so far from being useless, that they are almost the very Foundation of the Building.

The Rule and mark, therefore, of Miracles must be such, which does not destroy the Proof which true Miracles give of Truth, which is the principal End of Miracles. If Falseness had never any real, or pretended Miracles, the certainty of Miracles wou'd be beyond Dispute. If therefore there were no Rule to distinguish them, Miracles wou'd be of no Use, which we have no Manner of Reason to suspect will

Moses gave us one Mark, which is set on the Miracles done in Favour of Idolatry, Deut. 13. *And if there arise among you a Prophet, or a Dreamer of dreams, and give thee a Sign or a Wonder, and the Sign, or the Wonder come to pass, whereof he spake unto thee saying, let us go after other Gods, (which thou hast not known,) and let us serve them; thou shalt not hearken to the Words of that Prophet, or that Dreamer of Dreams, for your Lord your God proveeth you to know whether you love the Lord your God with all your Heart, and with all your Soul, &c.* Our Blessed Saviour has also given us a Test of the Miracles of Christ in Mark 9. *And John answered and said we saw one casting out Devils in thy Name, and he followeth not us; and we forbade him because he followeth not us. But Jesus said, forbid him not, for there is no Man who shall do a Miracle*

in

in my Name, that can lightly speak Evil of me. Whence it follows, that none who openly declare themselves against *Jesus Christ*, can do a Miracle in his Name; and whoever does a Miracle against this he cannot do it in his Name, and is not by consequence to be minded; so that the Marks of a true Miracle is when it recommends, and testifies a Doctrine, that advances the Glory of one God, and one Lord *Jesus Christ* his Son.

It is therefore, no difficult matter to judge of the Truth of Miracles, by considering whether or no they have these Marks, which shew them sent from God and Christ.

All Religion is false, that does not in its Faith adore one God, as the Principle of all Things, and in its Morals love one God, as the Object of all Things.

Now since all the Doctrines of Christ were directed to this End, and confirmed by Miracles, all Religion, that does not acknowledge *Jesus Christ* confirmed by God to be his Messenger, must be false; and its Appeal to Miracles wou'd be of no use, because none can be brought, which can have the Marks before mention'd out of the Old and the New Testament.

The *Jews* in the *Mosaic Law* had a Doctrine of adoring God in Faith, and Loving him above all Things, and that confirmed by Miracles, with Commands not to hearken to any Workers of Wonders, who taught a contrary Doctrine. And this is their Plea for not hearkning to the Doctrines of the Gospel; But it is a very erroneous Reason, since the Caution was first given against Idolatry, a Doctrine contrary to the Worship of one God; whereas, the Precepts of the Gospel, were directed to the same End to which those of *Moses* were, and they being forewarn'd
by

by *Moses* to hear all, that *Christ* should tell them, and seeing God declare for *Jesus* in so many, and such wonderful Miracles, they were inex-
cusable for being incredulous, or refractory to the Gospel. As our Saviour says, *John* 15. 24. If I had not done among them the Works which no other Man did, they had not had Sin, but now they have both seen and hated both me and my Father.

From which Words of *Christ Jesus*, it is evident, that he judg'd his Miracles to be a certain Proof of his Doctrine, and that the *Jews* had an Obligation to Believe it on account of them. In short, the Miracles of our Saviour, rendered the Incredulity of the *Jews* Criminal, tho' all the Proofs, which cou'd be taken out of the Scriptures, while *Christ* was yet living, cou'd not amount to a Demonstration, the Completion of the Prophecys being in his Death. *Moses* for Example foretold, that there wou'd a Prophet come; but that Text did not prove that *Jesus* was that Prophet: This and other Texts of Scripture might make it probable, that he might be the *Messias*, but these joyn'd with the Miracles made the Proof Infallible.

The Prophecies, I have said, cou'd not be a sufficient Proof of *Christ* in his Life-time; and therefore the Prophecies cou'd never make those, who did not believe in him, guilty of any Sin, if his Miracles had not come in, and decided the Point. But when the Doctrines are not contrary to God, the Miracles, that are wrought to confirm them, give them a Divine Authority.

Jesus Christ sufficiently prov'd himself to be the *Messias*, by confirming his Doctrines by the Scriptures, fulfilling the Prophecies, and giving

ing the last Certainty to all by uncontestable Miracles. Insomuch that *Nicodemus*, a Ruler of the *Jews*, coming to *Christ*, *John* 2. 3. confess'd, *Rabbi, we know, that thou art a Teacher come from God, for no Man can do these Miracles, that thou dost, except God be with him.* Here it is plain, that *Nicodemus* try'd not the Miracles by the Doctrine, but the Doctrine by the Miracles, at least in what he said.

But the Belief of *Nicodemus* seems founded on a certain, and invariable Principle, that God cou'd not lead us into Error, and that was sufficient to stifle the vain Traditions of the *Pharisees*, without dwelling on the Doctrines of *Christ*, which, tho' deduc'd from *Moses*, were far different from the deductions of the *Pharisees*: And *Nicodemus* seeing God declare for *Jesus* by such Miracles, as cou'd not be done if God were not with him, he submitted to the Manifestation of Divine Truth, which evidently depended on God's Veracity.

There seems a reciprocal Tie betwixt God and Man; Man is oblig'd to receive that Religion, which God plainly and evidently sends to him; and God's Goodness and Veracity obliges him not to lead Man into Error.

But if the Workers of Miracles (who can not do them without the Power of God, I mean such Miracles, as we have already had the Marks and Circumstances of) work them to Establish some Doctrine whose Falsity cannot be discover'd by common Sense and Reason, and if a more powerful Worker of Miracles does not forewarn us not to believe them, It wou'd be to lead us into Error.

But how will you (interrupted *Plonexus*) then evade those Texts, that assert that God

God does Tempt us? Nay, do we not in the Lord's Prayer, desire God not to lead us into Temptation; And may he not therefore tempt us to Falsity, by Miracles? For my part, I can see no reason, why he should be allow'd to Tempt us one way, and not another.

You run on a false Apprehension of the word *Tempt*, (reply'd *Christophorus*) which signifies no more than to *try us*. Whence it is plain, that there is a vast difference betwixt trying us, and betraying us into an Error in pure Obedience to his Will. For believing, on the Force of a Miracle, is believing because God has by that means declar'd it to be his Will, which would be highly unjust, and by Consequence directly contrary to all the Attributes of God. God therefore does try us, but by no means lead or betray us into Errors. Tryal, or that sort of Temptation, puts us on the Opportunities, or Occasions of Evil, but it imposes no Necessity or our Committing it. But to betray, or lead us into Error, is to impose a Necessity on us to agree to and follow a Falseness, which is what God cannot do, and yet what he must do, if in a doubtful Question, by shewing Miracles on the Wrong side, he perswade us 'tis the Right. To trye us, is to advance our Interest in him, by giving us an Opportunity of showing, that we truly love him, and cannot be diverted from that Love by any apparent Pleasure, or Advantage. But to do Miracles in the Behalf of Falseness is to compel us to what he hates, a Lye, and to punish us for that we could not help.

Hence it is plain, that the *Jews* were oblig'd to believe in *Jesus Christ*; and tho' during his Life there might be some grounds to suspect,
his

his being the *Messias* till his Death had fulfilled all the Prophecies, yet his Miracles were an infinite Over-balance for that Suspicion.

The false Opinion of our Saviour's being Born at *Nazareth*, not at *Bethlehem*, tho' it brought a difficulty on them, because by the Prophecies, *Christ* was to be Born at the latter Place, yet his Miracles ought to have made them enquire, and so remove that doubt.

Jesus Christ taught neither against God, nor *Moses*; but *Antichrist* and the false Prophets openly or by Implication have taught, and will teach Doctrines contrary to God, and to *Jesus Christ*.

Moses foretold *Jesus Christ*, with a Charge to hear, and follow him: *Jesus Christ* foretold *Antichrist* with an Injunction to reject and not follow him. God never permits false Miracles, or Miracles to confirm a Falshood, but where he at the same time performs greater in Confirmation of the Truth: As in *Egypt* betwixt *Moses* and the *Egyptian* Sorcerers; and as will be betwixt *Elias* and *Antichrist*.

From what I have said it will appear, that the way not to be deceiv'd by Lying Wonders, is to consider the Purport of the Doctrines, they advance, that is, whether it be to destroy the true Notion of God, in setting up another supreme Power, or in another Manner, than Reason, or Religion has taught us, or in giving us a new *Messias* or Teacher of Doctrines contrary to those already confirm'd to us by Reason, and by Miracles, and of whom we have been sufficiently forewarn'd by the true *Messias*, own'd and assur'd by the Hand and Power of God.

Thus much I have presum'd to add to what you have spoke of Miracles; tho' I confess it amounts to no more than the bringing what you

you have said to Particulars, and to a through Consideration. I do therefore desire you to proceed to that Glorious Building, which you design'd to lay on this Foundation.

What I was to build on it (reply'd *Christophil*) was the Certainty of *Reveal'd Religion*.

If I were apt to cavil (interrupted *Pleonexus*) and were not sufficiently convinc'd in my self of the Truth of *Christianity*, I wou'd make here two or three Objections, and however, since it may be of use to some of the Company, by giving you the Opportunity of clearing all Scruples about *Reveal'd Religion*, I will run the hazard of your Censures in making them. I do suppose, that you will say, (what I have heard urg'd) that *Revelation* was to restore Man to the primitive Innocence of his Nature; or to bring him back from wandering in Error to the true way of Life. But I must tell you what has been objected to this, *viz.* That it does not seem suitable to the Wisdom of God to go so far about, and to do that by the Sufferings of his only begotten Son, the Torments and Death of his Apostles, and Martyrs which he might have compass'd by one single Act of his Will, or to speak more Philosophically by his *Volition*. Since that had been sufficient to have converted the whole World, and to have recall'd the primitive Purity of Man's Nature, which Revelation has not done.

The second Objection is, that Revelation seems to imply a Mutability in God, which is contrary to his Divine Nature. For first, to fix in Man a natural Law, sufficient for his Happiness, and next to change that for the Law of *Moses* deliver'd in Thunder and Lightning, and confirmed by Miracles, and that for a few in a small Country

Country ; and then, as weary of that, as insufficient, to produce, and promulgate the Gospel; with fresh Miracles to destroy that Law which he had deliver'd in the Terror of Thunder and Lightning, and the magnificence of Miracles, shews in my poor Opinion a strange Mutability, which is a strong Objection against all, at least against all Revelations but one. I know this Gentleman has hinted, and you have said, that the Revelation, and Law of *Moses*, and Christ is the same, but that does not yet appear to me to be so.

Your first Objection, (replyed *Christophil*) seems to be a mixture of Sarcasm, and Inconsideration ; the Turn you give it is the first, and the matter the latter. For it is grounded on a Want of Reflection on the nature of Mankind, which I have sufficiently shewn to be free in its Actions and Opinions, But for God to have done what you propose, and in the manner, you wou'd have had him, had been to have exerted a new Creation, and to have alter'd the Nature of Man, by acting without Regard to the Freedom of his Agency, which wou'd be to make God to be mutable indeed. But Providence took a Course more equal, and more adapted to the Humane Nature, to Work on his Will, that is, by Perswasion, Example, and Precepts: by proposing Rewards able to excite, and Punishments sufficient to deter any sensible Reflector from a Breach of his Law, which, if observ'd, must of course bring Mankind to their primitive State of Happiness and Innocence. Infinite Goodness, therefore took the strongest, and most Efficacious means, and most agreeable to our Nature, in sending his only Son, with Miracles to advance, and by his Death to confirm the Doctrines, that he had eternally fixt, as the measure of our Happiness;

ness, and which Mankind had universally in the most material Parts forsaken.

If Man had preserv'd himself Master of disinterest'd Reason, and made use of those Lights, which God had planted in every Heart, there had not been perhaps so great a Necessity, of one Miracle for the Restoration of his Laws, sufficiently demonstrable in the Divinity of his Doctrines, or even of sending any new *Revelation*. But since, (as we shall show) by his Fall from God, and his general Subjection to the meer Animal Passions this cou'd not possibly be, Revelation was absolutely necessary. But my Answer to your second Objection will bring in that more at large.

Your second Objection is fully, as, weak as your former, for it arises from your Ignorance of what the Laws of *Moses* and *Christ* are; you must remember, that I have prov'd, that the Sum and Substance of the Law of Nature or Reason, is Justice to our Neighbour, and our selves, and Piety to God; the latter securing, and enforcing the former: now 'tis evident, that the two Tables of the *Mosaick* Law, tend to the very same End; Nay, I may say, by the Authority of *Christ* himself, that all the Law and the Prophets are the Loving God above all Things, and our Neighbour. as our selves. Lastly, If we will believe the Giver of the third Law *Jesus Christ* our Blessed Lord and Saviour, this was likewise the End, and Aim of the Gospel. So that the three Laws of Nature, of *Moses*, and of *Christ* were one and the same individual Law. But to examine this more particularly, I will begin with the Law of *Moses*, having already run through that of Nature.

S

I must

I must once more recall to your Mind what I said at the Conclusion of the Law of *Reason*; where I made it appear, that the general depravation of Mankind from those Eternal Laws, sprung from the Loss of their chief Obligation, the Knowledge of God. For Man forsook the Love of God first, and then fell into *Idolatry*, which let loose the Wilder Appetites of the Animal and Corporeal Nature, and so devour'd all that was truly good, and Virtuous. I confess it is highly amazing to reflect how it was possible, that Man cou'd ever indulge to monstrous an Infidelity, but the Evidence of Matter of Fact, that he did so, is likewise an Evidence of the fallen state of Man.

I do not remember any Mention of *Idolatry* among the Vices of the Antediluvian World; and I believe that it is pretty plain from the *Scripture*, that it spread by slow Degrees after the Flood; for we find *Abimelech* feared God. And when *Jacob* went into *Egypt* he found *Joseph* at the Head of Affairs; so that *Egypt*, the Mother of Superstition, had not then been guilty of that Spiritual Whoredom, at least not so far as to have it generally to prevail. But by the encrease of People, Power, and Pride, and the Tricks of Designing Men in perverting the *Hieroglyphicks* (first meant for Instruction in the Attributes of one Deity) into Plurality of Gods, they lost the Noble use of Reason in the Acknowledgment, and Adoration of one God. And when all the Nations round about had done the same so far, as totally to banish the Knowledge, and Worship of one God, on which all Moral Duties depended, the living God chose *Moses* by an ignorant, and slavish People to show it was his Work, by the Wonders he did

did for them, to restore the Use of Reason, and the primitive Laws of Nature to their first Lustre and Force.

But that you may not depend on my Representation of the Matter, I will give it you in the Words of St. Paul in his Epistle to the Romans; where it will evidently appear, that the Loss of the true Knowledge of God made way for the Breach of all the Laws of Nature, and which could not be perfectly restor'd without the compleation of Revelation in the Gospel.

I shall begin with the 16th Verse of the first Chapter. *For I am not ashamed of the Gospel of Christ, for it is the Power of God unto Salvation, to every one that believeth, to the Jews first, and also to the Greeks; for therein is the Righteousness of God reveal'd, from Faith to Faith, as it is written the just shall live by Faith; for the Wrath of God is reveal'd from Heaven against all Ungodliness, and Unrighteousness of Men; who hold the Truth in Unrighteousness; Because what may be known of God is manifest in them, for God has shown it unto them. For the invisible things of him from the Creation of the World are clearly seen, being understood by the things, that are made, even his eternal Power and Godhead. So that they are without excuse, because when they knew God, they glorified him not as God, neither were thankful, but became vain in their Imaginations; and their foolish Heart was hardened, professing themselves to be wise they became Fools, and chang'd the Glory of the incorruptible, into an Image made like unto corruptible Man, and to Birds, and four footed Beasts and creeping things. Wherefore also God gave them up to Uncleaness through the Lusts of their own Hearts; to dishonour their own*

Bodies between themselves; who chang'd the Truth of God into a Lie, and worship'd, and serv'd the Creature more, than the Creator, who is blessed for ever Amen. For this Cause God gave them up unto vile Affections; for even their Women did change the natural use unto that which is against Nature. And likewise also the Men leaving the natural use of the Women burn'd in their Lusts one towards another, Men with Men working that which is unseemly; and receiving in themselves that Recompence of their Error, which was meet. And even as they did not like to retain God in their Knowledge, God gave them over to a reprobate Mind, to do those things which are not Convenient. Being fill'd with all Unrighteousness, Fornication, Wickedness, Covetousness, Maliciousness, full of Envy, Murder, Debate, Deceit, Malignity, Whispersers, Backbiters, Haters of God; despitesful, Proud, Boasters, Inventers of Evil Things; disobedient to Parents; without Understanding; Covenant Breakers, without Natural Affection; Implacable, Unmerciful; who knowing the Judgments of God (that they which commit such things are worthy of death) not only do the same, but have Pleasure in those that do them.

St. Paul has here enumerated all the Breaches of the Law of Nature, and attributes them justly to Idolatry, or the Want of the Knowledge of God; and gives a lively Description of the State of Mankind before the Revelation of Moses all over the World. Wherefore we see, that there was no way of restoring the Law of Nature but by Revelation, nor any way of recommending that but by Miracles, as we shall see more at large, when we have taken a View of the Law of Moses.

If we look for the old Law in the Books of *Moses*, that is through all *Exodus*, *Leviticus*, *Numbers*, and *Deuteronomy*, and through all the Prophets, we shall find it regard that Division made in the two Tables. The first Comprehends or reaches us our Duty to God directly contrary to the Abominations of Idolatry; and the second our Duty to our Neighbour and our selves.

Let us begin with the 20th. Chapter of *Exodus*, from the first verse, to the eighteenth, which contains the ten Commandments, which I have no need to repeat, they being known to those, that do not mind them, as much, as to those that do. All which are directly the Principles of this first Law, which Mankind had lost by their *Idolatry*. The Punishments, that were threatned on their Breach, recommended them the more to the *Jews*, and the rest of the World, who shou'd receive these Divine Precepts, as their Profelyte, and this makes them very frequently mention'd, as *Exodus Chap. 21, 22, 23.*

The same Doctrine is taught in the 18th and 19th Chap. of *Leviticus*; where Unnatural Lusts, and Injustices are forbid, which are so by the Law of Nature. The 20th Chap. is on the same, and against Idolatry and Superstition. We find in the 26th the Blessings of those who keep these Commandements of the Lord, and the Curses on the Breakers of them. The third Chap. of *Deut.* begins with an Exhortation to Obedience, which is the Life of all Laws. The fifth is taken up in a Repetition of the Decalogue, and *Mose's* receiving the Law immediately from God. In the sixth Chap. that great Prophet cautions them against Idolatry, as he does almost every where, as the Root of all Evil, and the

Destruction of all the Moral Laws. Hear,
 O Israel! (says he) v. 15. The Lord our God is
 One Lord, and thou shalt love the Lord thy God
 with all thy Heart, and with all thy Soul, and
 with all thy Might. The Care he us'd in his Re-
 commendation of this, and the other Moral
 Laws to the People of Israel, is every where
 plain, especially from the following Verses, 6
 7. &c. And these words which I command thee
 this day shall be in thy Heart, and thou shalt
 teach them diligently unto thy Children, and
 shalt talk of them when thou sittest in thine House,
 and when thou walkest by the Way, and when
 thou liest down, and when thou risest up, and so on
 to the 12. Then, beware lest thou forget the
 Lord who brought thee out of the Land of Egypt,
 and out of the House of Bondage. And vers. 24.
 Thou shalt not go after other Gods, of the
 Gods of the People round about thee.

Again v. 17. &c. You shall diligently keep the
 Commandments of the Lord your God, and his
 Testimonies and his Statutes, which he hath com-
 manded thee. And thou shalt do that which is
 right in the sight of the Lord, that it may be well
 with thee, and thou mayst go in and possess the good
 Land which the Lord swore unto thy Fathers.
 And v. 20. And when thy Son asketh thee in time
 to come, saying, what mean the Testimonies, and
 the Statutes, and the Judgments which the Lord
 our God hath commanded you? Then thou shalt
 say unto thy Son, we were Pharaoh's Bondmen in
 Egypt, and the Lord brought us out of Egypt
 with a mighty hand. And the Lord shew'd signs
 and wonders great and sore in Egypt, upon
 Pharaoh, and upon his all household before our Eyes.

Moses took all this caution to convey down
 the Obligation of the People to God, and to keep

a constant and Faithful Tradition of the Confirmation of these Laws by Miracles from those very People, who saw them with their Eyes. All this Chapter indeed is spent to recommend the End of the Law *Obedience*, with Exhortations to it drawn from their Covenants with God, and the Benefits received from him. In the 22d Chap. Brotherly, or Neighbourly Love is enjoyn'd, according to the 18th and 19th Chap. of *Leviticus*—*Love thy Neighbour as thy self*. Thus likewise the latter end of the 10th Chap. and all the 11th a particular study of God's Word is recommended. In the 48th Chap. the Blessings of *Obedience* are enumerated, as well as the Curses for *Disobedience*. The same begins the 29th Chapter.

As these Laws were deliver'd by *Moses* to the People under the Threats of Punishments on the Neglect, or Breach of them, to the Punishments, in succeeding times befell the Disobedient *Israelites*, who constantly prosper'd, or were Vanquish'd as they observ'd the Law, or broke it.

I shall now pass from the Books of *Moses* to some of the Prophets, and if I do not run through them all, 'tis because it is easie to imagine, that those I shall not consider, differ not from those which I shall here refer to. Thus in the *Proverbs*, and *Ecclesiastes* we find thousands of Recommendations of Moral Virtue, with Warnings against, and Condemnations of Vices. In the same manner we every where find the Complaints of the Prophets, on the vicious Breaches of the moral Law, as in *Isaiah* Chap. 5. God's Judgments on Covetousness, Lasciviousness, Impiety, and Injustice are declar'd. And *Jeremiah* in the 9th. Chap. v. 3. 4. 5. &c. And they bend

bend their Tongue like a bow for Lyes, but they are not valiant for the Truth upon the Earth. For they proceed from Evil to Evil, and they KNOW not me, saith the Lord. Take ye heed every one of his Neighbour, and trust ye not in any Brother, for every Brother will utterly supplant, and every Neighbour will walk with slanders (that is with the Heathen) and they will deceive every one his Neighbour, and will not speak the Truth. They have taught their Tongues to speak Lyes, and weary themselves to commit Iniquity, Thine Habitation is in the midst of Deceit, through Deceit they refuse to know me saith the Lord. Thus Ezekiel in his 22d Chapter, complains of the Sins of Jerusalem, in Murder and Idolatry, Lewdness, Adultery, Usury, &c. v. 12. In thee have they taken Gifts to shed Blood. Thou hast taken Usury and Increase, and thou hast greedily gain'd of thy Neighbour by Extortion, and hast forgotten me, saith the Lord God, &c. Hosea Chap. 4. v. 1. and 2. Hear the word of the Lord ye Children of Israel, for the Lord has a Controversie with the Inhabitants of the Land, because there is no Truth, nor MERCY, nor KNOWLEDGE OF GOD in the Land: By swearing, and lying, and killing, and stealing they break out, and Blood touches Blood. Mich. Chap. 2. v. 1. 2. Woe to them that devise Iniquity, and work Evil upon their Beds; when the morning is light they practise it, because it is in the Power of their Hands, and they cover Fields and take them by Violence, and Houses, and take them away, so they oppress a man and his House, even a man and his Heritage. He makes the same Complaint, Chap. 7. And Habakkuck, threatens the Chaldeans with Judgments for Ambition, Avarice, Cruelty, &c. Chap.

Chap. 2. v. 5. 6. &c. to 13. Yea also because he transgresseth by Wine, he is a proud Man, neither keepeth at home: who enlarges his desire as Hell, and is as Death, and cannot be satisfy'd; but gathereth unto him all Nations, and heapeth to him all People. So that the Injustice of Tyrants, and the ambitious Invasions of their Neighbours is threatn'd by God as well as the Ambition, &c. of private Men.

But to sum up all that has been, or may be said of the Law and the Prophets, in short, I shall do it in the Words of our Saviour. And JESUS answered him, and said, the first of all the Commandments is, Hear O Israel! the Lord our God is ONE Lord, and thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy Heart, and with all thy Soul, and with all thy Mind, and with all thy Strength. This is the first Commandment; and the second is like, namely this; Thou shalt love thy Neighbour as thy self, there is none other Commandment greater, than these. And thus before in Matth. 22. 36. &c. Master, which is the great Commandment of the Law? Jesus said unto him, thou shalt love the Lord with all thy Heart, and with all thy Soul, and with all thy Mind. This is the first and great Commandment, and the second is like unto it. Thou shalt love thy Neighbour, as thy self, on these two Commandments hang all the Law and the Prophets.

This therefore is the Mosaic Law, or the Precepts of the first great Revelation, and in what does this differ from that Law of Right Reason or Nature, which I have before describ'd? Here is the same Object of Worship, and the same Rules of Manners, only more obviously
com.

compriz'd, and offer'd to the general Capacity of all Men, without the Help of Ratiocination. It was establish'd by Wonders to strike the Senses and move the Mind: and enjoined under Penalties, and with Reward to set our Hopes, and our Fears as Guardians to keep our Minds still upon it, which are all the most prevailing Motives, that could be propos'd to a rational free Creature. It has visibly twisted, and interwoven our Duties to God, and Man, and made them inseparable; and so given the most solemn, and most awful Sanction to the Moral Law, that cou'd commend it to our Obedience.

To proceed to the Law of the second general Revelation, we shall see from the Gospel, and the Writings of the Apostles, that it was likewise the same, which must make your Objection of the Mutability of God fall entirely to the Ground.

But to shew us plainly, and beyond Contradiction, that the Law of Christ is the same as that of Moses, let us hear himself in *Mat. cap. 5. V. 17.* *Think not that I come to destroy the Law and the Prophets; I am not come to destroy but fulfill.* "That is to compleat them, both in the bringing the Moral Law to its highest Perfection in advancing the Love of God, and our Neighbour in the Rules of both, and also to fulfill the typical Ceremonies, which related to my coming. *Matt. 19. 8.* Shows that the Eternal Law was the Ground of the Gospel where he says, *from the Beginning it was not so— He saith unto them; Moses because of the Hardness of your Hearts suffered you to put away your Wives, but from the Beginning it was not so.*

But

But it will yet more appear from our Saviour's sermon on the Mount, that the Christian Law is a divine Improvement of the Mosaic and Natural Laws, aiming at the same Things more forcibly, and with greater Perfection. *Matt. 5. v. 20. &c.* For I say unto you, that unless your Righteousness shall exceed the Righteousness of the Scribes, and Pharisees, you shall in no Case enter into the Kingdom of Heaven. Ye have heard, that it was said by them of old Time, Thou shalt not kill; and whosoever shall Kill shall be in danger of Judgment. But I say unto you, whosoever is angry with his Brother without a Cause, shall be in danger of Judgment. And whosoever shall say to his Brother Racha, shall be in danger of the Counsel; but whosoever shall say thou fool, shall be in danger of Hell Fire. Therefore if thou bring thy Gift to the Altar, and thou remember, that thy Brother has ought against thee, leave there thy Gift before the Altar, and go thy way first be reconcil'd to thy Brother, and then come and offer thy Gift.

Is it possible for any thing more to be added to this particular Precept of *thou shalt not kill*, for here all the Steps and Provocations to Anger are entirely cut off, by Penalties on all the degrees, that lead us to the Destruction of one another; and all imaginable Measures laid down for the common security of Mankind, and the promoting Mutual Love, by letting us know, that we cannot be acceptable to God, while we are at Enmity with our Neighbour.

But to proceed, *v. 2. 7. &c.* You have heard that it was said by them of old time — *thou shalt not commit Adultery*, but I say unto you, that whosoever looketh on a Woman to lust after her, has committed Adultery already, with her in his Heart. This takes

takes away all the insensible Degrees by which this Injustice creeps on Men, by beginning with the Looks, by which the Desires are form'd ; for when they, by Indulgence, grow strong, Men seldom stop before the execution of the Crime : when once we have indulg'd the Eye in looking on a fine Woman, it often puts it out of our Power to banish a Passion, we are no longer Masters of. But there is nothing less difficult, than to withdraw the Eye on the first Glances, and so prevent a Guilt, so injurious to our Neighbour, and by Consequence hateful to God.

In short, the rest of this divine Sermon is to recommend our Duty to God, and our Neighbour, against Hypocrisie, and Injustice, and the like. Thus *Matt. 19. 16.* our Blessed Saviour enjoins the Observation of the Decalogue, as the sure way to obtain eternal Life, *Matt. 22. 36, 37, 38, &c.* Master (says one of the *Pharisees*) which is the great Commandment in the Law? Jesus said unto him, thou shalt love the Lord thy God, with all thy Heart, and with all thy Soul, and with all thy Mind, this is the first, and great Commandment, and the second is like to it, thou shalt love thy Neighbour as thy self. The same is confirm'd *Mark 12. 29, 31, 32, &c.* and *Luke 10. 25, 26, &c.* and *18. 18. John 13. 34.* A new Commandment I give unto you, that you love one another, as I have loved you, that you also love one another ; by this shall all Men know that you are my Disciples, if you love one another, which he repeats *cap. 15. v. 12.* where, after he has told the Apostles, that to love him is to keep his Commandments, he says, this is my Commandment, that you love one another, as I have loved you,

you. This he repeats again, Ver. 17. He had before commanded us to love our Enemies, *Matt.* 5. 4. and cap. 7. 12. he sets us an excellent Rule of Justice to our Neighbour in these Words— *Therefore all things whatsoever you wou'd, that Men shou'd do to you, do you so to them, for this is the Law and the Prophets.* Christ rewards every Man according to his Works. *Mat.* 16. 27. *For the Son of Man shall come in the Glory of his Father; with his Angels, and then he shall reward every Man according to his Works.* *Rom.* 2. 6. *Who will render to every Man according to his Deeds.* *Pet.* 1. 17. *And if you call on the Father, who without respect of Persons judgeth according to every Man's Work, &c.*

But to bring yet a stronger Proof, if possible, of this being the Doctrine of the Gospel, let us see if our Saviour do not make our Conduct to one another, the Measure of his Sentence, at the general Judgment. *Matt.* 25. from v. 31. to the End of the Chapter. *The Son of Man shall come in his Glory and all the Holy Angels with him, then shall he sit upon the Throne of his Glory, &c.* and v. 34. *Then shall the King say to them on his Right Hand, come ye Blessed of my Father, inherit the Kingdom prepar'd for you from the Foundation of the World, for I was an hungred, and you gave me Meat; I was thirsty, and you gave me Drink: I was a stranger, and you took me in: Naked, and you Cloathed me; I was sick, and you visited me; I was in Prison, and you came unto me. Then shall the Righteous say, &c.*

I suppose this is sufficient to show that the Aim of the Gospel is the same as that of the Law of Moses, viz. the LOVE of God and of our Neighbour.

The

The Gospel indeed consists of two Things, viz. *what we are to do*, and *what we are to believe*. The Belief gives force to the Action, and the Action proves the Belief, which cannot subsist without it. *The Love of God is not in him, who being able doth not help, seeing his Brother in Need.* 1 John 3. 17. 18.

I confess (said *Pleonexius*) that from what you have said it seems to be pretty plain, that the Gospel is no new Law from that of *Moses*, and that Justice and Morality are commanded, directly by it. And this made a sober Dissenting Minister tell me, that Christ taught not the *Christian* Religion, but that of *Moses*, and therefore that we ought to seek the *Christian* Faith in *St. Paul*, and the Apostles.

St. Paul tells you (reply'd *Christophis*) that you shou'd be no further Imitators of him, than he is of *Christ*; but I shall here shew, that he closely imitates *Christ* in this Doctrine on these Points. As is evident almost from every one of his *Epistles*, nay, almost of every Chapter in them: see *Rom.* 13. 7, 8, 9, 10. *Render therefore to all their dues, Tribute to whom Tribute is due, Custom to whom Custom; Fear to whom Fear; Honour to whom Honour. Owe no Man any thing but to love one another, for he that loveth another hath fulfilled the Law. For this thou shalt not commit Adultery, thou shalt not Kill, thou shalt not Steal, thou shalt not bear false Witness; thou shalt not Covet, and if there be any other Commandment it is briefly comprehended in this saying, thou shalt love thy Neighbour as thy self. Love worketh no ill to his Neighbour, therefore Love is the fulfilling of the Law.* 1 Cor. 5. The beginning of the Chapter is against Lewdness, and v. 9, 10. &c. I wrote

to you in an Epistle not to company with Fornicators; yet not altogether with the Fornicators of this World, or with the Covetous or Extortioner, or with Idolaters, for then must ye needs go out of the World. And now I have written unto you not to keep Company if any Man, that is called a Brother be a Fornicator, or Covetous, or an Idolater, or a Railer, or a Drunkard, or an Extortioner, with such a one, no not to eat. After he has reprimanded the Corinthians in the 6th Chapter for their Litigiousness, and wronging one another, he says, v. 9. 10. 11. Know ye not that the Unrighteous shall not inherit the Kingdom of God? be not deceiv'd, neither Fornicators, nor Idolaters, nor Adulterers, nor Effeminate, nor Abusers of themselves with Mankind, nor Thieves, nor Covetous, nor Extortioners shall inherit the Kingdom of God. Galat. Chap. 5. v. 14. For all the Law is fulfill'd in one Word, even in this, thou shalt love thy Neighbour as thy self. And before the end of the Chapter he enumerates the Works of the Flesh which they, who walk in the Spirit, that is holily, cannot be guilty of, as v. 19. &c. Adultery, Fornication, Uncleanness, Lasciviousness, Idolatry, Witch-craft, Hatred, Variance, Emulations, Wrath, Strife, Seditions, Heresies, Envyings, Murders, Drunkenness and such like of which I tell you before, as I have also told you in time past, that they, who do such things shall not inherit the Kingdom of God. But the Fruit of the Spirit is Love, Joy, Peace, Long-suffering, Gentleness, Goodness, Faith, Meekness Temperance. Against such there is no Law. And they that are Christ's have Crucify'd the Flesh, with the Affections and Lusts. If we live in the Spirit, let us not be desirous of Vain Glory

Glory, provoking one another, envying one another.

Tell me *Pleonexus*, does not St. Paul hitherto admirably imitate the Doctrine of Christ? Or can you say, that he sets up any new or different Law, from that which his Blessed Master taught? Well then, to proceed, the 5th Chapter of his Epistle to the *Ephesians* is all of the same Purport, warning us of several moral Duties which he prosecutes in the sixth. *1 Thes. 4. 6. and 9. That no Man go beyond, or defraud his Brother in any matter, because that the Lord is the Avenger of all such, as we also have forewarn'd you and testify'd, &c. But as touching Brotherly Love, ye need not that I write unto you, for ye your selves are taught of God to love one another.* And in the first Chapter of his Epistle to *Tim.* he supposes a Christian so pure, as not to fall under the Law, which was made, as he affirms for the lawless and Disobedient, and then runs over the several Breaches of the moral Law, and great part of his last Chapter to the *Hebrews* recommends the same.

With St. Paul the other Apostles agree in those Epistles we have of theirs. First St. *James* Chap. 1. v. 22. *Be ye doers of the Word, not Hearers only deceiving your selves.* And all the second Chapter is spent in recommending our Duty, acting morally, kindly and lovingly with our Neighbour, first that it is not agreeable to the Christian Profession to fawn on the Rich, and to despise the Poor, but that we are to be merciful and loving. That we should not boast of Faith without Deeds, which is but a Dead Faith; nay, the Faith of Devils. Thus in the 4th Chap. he tells us, that it is our Duty to resist Covetousness, Pride, Intemperance, Detraction, Judg-
ing

ing rashly of their Neighbour, and the like.

The first Epistle of St. Peter Chap. 2. *Wherefore laying aside all Malice, and all Guile, and Hypocrisies, and Envies and evil speakings.* And from the 12. v. he recommends several moral Duties. Chap. 3. v. 8. *Finally be ye all of one Mind, having Compassion one of another, love as Brethren, be pitiful, be courteous, &c.* thus in the Fourth Chapter he exorts them from Sin by the Example of Christ.

I shall conclude this Enquiry with seeing what St. John says on the same point, Ep. 1. Chap 2. v. 3. &c. *And hereby do we know that we know him (God) if we keep his Commandments. He that sayeth I know him, and keepeth not his Commandments is a Liar, and the Truth is not in him. But he that keepeth his Word, in him verily is the Love of God perfected: hereby know we that we are in him* 7. *Brethren, I write no new Commandment unto you, but an old Commandment which ye had from the Beginning.* v. 9. *He that saith he is in the Light, and hateth his Brother, is in Darknes ev'n untill now. He that loveth his Brother abideth in the Light, and there is no occasion of stumbling in him. He is yet plainer as to this, in the 3d. Chap. v. 14, &c. We know that we have pass'd from Death to Life, because we love the Brethren: he that loveth not his Brother, abideth in Death. Whosoever hateth his Brother is a Murderer, and you know that no Murderer hath eternal Life abiding in him. Hereby perceive we the Love of God, because he laid down his Life for us, and we ought to lay down our Lives for the Brethren. But whoso hath this Worlds goods, and seeth his Brother have need, and shutteth up his Bowels of Compassion from him, how dwelleth the Love of God in him?* Chap. 4.

v. 15. &c. Whosoever shall confess, that Jesus is the Son of God, God dwelleth in him, and he in God. And we have known, and believed the Love which God has to us. God is Love, and he that dwelleth in Love dwelleth in God, and God in him. Herein is our Love made perfect, that we may have Boldness in the Day of Judgment, because as he is, so are we in this World. There is no fear in Love: but perfect Love casteth out fear, because Fear hath Torment. He that feareth is not made perfect in Love. We loved him, because he first loved us. If a Man say I love God and hateth his Brother, he is a Lyar: for he that loveth not his Brother, whom he hath seen, how can he Love God, whom he hath not seen. And this Commandment have we from him, that he who loveth God, love his Brother also.

I have been the larger in this last Quotation from St. John, because he has here interwoven the two Duties of Religion, and shown how inseparable they are, that is the Love of God, and of our Neighbours, denying positively that the first can be without the last. I hope therefore from all these Texts, that it is plain, that the whole Current of the New Testament as is clearly the very same Doctrine, which Moses deliver'd to the Israelites, and I hope it is evident that this was the same with the Law of Nature or Reason; so it follows, that God has not shown any Mutability by giving us these two latter Laws of Revelation, since they differ not from that primitive Law, Established by God in the Creation of Man.

But Sir, (interrupted Pleonexus) if Reason could bring us to a Knowledge of our Duty without Revelation, where was the Necessity of a Reve-

a *Revelation*? So that by your making the three Laws the same to avoid the destroying the Immutability of God; by making him do a superfluous Thing, you destroy his Wisdom.

If Man (reply'd *Christophil*) had never fallen from his state of Innocence; and his Reason had retain'd its Native Force, and Vigour, your Objection had born some weight, for then those *Revelations* had been superfluous, and by consequence never had been. But since the use of Right Reason was almost wholly lost in the Depravities of Mankind; and the universal Slavery to the Passions and Corporeal Impressions was so great, that those very few, who made the nearest approaches to it, argu'd from prejudices of Custom, and receiv'd Maxims, which wanted the Certainty they suppos'd in them, they never did nor ever cou'd arrive at the Knowledge of Truth in so perfect a Degree, as was agreeable to the Divine Nature, till they were deliver'd from that state of Darkness, which had excluded the light of the Knowledge of God, the very Fundamental of all the moral Law.

Besides those very Advances to the Truth, which we discover in the *Greek* and *Roman* Authors, may be traced from the First *Revelation*, tho' debauch'd and contounded with the Theology of the reigning Polytheism of their Countries. The most ancient of the *Greek* Philosophers, having travelled into *Aegypt* and *Caldea*, in both which places liv'd *Jews* instructed in the Laws of Truth; especially after their Captivity in *Babylon*, their Religion was more known, and in probability might so convey some light to those distant *Heathens*, by their first Teachers Enquiries into these Matters in their Travels. But as for the *Romans* we know they all built

on what they found among the *Greeks*. But this was but a few, a very small handful of Men, that had this small Light, and never aim'd at spreading it further, than their immediate Disciples. The rest of the World was in profound Ignorance, and Slavery to domineering Vices.

Let any Man of common Sense, but take a view of the State of the World when *Moses* appear'd, and he will easily find, that he cou'd never have prevail'd in that narrow Restoration of the Law of Nature without Miracles. The worship of the true God was almost every where abolish'd, or lost, without which Mankind cou'd never be safe, nor happy. For all the Precepts of Reason, which the Law of Nature gave them, lost all their Force, when their dependance on God, and the Sanction they receiv'd from him, was quite vanished from their very Notions: And so nothing but the Power of political Institutions, to oblige their observation remain'd, which we see every day evaded by the Cunning, Power, or Wealth of Particulars.

But when the Mind has lost the Love, Fear, and Knowledge of God, and in those all the noblest Aims of a rational Being, all the surest Tyes to mutual Love and Assistance, that is all the Security and Happiness of Life, it had no way to recover it self out of that Darkness, but by the Power and Goodness of God; and that by means agreeable to our Nature, which was free tho' Corrupted. It was worthy therefore of the Goodness of God, by Miracles to restore that Law, without which Man cou'd not arrive at the End, and Aim of his Creation; which is so far from being Contrary to any one Attribute of God, that it soveraignly answers them all.

In

In this Universal Night of Humane Reason, that had overshadow'd almost the whole Race of Man, God in his Infinite Goodness and Mercy, chose *Moses* to manifest himself and his Law to a weak, and ignorant People, that had lost their Spirit and their Understanding in a long and painful Slavery, and therefore the most unlikely in the World to be capable of effecting a Revolution so wonderful and amazing, as was brought about by *Moses* in the Delivery of the Children of *Israel* from *Egypt*, without the assisting hand of God, by which help, *Moses* brought them to the Knowledge of the most high God, and establish'd a Covenant with them; in which he plainly (as I have shown) restor'd the Law of Nature or Reason, which begun with Mankind, nor can end while he has Being in the World.

But notwithstanding the Law of *Profelytes*, the *Israelites* were so far from spreading this divine Doctrine among their Neighbours, that they frequently fell from it themselves; and Ten Tribes upon their defection from the Line of *David*, fell from the Church, and set up other Gods, or at least forsook the true Worship of God. Their distinction from all other People in time destroy'd their Zeal for *Profelytes*; and tho' at first it was the Remedy against Infection from the Idolatry, that surrounded them, prov'd Fewel to their Pride, which gave them other Methods of Righteousness, than those prescribed by the *Decalogue*, or the *Moral Law*, deliver'd in Thunder and Lightning. For the Ceremonial Law, which was partly Typical, and partly to draw the People to God, was not deliver'd in so dreadful, and awful a Manner, tho' in the *Pharisaical* Age of the *Jews* it eat

up all the other, as is evident from the *Gospel*.

I have already consider'd how the *Heathen* World was lost in *Idolatry*, and the Ignorance of the true God, and by Consequence of the chief Part of the Rational Religion, which cou'd never have been restored but by the Miracles of *Moses* and *Christ*. For Men having generally forsaken the true Light of pure Reason, and devoted themselves to the *Ignes fatui*, which they had substituted in its Place, it was impossible for Men ever to recover that true Light by any means meerly Humane.

It must be confess'd that among the *Heathens* there were some particular Men, who made very near Approaches to Right Reason, (whether that were by the means of the already establish'd Revelation of the *Jews*, as I have observ'd, or by a peculiar Favour of God) and by the utmost Application discover'd great Part of the Law of Nature, founded in Reason; and that there was one Supream and Eternal Cause of all things, who naturally, essentially, or necessarily existed, and on whom all created Beings had an entire Dependance. Yet, *First*, we never find that they ever arriv'd to the *Love of God*, nor *Secondly*, ever divested themselves so far of the Prejudices of Custom, or receiv'd Opinions, as to have adequate Notions of things, that every way answer'd Right Reason, and that superexcellent Being, which even their corrupted Reason led them to a wonderful, tho' not perfect View of.

The several Sects of Philosophers, which had sprung from Contemplation in *Athens*, and from their Travels into *Asia* and *Egypt*, had run the considering Men into, as many absurdities

ties almost as the Vulgar, tho' of a more subtle and refin'd Nature. For each Author of a Sect aiming at a Name, and Glory from the Distinction of his Followers, and Doctrine more, than by his Zealous Pursuit of Truth, advanc'd such a Multiplicity of Precarious and Contradictory Principles, that the several Disciples were by them confin'd in their Reasonings, and could not go out of their Track, tho' call'd by the most evident Truth; which made Cicero say, that there was no Opinion so absurd, which some of the Philosophers had not held. This dogmatical way of the Philosophers bound up the Minds of their Disciples with Prejudices, as insuperable, and as false, as those of the People.

Thus we see them all arguing from the first Principles of each Sect, and always keeping in View of their *Postulata*, even in their finest Reasonings; this appears from Cicero's book of the nature of the Gods, (to omit others) and his other Philosophical Treatises, where he is most free, and disengag'd. In the forenam'd Book, he shows a perfect skill in the several Opinions of the Philosophers on that Point: He gives us three perfect Characters of the three prevailing Sects of that Time, the *Stoicks*, *Epicureans*, and *Academicks*, or *Scepticks*, for such is his *Cotta*, to whom, as his Favourite, he gives the Advantage of answering both the *Epicureans*, and *Stoicks*, tho' so weakly, that it is scarce worthy a Man, much less of a Lover of Wisdom; so far was Right Reason from taking Place, even where they profess'd to think of nothing but Reason.

These Philosophers, who were not, or pretended not to be dogmatical, were so far from

arriving at, or promoting Truth, that they perfectly destroy'd the very Thing, while they strove to make every thing, nay, laid it down as a Principle, that every thing was, uncertain, which was equally foolish, and Vain. For they asserted this one Maxim, *that all was uncertain*, as a certain Principle, on which they built their whimsical Wantonness of Argumentation. And this sprung from their unweigh'd Consideration of the bounded Knowledge of Man; concluding, that because he did not know all things, he knew nothing.

Socrates seems almost the only Man of the Heathen Antiquity, that appear'd sincerely to aim at the Truth; and it is certain, that he in some Degree or Measure arriv'd at it. He saw the Loquacious Ostentation of the *Sophists* of *Greece*, and therefore, set up a plain easie way of confuting their *Syllogistical Jargon*, by a Method that led directly to Truth, and the fair Decision of Controversie. His honest Intention was rewarded with the finding out the Unity of the Deity, and had the Happiness to die a Martyr to that noble Truth. For tho' he had so great a Principle of Honesty, and the Reputation of the wisest Man from the very Oracle of *Apollo* himself, tho' I say, his Authority was built on the very strongest Superstition of their Religion, yet his defending, and endeavouring to promote the Knowledge of *One God* cost him his Life, without making any Numerous, if any Converts from the *Polytheism*. And this not in *Greece* only, but in *Athens* it self, the very Center of Wit, Learning, and Politeness; the Seat and Rendezvous of curious Enquirers; the Retreat of the very Avarice of Novelty, tho' there you'd be no Opinion so very irrational as

the Idolatry, that *Socrates* oppos'd. But that and other Doctrines destructive of Truth, were every where spread, and establish'd by an immemorial Prescription, too strong for all the Reason and Authority of *Socrates* to vanquish.

The other Philosophers, who succeeded *Socrates*, as *Plato*, *Xenophon*, &c. confin'd their Notions about God, either to obscurer Words, or in some Measure to the Vulgar Opinions. Besides, if they had arriv'd at the Truth, and would have taught it to their Disciples without Veil, or Corruption, their *Gymnasia*, and *Gardens* confin'd their Discoveries to their immediate Hearers, and being no part of the Religion of the Country, would be look't on as fine Speculation, nor to be reduc'd to practice, and so by consequence cou'd never undeceive the People; so that there was no possibility of ever restoring the use of Right Reason, and by Consequence, the Law of Nature, founded in the true Knowledge of God, by the meer force of Man, without the immediate Revelation of God, and that recommended by Miracles.

This was the State of the *Pagan* World at the coming of *Christ*, and as I have hinted that of the *Jews* was not much better, by their adhesion to *Pharisaical* Traditions, and outward Forms, and Ceremonies, without any Regard to the true substance of Religion, *the love of God, and their Neighbour*. They had set up a Righteousness, which was not the Righteousness of God, but a convenient Union betwixt their Conscience, and the Breach of their Law, which reconcil'd Contraries, and made God the Author of Evil, and the Protector, and the Favourer of the Wicked. *Pride*, and *Hypocrisie*, with its natural Compassion, or rather Director, *Avarice* had

had entirely engross'd the principal, or at least the largest Part of the *Jews*. From hence by their Correspondence with the *Asiatick* Greeks, and with other Nations, mixt with their own erroneous Traditions, they had advanc'd Principles agreeable to the End, and Aim of their Lives, Injustice, and Impiety. For their prevalling Passions, not their Reason interpreted the Law. In short, all the Corruptions of the *Heathen*, except their formal Idolatry, were among them; so that having spread a false Method of understanding the Law, and fixt Principles contrary to the same, the Common Effects of Prejudice, of Prescription, or Custom equally excluded them from the possibillity of a Return to a true Notion of Things, without the second Revelation of *Christ Jesus* our Lord.

To confirm what you have said (interrupted *Christophorus*) I shall add a few Considerations on the same Head.

The *Jews* were plung'd into Worldly Thoughts, that God loved their Father *Abraham*, that is his Body or Flesh, and for his sake all his numerous Progenie, and that this partial Love was the only Motive of his multiplying and distinguishing them from all other People of the Earth, not suffering them to mix, or intermingle by Marriage, or Coalition with the Descendants, or Offspring of any other Head, or Fountain of Nations. That for this Cause only he brought them out of *Egypt* with such Signs, and Wonders done in their Favour; fed them with Manna in the Desert, gave them a Land of Plenty, Kings, and a well built Temple in it to offer Beasts for Victims, and that they were purifi'd with the Effusion of their Blood, and that a *Messias* shou'd come to render them Masters of the World. The

The *Jews* were us'd to great and wonderful Miracles, and they look't on the dividing the Red Sea, and their obtaining the Land of *Canaan*, as the Minature (as I may say) of the great Wonders to be perform'd by the *Messias*, from whom they expected more prodigious and evident Works of Power, esteeming those of *Moses*, but as the Morning Star of their promis'd Emperor.

These carnal *Jews* had no Apprehensions of the Grandure, and Lowliness of their foretold *Messias*, the Prognosticks of whom they found in their Prophets. They suppos'd he wou'd be an eternal King, that wou'd reign over them for ever, but had no Notion of his Death, and the Abjectness of his Temporal State here on Earth. They had such a Passion for the Figures, and Types of him, and were taken up so wholly with them, that they lost the Reality, and knew him not when he came, tho' he in every particular answered the Prophecies of him, because they had misapprehended them.

This made them such Enemies to the Spiritual Sense of their Prophets, whom they almost ador'd for that carnal meaning, which they put upon them, which was the very Cause that they were such Foes to the *Messias*, who fulfill'd them in a poor dejected Appearance, which defeated their avaricious, and ambitious Hopes; from which they had promis'd themselves the Subjection of the tributary World. Being therefore so intent on the expectation of Carnal Goods, they lost all Thoughts and all Advantages of the real; they consider'd not that their blind desire of Wealth, and Power, were inconsistent with the Love of God, and their Neighbours, with Faith, and with Charity.

Thus

Thus while they had a false, and ungodly expectation of the *Messias*, they plac'd their supream Happiness in the Goods of this World, and by Consequence had no Regard to the two Fundamentals of their Religion, *viz.* To love God above all Things, and their Neighbour, as themselves. For they lov'd Wealth, and Power above all Things, and only lov'd themselves. This Principle therefore being fixt in them, there was no Possibility, by following that Road, of their coming into the right Path of the true, and eternal Religion. There was therefore in regard ev'n of the *Jews*, a Necessity of the coming of our Saviour, by Miracles to recall those, who were not obstinately, and wilfully deaf to the Truth.

There is no greater Evidence (assum'd *Christophil* when he found the other silent) of the Force of the Prejudice of Opinion, and Education, than that all the *Jews* did not believe in those Miracles done by *Jesus Christ* and his Apostles; they cou'd not but all see, as well as *Nicodemus*, that none cou'd do those things but such with whom God was. The same will appear from the Pagan part of the World in the People of *Lystra*, who by the Miracles of *St. Paul*, and *Barnaby* in curing the Lame Man, saw, that it was beyond the Power of any humane Creature, and therefore concluded from the Dictates of their Religion, and their Notions of things, that they were two of their Gods—*lifting up their voices, saying, in the Speech of Lycaonia, the Gods are come down to us in the likeness of Men.* The Miracle struck them, and they, therefore, immediately prepar'd sacrifices for them as Gods, calling one *Jupiter*, and the other *Mercury*. But when their mistaken Zeal

Zeal was refus'd, and the Apostles had assur'd them, that they were Men like them, subject to the same Passions, on the Instigation of those who got by the Superstition of the Polytheism, they soon agreed to stone them. So strong was Opinion, and Education even against a Miracle, which their Actions confess'd, that they thought above the Humane Power, and plainly divine.

From what has been said of the deprav'd State both of the *Jews*, and the *Gentiles*, there flows an undeniable Consequence, that without great and frequent Miracles, it had been impossible to have given the Christian Doctrine any Footing among Mankind, I will not say by Men of such low Fortunes, and little Learning, and Art, but indeed by any Men at all how fortunate or eloquent soever. So that the prevailing of the *Christian* Doctrine, as we see it has prevail'd, is a demonstration of the Miracles that were done to recommend and confirm it, and convince the People of it, who without them wou'd never have listned to any Reason however evident, against their preconceiv'd Opinions and Customs.

I think it is an invincible Proof of the Miracles of *Christ*, that the Doctrine they promote is founded on Reason, and deducible from Nature, and *Right-Reason*; and therefore that it was worthy the Almighty Goodness to work Miracles for a Doctrine so agreeable to his own Divine Attributes, and which he had originally establish'd in the Nature, and for the Happiness of Humane kind, so to convince the World of the Habitual Error, which had depriv'd them of the use of their Reason. The same Miracles confirm'd the divine Mission of *Christ* and his Apostles, by this Mark of Gods Approbation

on of what he was to deliver. For by that means he gave an undeniable Authority, and Manifestation of his Sanction of those Laws, and to confirm the Rewards, and Punishments due to the Observance, or Breach of them ; and to put an end to all Doubts of the Immortality of the Soul. For it is a plain Distinction betwixt the Miracles of Christ, and those pretended Wonders of Impostors, that *Christ's* were to re-establish the Law of Reason, that is eternal Truths, which aim'd directly at the end of Man's Creation, and flow'd directly from the Notion, and Knowledge of God, and highly agreeable to all his Attributes ; while those of Impostors are only to promote Doctrines and Superstitions either destructive of that End, or not at all availing to it ; or for some Mean, Worldly, and particular Interest or Advantage, unworthy of the assisting Power of God.

You must pardon me if I insist a little more on this Point, than perhaps you'll approve, for I must repeat what I have said on this head, that I may put it in all its Light, and that I may omit nothing material to an Argument, which alone, in my Opinion, without the voluminous Testimonies of Fathers, and Historians, which require both Learning, and a long Application to be master of, proves the whole Christian Religion beyond all Controversie, that is if Reason, and Demonstration be any Proof beyond Controversie.

Let us therefore, once more take a View of it, Behold here the whole World obstinately blinded in Error, and Universally prepossess'd by Opinion, and Prejudice against this Doctrine. On the other hand, our Saviour and his Apostles, from the lowest and most despicable State
of

of Human kind, set up the Christian Religion, as I have describ'd it; and prevail'd against the Proud, the Rich, and the Powerful. That is Poverty, Weakness, and Contempt enter'd the Field against, and contend'd with Power, Prejudice, Wealth, and Pride. Truth under all these Disadvantages with Falshood, in all its strongest Redoubts, and Fortifications. Religion unarm'd against Superstition amidst its blind, and zealous Guards of Passion, Prescription, Interest, &c. Can any rational Man, can any Man of common Sense imagine, that such Instruments, and by such Measures as their Doctrine prescrib'd, cou'd make way through them all, without the recommendation of Miracles, by them attacking their Senses, to awake their Judgment and Reason, to hear and examine the Truth and Demonstration of their Doctrine, which justly carry'd indeed Conviction with it. Yet a Man, that is at all acquainted with the World, must know the wonderful Force of Prejudice and Education, the Power of Antiquity, the unanimous Opinion of the World, and the like; that they hoodwink Reason, blind the Eyes of Judgment, and invert all its Operations, which being possess'd, and taken up with false Principles, argues from them, as certain, and thinks it even impious to question the Solidity of those Fundamentals, and will find, nor acknowledge no Truth, which cannot be reduc'd to them.

This will be more plain, if we consider how few there are even now, in a more knowing Age, and in a Nation, that is a profess'd Enemy to Superstition, and a Constraint of Conscience, and establish'd in a full Liberty of Enquiry, so zealously setting up the sacred Empire of Reason,

Reason, how few I say, even here, and now are fairly govern'd by their *Reason* and the Criterion, or undoubted Mark of Truth *Evidence* ! How few are there, that do not examine Doctrines by the Opinions, they have already imbib'd, and judge them, false, or true by the Analogy they hold with the Belief of their Forefathers, or of that, in which they had been bred.

Nothing therefore but Miracles could make way against these, in a Time more overwhelmed with Superstition, and far less directed by Reason, than the present, as the universal Idolatry, that then reign'd in the World, is a sufficient Testimony.

The Success of the *Christian* Doctrine against all this inexpressible Force of Prejudice of Judgment, and Practice, is an undeniable Proof that it was recommended by Miracles, the Necessity of which I have sufficiently demonstrated from the Event, which we see. All the Miracles of our Saviour, and his Apostles had all the Marks of true Miracles, which I and *Christophorus* have laid down, the Doctrine they advanc'd, was agreeable to the Nature of God, founded in Reason, and directed to an End, as worthy the Consideration of God, as the Creation of the World, or of Man, since it aim'd at the Restoration of the first and principal End of Man, *the true Knowledge, and Love of God, and the sincere Love of our Neighbour* ; at the banishing those Vices, and that Unrighteousness, which had destroy'd that Happiness of Man, to which he was created. In short, *Christ* did all his Miracles for some declar'd End, or some forenamed Account, and foretold them, and they were all above the Power of Man, and the common Course of Nature. As

As for those, that make the Apostles Impostors the Assertion is so unworthy a Man of common Sense, that it is not worth the Answering. Besides, it has been often confuted by various Arguments, as their having no Motives to pretend a Life, Miracles, Death and Resurrection, of a Founder of a Religion, which was so contrary to the Practice, and Opinions of those Times, that they cou'd promise nothing to themselves, but what they joyfully met with, that was Imprisonments, Racks, Infamy, Disgrace, and ev'n Death it self. Impostures are the Effects of vicious Aims and Designs; but nothing is more evident than that the Aim of the Apostles was highly just, say Divine, and it is unaccountably odd, that so many Men of such devilish Principles shou'd unanimously club together to publish a notorious Lye to the Belief of the World, and yet with so just, so Noble, and so Divine a Design and Doctrine, to promote the universal Happiness of Mankind by dispersing Error, restoring evident Truth, banishing Vice destructive of, and Preaching up Virtues conducive to the glorious Peace and Felicity of the World, Advancing the pure Love of God, and settling a true Knowledge of him, and mutual Love among Men, to that degree, that if their Doctrine were observed by all Men, there could be no Unhappiness, no Misery nor any thing to be shunn'd in the World. But besides these and other Arguments, which are us'd on this Head, which you may find in Bishop Parker, Dr. Bane, The Short Method with a Devil, and several others, who have writ on this Subject, the difficulty wou'd still remain, how they cou'd spread this Doctrine clogg'd with these Impostures in
 U spight

might of the general Prejudices of all Mankind
no way certainly without Miracles.

If the Kings, and Emperors of the World
had undertaken a thing of this Nature, there
might have been a Probability of their getting
some ground in Time; but that would have been
a way to have disappointed the Aim of Christi-
anity, viz. Virtue and Piety. For if the Gospel
had been propagated by Worldly Power, it would
have introduced among its Disciples Interest,
Hypocrisy, Flattery, Envy, Malice, and all the Evils
which the Gospel expressly is directed to remove
and banish from all its Followers; for these are
the Seed and Pewee of Unhappiness, and oppo-
site to the Love of God. Nor would it have been a
Manifestation of its coming from God, but have
pass'd among too many for a most Politick Instruc-
tion of Man, and therefore to be no farther ob-
served than is consistent with our mistaken Plea-
sure, or Interest. On the contrary we find this
Impossible, as they would have it, for a foot by
a few poor, unlearned, and unpolitick Men, a-
gainst the Power and the Wisdom of the World,
that is the false Notions of the Philosophers,
and the Eloquence of Orators, against that
Worldly Interest so generally spread, and so in-
gaged then in all the Jew and Pagan
World. Can any Man therefore in his Sense
suppose that this could be done without Mira-
cles, after he has thoroughly weighed what I
have said on the Head of Prejudices, or consider'd
them in his own Experience? As therefore this
Doctrine of the Apostles could not prevail, as
it did, without Miracles to the World in gene-
ral, so were Miracles necessary even to the
Disciples of Christ, and St. John Baptist, since
the Doctrine of Christ depended on his Re-

urrection, which depended on the Apostles confirming their Mission and Veracity by Miracles; as that of *St. Peter*, at the *Beautiful Gate* of the Temple, mentioned in the Acts. So that, in short, it is evident, that this Doctrine of the Apostles did prevail far and near in their very time, both in *Judea* and *Greece*, *Asia*, and *Italy*, &c. against these Prejudices; it is therefore, as certain, that this could not be done without the Assistance of Miracles, as I have often urg'd already. When these Gentlemen, that own themselves Enemies to the Gospel, can shew me one Impostor recommending the same eternal Doctrine, confirming his Mission by Miracles, as clear and undeniable matter of Fact, as agreeable to all the Marks of Miracles, and that this his Doctrine has spread over any part of the World, that is considerable, by these same means and in the same way without the Humane Helps of Power and Interest, &c. I'll give up this Argument, which seems to me, as fair a Conviction, as any Mathematical Demonstration whatever, because it cannot be deny'd without an Equal Absurdity and Contradiction to Reason and common Sense.

Your Method, (said *Christophorus*) I very much approve, since it is easie, and all contain'd in the compass of the Bible (that sacred Repository of all Necessary Truths) and daily Experience, and within the reach of common Sense.

The Holy Bible, indeed, as it is the most ancient, and most Authentick of all Books, so it is the only Book that gives us the Idea of *true Religion*, the Character of which is singular, and distinguish'd from all others by the Love of God, which is the Essence of Divine Worship, all other Religions being evidently false for want of that Mark.

The true Religion, I say, ought as its Mark of Verity, to oblige its Believers, to the Love of God, for that is nothing but the first, and highest Justice, but no Religion but the *Christian* does that. It ought besides to take Cognizance of the Passions, of our Concupiscences, and the Weakness into which Man is fallen; and how incapable he was by his own meer Force and Power to arrive at true and perfect Virtue which is inseparable from the Love of God. True Religion ought to prescribe Remedies for our Frailty, of which Prayer is one of the Chief. The *Christian* Religion only, does all this, nor does any other Religion beg of God, that he would raise us to the Love of himself.

The *Heathen* for want of this Divine Love, and a perfect Reason, which leads to it, cou'd not keep up to the Law of Nature, when they wanted the Motive of Obedience to God, His Love. But being born down, by a course of vicious Habits, to the immediate Gratification of particular Inclinations, in which if they cou'd but escape immediate Punishment, they satisfy'd themselves in the Innocence of their Actions tho' never so unjust. But what an Abundance of Corruption and Wickedness did their very Laws allow? And how much more they did securely perpetrate by the cunning Evasion of their Political Institutions, is plain to those, who read their Historians, Poets Customs and Antiquities. All which proceeded from their false Religion's not teaching them the Love of God, nor that undissolvable Knot betwixt that and the Love of our Neighbours.

To make a Religion true it ought to know our Nature, because the true Knowledge of the Nature of Man, his true Good, true

Virtue, and true Religion, are inseparable. It ought to know the Grandure and Lowliness of Man, and the Reasons of one and the other. But there is no Religion but the Christian takes notice of all these Things; no Religion but ours knows, and teaches, that Man is at once the most excellent Creature, and the most miserable; Reason exalting him to God, but Sin and an ignoble Slavery to his Passions, depressing him below a Brute, depriving him of that true Happiness, which he has by his Reason, and in Desire, and in View, and which was the Aim of his Creation.

This the *Christian Religion* does; it answers the Necessities of Humane Nature; prescribes Remedies for its Misfortunes, and Frailties; proposes in its Observation a Happiness here, and hereafter. *Here*; for if we take a view of, and consider all the Evils of this Life, nicely examine into every Particular, we shall find, that a through, and universal Observation of the Doctrines of the Gospel, will entirely remove them, which is an evident Proof, that it came from God, since it teaches Mankind a certain infallible way to that End, for which God made us, *Happiness*. And since the benefit of the Observation of the Laws of this Religion reaches only our selves, we have no Reason or just cause of Complaint of our Condemnation, for our Breach of them *hereafter*: we have the Promises of the Giver of this Law, of eternal Happiness for a true Observation of it.

The Precepts are plain, and founded on Justice and Reason, on the Nature of God, and the Nature of Man: and they relate either to this World or the next. What relates to this World is our Duty to God, to our Neighbour, and to

our selves; what relates to the next World is, the sure and certain Reward, or Punishment of our Good or Evil Observation of them. The first is compriz'd in *loving God above all things, and our Neighbour, as our selves*, which last is explain'd farther by another Precept of *doing as we would be done by*, which being so easie, and ready a Rule of Justice, that every Man has it always ready about him, nay, he is always capable of understanding it, he can plead no Ignorance of it, if he transgress it: for every Man, on any Action whatever, can easily ask himself, what he would have another do to him in the same Circumstances, and that he should do to another. Thus the whole Christian Duty of Action being so very plain and easie, the Man that forsakes it has no Plea against Punishment, for breaking that Bond of Justice, which ought to be the security, as it is the Happiness of Humane Society.

Hence follows the other Part of the *Christian Religion* which relates to the next World, and that is Rewards and Punishments. The Rewards we are assur'd of for the Observation of the Gospel, that is for studying our own Happiness, are inconceivable; to which to be sure the Punishments for our Neglect of it must bear a Proportion.

The Greatness, indeed, of the Gospel Rewards is so very considerable, that one wou'd imagine the Means of attaining them shou'd be full of Pain and Difficulty, something very hard, and almost impossible to be performed, full of Fatigue and Labour, when, indeed, all that is required by the infinite Goodness of God, is only that we wou'd consult our own Peace, Tranquillity and Happiness, and as he has lov'd us so that we should

love

love him; that we wou'd take such Measures in our Conduct by the Love of one another for his sake and Love, and to secure our Lives from Misfortunes, Distempers, or whatever might destroy, or weaken its Felicity; and further, to believe those Truths which his infinite Goodness and Truth thinks fit to reveal to us, to have such an Idea of God, as God himself, who only knows himself offers to our Belief, and for all this God promises to Translate us by Death from a bounded Happiness here, to one without Bounds hereafter.

Wou'd not it seem strange Madness in any one, to refuse so fair an Offer, whereby only himself is the Gainer? Is there any Man, that wou'd not be Happy? He only can think the Terms difficult. Is there any Man, that wou'd not be secure from all the Blows of Fortune, all the Assaults of Diseases? He only can reject the Conditions of arriving at a Place where God has prepar'd what has not enter'd into the Heart of Man to imagine. For all that Man can propose to his Desires, is narrow and bounded, is finite, that is, proportion'd to himself, but God who is Infinite, has prepar'd for us infinite Rewards.

Thus as Rewards are promis'd to our Observation of these two fundamental Precepts of *Loving God above all things, and our Neighbour as our selves*, so is there threaten'd as severe Punishments for our Breach of them hence it follows, that this Punishment is as sure on one hand, as the Rewards are on the other. Nay we cannot suppose the Breach of them unpunish'd, at least unpunishable, without destroying the Justice of God, unless his Mercy intervene, which always supposes a hearty and true Repentance, which cannot possibly be without

Restitution, where there is Injustice and Injury in the Offence, and the greatest Man for Worldly Power, Wealth, and the like, must own himself a Fool to contend it, since the Existence of God we have seen is self evident, and his Will is so too; so that to suppose he can contradict his own Nature, and not punish those that transgress his known and declar'd Will, and Affront it, is the highest of Folly; and to pretend to Repentance without Restitution, when there is an Ability of Restoring, is contrary to common Sense, and the very Nature of the thing; for how can that be a true Repentance, which leaves you in Possession of the Injustice: So that the compounding Oppression, Extortion, Usury, and the like with Heav'n, by building Hospitals, &c. is to banter our selves out of Salvation, with a foolish Hypocrisie, which only heightens the Guilt.

This being therefore a short View of the Christian Religion, which answers all the Ends of Religion, and entirely fulfills the Desires and Necessities of Man, to a dis-interess'd Mind it must prove it self. But since there are Men who will not be satisfy'd with that, I will add some others which have been urg'd, but never answer'd. First, that of the Author of a *Short Method with the Devils*.

It is plain from the New and Old Testament, that the whole stress of the Difficulty depends on the Relation's being Matter of Fact, which being granted, none but a Mad-man wou'd refuse his Belief of the Doctrine so recommended by Miracles, and so Justify'd by Reason. He therefore lays down four Rules, to know unquestionable Matter of Fact by, which meeting in any Particular cannot be false.

Restit.

□

1. That

1. That the Matter of Fact be such, that Mens Senses may be judges of it; that is, that it may either be seen, heard, &c. according to the Nature and Circumstance of the Object. 2. That it be done publickly, and in the Face of the World. 3. That not only publick Monuments be kept up in Memory of it, but some outward Actions to be perform'd. 4. That such Monuments and such Actions or Observances be Instituted, and do commence from the Time when the Matter of Fact was done.

If you take all the Matter of Fact which relates to Faith and Religion in the whole Scripture, you'll find it answer those Marks, but these Marks will meet only in that Matter of Fact which is true: Ergo. Tho' some may meet in some, yet all can never meet but in a true Matter of Fact, nay, Invention cannot contrive any. Thus the Passover of the Jews was Instituted by Moses in Commemoration of the Death of the First Born of Egypt, and the same Day all the First born of Israel were by a perpetual Law dedicated to God. Such Solemn Customs that spread throughout the whole People, and made a part of their Religion, were too publick, too notorious to be impos'd on the People in general, as Institutions on Account of such a Matter of Fact, which they all saw, unless that Matter of Fact were real and visible in their first Institution.

Thus the Institution of the Priesthood of Levi, and of Christ, of the Sabbath, and the Sunday in Memory of the Resurrection, which being the Confirmation and Foundation of all the Gospel, was from the very time transmitted by the change of the Sabbath to all Posterity. The Passover, and Easter, the Circum-

eiffon and Baptism, the Lords's Supper and the like, are related in the Scripture, as descending all the way down from their Institution, without any Interruption, and which could have had no beginning but from the matters of Fact them selves.

But this Argument is so admirably handled by the Author, that I refer you to him in his little, but valuable Book before mention'd call'd, *a short Method with a Deist*. Join this Argument thoroughly weigh'd with what *Christophil* has said, and they amount to an Impossibility of Falshood, and a Demonstration, which requires no long time, no Books but the Scripture, nor any Learning, or Skill in Tongues, Fathers, and the numerous Volumes, which have been Justly quoted by Learned Men on this Account: and he that will not bear Reason, let him not pretend to be a Man. And he that prefers the following doubtful Suppositions, and Physical Deductions, obscure and unconcluding, may boast among the Ignorant the name of a Philosopher, but must be look'd on by Men of Understanding as a Fool, when at the same time he refuses to submit to a rational Conviction.

Thus I hope *Philatethes* (pursued *Christophil*) that we have satisfi'd you in the Verity of the Christian Religion in general, that is as to its Moral and uncontroversed Part, that is, in the Love of God, and of our Neighbour. It remains therefore to consider the other, which is Faith, as in *St. John's Gospel*, cap. 17. *This is Life eternal, that they might know thee, the only true God, and Jesus Christ, whom thou hast sent: That he is the true Messiah, the Son of God, as in Peter's Confession, and St. Paul's Epistles, and all those other points,*
which

which are plainly propos'd, and evidently contain'd in the holy Scriptures, without any Human and partial Mixtures, all holy Mysteries of God, reveal'd by our Saviour and his Apostles.

A just and a through Consideration of which wou'd take up much more Time, and Words, than I have spent in bringing you thus far, they having been the Subject of thousands of Volumes, and the Hereditary Dispute of 1700 years. I shall therefore defer what I have to say on these Particulars till we meet again. I shall only in the mean while tell you plainly, that no Man is oblig'd to believe any thing, but what is visibly and clearly contain'd in the holy Bible; for that is the Protestant and Catholick Rule of *Faith*, as well as of Works; And whatever Mysteries you find, on an Assiduous, diligent, just, impartial, and humble Perusal of it, you have equal Reason to believe, with what I have prov'd, because they are built on the same Miracles, and the same eternal Truth, which cannot deceive nor be deceiv'd, and are not propos'd to our Belief, as meer useless Sepeculations, but as exercising our Faith, to fix us on the Contemplation of God, and his great Works, and the Goodness, and Mercy of his Nature, and to draw our Attention so far to his Commands, that we forget not him, as our Fore fathers did, because they had not continually those Memento's of the Deity deliver'd to them by his Authority, in so plain and evident a Manner, as to give them an immediate View of his *Will* without *Reasoning*; which being by Time and prevailing Passions confounded, lost its way to God in the Darkness, and Labyrinth of a mistaken Self-Love,

sprung

spring from the Prevalence of meer Corporeal Impressions, which gave them no Thoughts but for this Life, and those not extended to all, but purely confin'd to themselves.

Read therefore the Scriptures frequently, as the Noble *Bereans* did, and you will find no Difficulty in this Matter, all Truths necessary to Salvation, being here evidently contain'd; nor can you doubt what you find plainly there contain'd, because it is confirm'd by Miracles, which were true, and from God, as has been prov'd. The Existence of God and his Attributes, as I have sufficiently explain'd them, is the surest Rule of interpreting the Scriptures; for whatever you find Contradictory to these Fundamentals of All Religion, must be a wrong Interpretation, and you must give it one more adequate to Truth, that is more agreeable to God, and his Word.

I shall willingly (reply'd *Phileas*) wait for the Decision of Controversies from you, who have remov'd so many doubts already, and given me the Fundamentals of Truth and Religion satisfying my self, however in the mean time, with the Rule you have given me of finding out all, that is necessary to Salvation; Ev'n the Scriptures, and the Perfect Knowledge of God, and his Attributes. Since this Rule will likewise decide, or describe the true Church, both in its Authority and Doctrines, I shall make it my study till I see you again, and then if any Doubts remain, I shall freely impart them and desire your Assistance. I shall always remember you in my Prayers, since to you I owe the Knowledge and Consideration, that alone can make me Happy, or give me any Satisfaction. If *Pleonexus* be but as sensible

ble of his Error, as I am of mine, the Pains you have been at, will be a sufficient Reward to so good a Man.

As for me (said *Pleonexus*) I confess I had no Doubts before, but what yet remain, while Christians are Bondying against one another, Persecuting, and Murthering each other, Abusing, Defaming, and Cursing their Opposites, I must still be confounded in the Matter.

It is too sad a Truth (reply'd *Christophil*) that the Divisions of Opinions, by the Heat and Passions of the Professors of each, has given Scandal to many; but the Neglect of other Men, will never justify yours; and the ill Practice of a few in Points of Controversie, will be but a lame Excuse, for your neglecting what no Body disputes, *The Love of God, and of your Neighbour.*

Well, well (reply'd *Pleonexus*) I will consider what you say; but if we stay longer here, it will be dark, and then we may come to damage of either Purse or Body, both which prudent Men shou'd take care of.

They took leave of good *Christophorus*, and return'd safe to the House of *Christophil*, whence *Pleonexus* departed the next Day, on pretended earnest Business, least he shou'd be oblig'd to repeat a Conversation so little agreeable to him. And *Christophil* having no other Affair to detain him there any longer, return'd with them both to London, where we will leave them till our next Part.

Uno, Vero, Bono Laus Aeterna.

FINIS.

(100)
**Books Printed for, and Sold by Geo:
Strahan, at the Golden-Ball, over-
against the Royal-Exchange, in
Cornhill.**

L'Estrange's Fables.

Severa's Morals.

Tully's Offices.

Waller's Cosmography; last Edition.

Dryden's Plays; Folio, or Quarto.

A Discourse of the Divine Right of Tythes.

Defence of the Snake in the Grass.

An Answer to the Switch for the Snake.

Five Discourses on Episcopacy, Water-Bap-

tism

A Short Method with the Devils, &c.

On Marriages in different Communions.

These by the Author of the Snake in the Grass.

Colliers Essays, View of the Stage, 1st and

2^d Defence thereof.

Letters French and English: By Mr. Boyer,

Mr. Savage, and Mr. T. Brown.

Scott's Sermons on the Wisdom of God, &c.

Excerpts from Ecclesiastical History

Degg's Parsons Councillor.

The Turkish Spy. 8 Volumes.

Mr. Dennis's Grounds of Criticism in Poe-

try.

A Description of Formosa by Mr. George Psal-

manazer, a Native of the said Island.

Ladies Visiting Day.

Don Sebastian.

Love for Money.

Love Betray'd.

 **With most other Plays.**

*A Letter from the author of the Short
Method with the Deists and Jews.*

SI R, I have Read over your Papers with great Satisfaction: And I heartily bless God, with you, and for you. That he has had Mercy upon you, and open'd your Eyes, to see the Wondrous things of his Law to Convince you of those Irrefragable Prooves, He has afforded for the Truth, and Authority of the *Holy Scriptures*. Such is no other Writing upon Earth can pretend to. And which are Incompatible with any *Forgery* or *Deceit*. He has given you likewise that *True Spirit* of *Repentance*, to bring forth the Fruits thereof; that is to make what *Salvation* you can for the Injuries you have done to Religion, by Answering what has been Publish'd formerly by your self against it; and being *Converted*, you endeavour to *Strengthen* your Brethren.

I. Creation.

You have laid the true Foundation of the Being of God, against the *Atheist*. Of his *Creation* of the *World*, and *Providence*, against the *Asserters* of *Blind Chance*. If all be *Chance*, then their *Thoughts* are so too, and there is no *Reasoning* or *Argument* in the *World*.

Others, because they know not what to say, Suppose the *World*, and all Things in it to have been from *Eternity*, and to have gone on as now, in a *Constant Succession*, of *Men* begetting *Men*, *Trees* Springing from *Trees* &c. without any *Beginning*.

But if it was Always as it is Now, then every thing had a *Beginning*, Every *Man*, *Bird*, *Beast*,
Tree

Tree &c. And what has a *Beginning*, cannot be without a *Beginning*.

Therefore, as it is Evident, That Nothing can Make it self: it is equally Evident, that a *Succession* of things *Made*, must have a *Beginning*. A *Succession* of *Beginnings* cannot be without a *Beginning*; For that wou'd be Literally a *Beginning* without a *Beginning*, which is a *Contradiction in Terms*.

II. Providence.

And to deny *Providence* in the first Cause, is the Denying of a God. Whence had we our *Providence*? For we find we have a *Providence* to *Forecast* and *Contriv* how to *Preserve* and *Govern* that which we *Make*, or *Acquire*. Therefore there must be a *Providence*, much more *Eminently* in God, to *Preserve* and *Govern* all the *Works* which He has *Made*: He that made the *Eye*, does he not *See*? And He who put *Providence* into the Heart of *Man*, has He None Himself?

And the *Glory* of his *Wisdom* and *Power* seems Greater to Us, in the *Acts* of His *Providence*, than even in those of *Creation*. Especially in His *Governing* the *Actions* of *Free-Agents*, without taking from them the *Freedom* of their *Will*, to *Do* as they *List*. And turning their very *Evil* into *Good*, by the *Almightiness* of His *Wisdom*. We see great *Parts* of this Every *Day*, before our *Eyes*, in His turning the *Counsels* of the *Wise* into *Foolishness*, and *Trapping* the *Wicked* in the *Works* of their own *Hands*. This strikes Us more *Sensibly*, and is *Nearer* to Us

Us, than the Making of a *Tree* or a *Star*.
And we *Feel* that over-Ruling *Power* in His
Providence, which we *Contemplate* in His
Creation.

When the *Sins* of *Men* are Encreas'd,
to Provoke God to take *Vengeance*, He
permits the *Spirit* of *Fury*, to Incline their
Wills to *War* and Destruction of Each o-
ther, and *Nation* rises up against *Nation*.
And when in his *Mercy* He thinks the
Punishment is sufficient, He *Calms* their
Rage, like the *Roaring* of the *Sea*, and ther
is *Peace*. And they are so *Free Agents* in all
this, That they think it is all their own
Doing. And so Really it is, tho' Un-
der the Un-seen *Direction* of a Superior
Power.

But not only in the *Publick* Transactions
of the *World*, His *Providence* is Observa-
ble. There is no *Man*, who has taken no-
tice of his own *Life*, but must find it as to
his very *Privat* Affairs, A *Thought* some-
times *Darting* into his *Mind*, to Rid him
out of a *Difficulty*, or shew him an *Ad-
vantage*, which he cou'd not find in much
Considering before. At other times, a
Man's Mind is so *Clouded*, as if his *Eyes*
were shut, that he cannot *See* his *Way*.
Again, several *Events* which he thought
most *Funest*, and his utter *Ruin*, he finds
afterwards to be much for the *Best*, and
that

that he had been *Undone*, if that had not happen'd which he *Fear'd*. On the other hand, many things which he thought, for his great *Benefit*, he has found to be for his *Hurt*. This shews a *Providence*, which *Sees* further than we can, and *Disposes* all our *Actions*, tho' done in the full *Freedom* of our own *Will*, to what *Events*, either *Good* or *Bad* for Us, as He pleases.

III. *Revelation.*

But these Considerations from the *Creation* and *Providence*, tho' *Admirable* and *Glorious*, are within the *Oracles* of *Reason*; and are but *Earthly Things*, in Comparison of those *Heavenly Things*, which God has *Reveal'd* to Man, at *Sundry-times*, and in *Divers Manners*, and are *Recorded* in the *Holy Scriptures*; and which otherwise it was impossible for Man to have known: For what man is he that can know the Council of God? Or who can think ^{with} IX. 13 what the Will of the Lord is? For the ^{14, 15} Thoughts of mortal men are misera- ^{16.} ble, and our Devices are but uncertain: for the *Corruptible Body* presseth down the *Soul*, and the *Earthly Tabernacle* weigheth down the *Mind* that museth upon many things; and hardly do we guess aright at things that are upon *Earth*, and with labour do we find the things that are before Us: But the Things that are in *Heaven*, who hath searched out? This

This then must be purely the Subject of *Revelation*; but when the *Deist* is come thus far, he is enter'd into a wide Field: For all Religions, *Jewish, Heathen, Christian, and Mahometan*, pretend to *Revelation* for their *Original*.

To clear this Point was the Design of the *Short Method with the Deists*, which gave the first Opportunity to our Conversation.

The *Heathen* and *Mahometan* Religions, not only want those *Marks* (there set down) which *Ascertain* the Truth of *Fact*; But their *Morals* and *Worship* are Impure, and Inconsistent with the *Attributes* of *God*; as the Indulgence of *Fornication*, and *Uncleanness* among the *Heathen*, and their *Humane-Sacrifices* (most Abhorrent to the *God* of *Holiness* and *Mercy*) and the filthy *Obscenity* of their very *Sacra*; besides the great defect of their *Morals*, which knew no such thing as *Humility*, *Forgiveness* of *Injuries*, *Loving* their *Enemies*, and *Returning Good for Evil*: Some of their *Philosophers* spoke against *Revenging* of *Injuries*, as bringing greater *Injury* to our selves, or not worth the while; but not upon the account of *Humanity* and *Love* to our *Brethren*, and doing them *Good*, tho' they did *Evil* to Us; and by the word *Humilitas*, they meant only a *Lowness* and *Djection* of *Mind*, which is a *Vice*; but they had no

Notion of it as a *Vertue*, in having a *Low* Opinion of *one's self*, and in *Honour* Preferring others before us. This they thought a *Vice*, and *Abjection* of *Spirit*. You may see *Pride* and *Self-conceit* run through all their *Philosophy*: Besides their *Principle* of Encreasing their *Empire*, by *Conquering* other *Countries*, who did them no Harm, whom they call'd *Barbarians*.

Into this Class comes likewise the *Sensual* *PARADICE* propos'd by *Mahomet*, and his *Principle* of Propogating his *Religion* by the *Sword*.

The *Jewish* Religion has all the *Certainty* of *Fact*, and its *Morals* are *Good*. But, because of the *Hardness* of their *Hearts*, they came not up to the *Primitive Purity*: as in case of *Polygamy* and *Divorce*, wherein our *Blessed Saviour* reduces them to the *Original*, That from the *Beginning* it was not so. And in several other Cases, mention'd in His *Sermon* upon the *Mount*.

Therefore the Perfection of *Morals*, and of the true *Knowledge* of *God*, was reserv'd for the *Christian* Religion, which has, in more *Abundant* manner than even the *Jewish*, the infallible *Marks* of the *Truth* of the *Facts*, in the *Multitude* and *Notoriety* of the *Miracles* wrought by our *Blessed Saviour*, beyond those of *Moses*. Which

fully

fully answers the Objection of the Jews; that *Christ* wrought his *Miracles* by *Beelzebub* : For then, as he said to them, *By whom do your Children cast out Devils?* Was it by the *Spirit of God*, or *Beelzebub*, that *Moses* and the *Prophets* wrought their *Miracles*?

Then from the *Purity* and *Heavenliness* of His *Doctrine*, all Levell'd to destroy the *Kingdom of Satan*, those wicked *PRINCIPLES*, and *Idolatrous W O R S H I P* which he had set up in the *V World* ; the other *Answer* of our *Blessed Saviour* concludes *Demonstratively*, of a *Kingdom divided against it self*, That if *Satan* cast out *Satan*, to promote that *Doctrine* which *Christ* taught, we must alter our *Notion* of the *Devil*, and suppose him to be *Good*; and his *Kingdom* must then be at an *End* ; which we see not yet done, for *Wickedness* still *Reigns* in the *World*.

IV. Object. *As to the Holy Trinity.*

Against these things *Reason* has nothing to Object ; but then *Prejudices* are rais'd up against what is *Reveal'd*, as being of things that are above our *Reason*, and out of its *Reach* ; as chiefly the *Doctrine* of the *Blessed Trinity*.

In Answer to which, we may consider, that if such things were not Above our
Reason,

Reason, there needed no *Revelation* of them. But only a bare *Proposal* of them to our *Reason*, made by any Body, without any *Authority*; and their own *Evidence* wou'd carry them through.

In the next place, we must acknowledge that ther are many things in the *Divine Nature* far out of the Reach of our *Reason*. That it must be so; For how can *Finite* comprehend *Infinite*? V Who can *think* what *Eternity* is? A *Duration* without *Beginning*, or *Succession* of *Parts* or *Time*! Who can so much as *Imagine* or *Frame* any *Idea* of a *Being* neither made by it self, nor by any other! of *Omnipresence*! of a *Boundless Immensity*, &c.

Yet all this *Reason* obliges us to Allow, as the Necessary Consequences of a *First Cause*.

And where any thing is *Establish'd* upon the full Proof of *Reason*, there 10000 *Objections* or *Difficulties*, tho' we cannot *Answer* them, are of no Force at all to overthrow it. Nothing can do that, but to *Refute* those *Reasons* upon which it is *Establish'd*; till when, the *Truth* and *Certainty* of the *Thing* remains *Unshaken*, tho' we cannot *Explain* it, nor solve the *Difficulties* that arise from it.

And if it is so, upon the Point of *Reason*, much more upon that of *Revelation*, where the Subject matter is *Above* our *Reason*, and cou'd

could never have been found out by it.

All to be done in that Case, is, to satisfy our selves of the *Truth* of the *Fact*, that such things were *Reveal'd* of God, and are no *Imposition*. This is done, as to the *Holy Scriptures*, by the *four Marks* before-mention'd.

And as to the *Contradiction* alledged in *Three* being *One*, it is no *Contradiction*, unless it be said, that *Three* are *One*, in the self same *Respect*: For in divers *Respects*, ther is no sort of Difficulty, that *One* may be *Three*, or *Three Thousand*; as *One Army* may consist of many *Thousands*, and yet it is but *One Army*: There is but *One Humane Nature*, and yet ther are *Multitudes* of *Persons* who partake of that *Nature*.

Now it is not said, that the three *Persons* in the *Divine Nature*, are one *Person*; that wou'd be a *Contradiction*: But it is said, that the three *Persons* are one *Nature*. They are not *Three* and *One*, in the same *Respect*; they are *Three* as to *Persons*, and *One* as to *Nature*. Here is no *Contradiction*.

Again, that may be a *Contradiction* in one *Nature*, which is not so in another: for Example, it is a *Contradiction*, that a

Man can go 2 *Tards* or *Miles*, as soon as *One*, because *Two* is but *One*, and another *One*: Yet this is no *Contradiction* to *Sight*, which can reach a *Star* as soon as the top of the *Chimney*, and the *Sun* darts his *Rays* in one *Instant* from *Heaven* to *Earth*: But more than all these is the motion of *Thought*, to which no *Distance* of *Place* is any *Interruption*, which can arrive at *Japan* as soon as at a *Tard's* distance; and can run into the *Immensy* of *Possibilities*.

Now there are no *Words* possible, whereby to give any *Notion* or *Idea* of *Sight* or *Light* to a Man born *Blind*: And Consequently to *Reconcile* the *Progress* of *Sight* or *Light* to him from being an absolute *Contradiction*; because he can measure it no otherwise than according to the *Motion* of *Legs* or *Arms*, for he knows none other: Therefore we cannot *Charge* that as a *Contradiction* in one *Nature*, which is so in another, unless we understand both *Natures* perfectly well: And therefore we cannot charge that as a *Contradiction* in the *Incomprehensible* Nature of being *Three* and one, tho' we found it to be so in our *Nature*; which we do not, because, as before said, they are not *Three* and *One* in the same *Respect*.

Now let us consider further, that tho' there is no *Comparison* betwixt *Finite* and

In-Finite, yet we have nearer *Resemblances* of the *Three* and *One* in *God*, than ther is of *Sight* to a *Man Born Blind*: For ther is nothing in any of the other four *Senses* that has any *Resemblance* at all to that of *Seeing*, or that can give such a *Man* any *Notion* whatever of it.

But we find in our own *Nature*, which is said to be made after the *Image* of *God*, a very near *Resemblance* of *His Holy Trinity*, and of the different *Operations* of each of the *Divine Persons*.

For example, to *Know* a thing *Present*, and to *Remember* what is *Past*, and to *Love* or *Hate*, are different *Operations* of our *Mind*, and perform'd by different *Faculties* of it. Of these the *Understanding* is the *Father* Faculty, and gives *Being* to things, as to *Us*; for what we *Know* not, is to *Us* as if it *were* not. This Answers to *Creation*. From this *Faculty* proceeds the *Second*, that of *Memory*, which is a *Preserving* of what the *Understanding* has *Created* to *Us*. Then the *Third* Faculty that of the *Will*, which *Loves* or *Hates*, proceeds from *Both* the *Other*, for we cannot *Love* or *Hate* what is not first *Created* by the *Understanding*, and *Preserv'd* to *Us* by the *Memory*.

And tho' these are different *Faculties*, and their *Operations* Different; that the
Second

Second Proceeds from the *First*, or is *Begotten* by it; and the *Third* Proceeds from the *First* and *Second* in Conjunction, so that one is *Before* the other, in Order of *Nature*, yet not in *Time*; for they are all *Con-genial*, and one is as soon in the *Soul* as the other; and yet they make not *Three Souls*, but *One Soul*: And tho' their *Operations* are *Different*, and the one *Proceeds* from the other, yet no *One* can *Act* without the *Other*, and they all *Concur* to every *Act* of *Each*; for in *Understanding* and *Remembering* there is a *Concurrent Act* of the *Will*, to *Consent* to such *Understanding*, or *Remembering*; so that no *One* can *Act* without the *Other*; in which Sense, none is *Before* or *After* the other, nor can any of them *Be* or *Exist* without the other.

But what we call *Faculties* in the *Soul*, we call *Persons* in the *Godhead*: Because there are *Personal* Actions attributed to *Each* of them. As that of *Sending*, and being *Sent*, to take *Flesh*, and be *Born*, &c.

And we have no other *Word*, whereby to Express it. We speak it after the Manner of Men; nor cou'd we Understand, if we heard any of those *Un-speakable words*, which Express the *Divine Nature* in its proper *Essence*; therefore we must make
Allow-

Allowances, and *Great* ones, when we apply *Words* of our *Nature*, to the *Infinite* and *Eternal Being*. We must not Argue *Strictly* and *Philosophically* from them, more than from *God's* being said to *Repent*, to be *Angry*, &c. They are *Words*, *ad Caput*, in *Condescension* to our weak *Capacities*; and without which, we cou'd not Understand.

But this, I say, That there are nearer *Resemblances* afforded to Us, of this Ineffable *Mystery* of the *Holy Trinity*, than ther is betwixt one of our outward *Senses* and another; than ther is to a *Blind Man* of *Colours*, or of the *Motion* of *Light* or *Sight*: And a *Contradiction* in the one, will not Infer a *Contradiction* in the other; tho' it is impossible to be Solv'd, as in the Instance before given of a *Man Born Blind*, till we come to *Know* both *Natures* *Distinctly*.

And if we had not the *Experience* of the *Different Faculties* of our *Mind*, the *Contradiction* wou'd Appear *Ir-Reconcilable* to all our *Philosophy*, how *Three* cou'd be *One*, each *Distinct* from the other, yet but one *Soul*: One *Proceeding* from, or being *Begot* by the Other; and yet all *Co-Eval*, and none *Before* or *After* the other: And as to the *Difference* betwixt *Faculties* and *Persons*,

sons, Substance and Subsistence, it is a *Puzzling* piece of *Philosophy*: And tho' we give not a *Distinct Subsistence* to a *Faculty*, it has an *Existence*, and one *Faculty* can no more be *Another*, than one *Person* can be *Another*. So that the *Case* seems to be alike in *Both*, as to what concerns our present *Difficulty* of *Three and One*: besides what before is said, That by the Word *Person*, when apply'd to *God*, (for want of a *Proper VVord*, whereby to Express it) we must mean something *Infinitely* different from *Personality* among *Men*. And therefore from a *Contradiction* in the one (Suppose it granted) we cannot Charge a *Contradiction* in the other, unless we *Understand* it as well as the other: for how else can we draw the *Parallel*?

VVhat a *Vain* thing is our *Philosophy*, when we wou'd *Measure* the *Incomprehensible Nature* by it! when we find it *Non-plust* in our own *Nature*, and that in many *Instances*, If I am *All* in one *Room*, is it not a *Contradiction* that any *Part* of Me shou'd be in another *Room*? yet it was a common saying among *Philosophers*, that the *Soul* is *All* in *All*, and *All* in every *Part* of the *Body*: How is the same *Individual Soul* Present, at one and the same *Time*, to *Actuate* the *Distant Members* of the *Body*, with.

without either *Multiplication* or *Division* of the *Soul*? Is ther any thing in *Body* can bear any *Resemblance* to this, without a manifest *Contradiction*? Nay, even as to *Bodies*, is any thing more a *Self-Evident Principle*, than that the *Cause* must be before the *Effect*? Yet the *Light* and *Heat* of the *Sun* are as *Old* as the *Sun*: and supposing the *Sun* to be *Eternal*, they wou'd be as *Eternal*.

And as *Light* and *Heat* are of the *Nature* of the *Sun*, and as the *Three Faculties* before mentioned are of the *Nature* of the *Soul*, so that the *Soul* cou'd not be a *Soul* if it wanted any of them; so may we, from *small* things to *Great*, Apprehend without any *Contradiction*, that the *Three Persons* are of the very *Nature* and *Essence* of the *Deity*; and so of the same *Substance* with it; and tho' one *Proceeding* from the other, (as the *Faculties* of the *Soul* do) yet that all *Three* are *Con-substantial*, *Co-Eternal*, and of *Necessary* Existence as *God* is; For that these *Three* are *God*; and *God* is these *Three*. As *Understanding*, *Memory*, and *VWill* are a *Soul*; and a *Soul* is *Understanding*, *Memory*, and *VWill*.

I intend (*God* wiling) to Treat of this Subject more Largely by it self. But I have said thus much here, to Clear the

the way from that *Objection* of Rejecting *Revelation*, (tho' we are *Infallibly* sure of the *Fact*) because of the suppos'd *Contradiction* to our *Reason*, in comparing it with our *Earthly* Things.

V. Of the Differences among Christians.

But now that, from all the Proofs of the *Certainty* of the *Revelation*, we are come to *Fix* in *Christianity*, our Labour is not yet at an End: For Here you see *Multiplicity* of *Sects* and *Divisions*, which our *Blessed Saviour* foretold shou'd come, for the Probation of the *Elect*. As some *Canaanites* were left in the Land, to teach the *Israelites* the use of *War*, lest by too Profound a *Peace* they might grow *Lazy* and *Stupid*, and become an easie *Prey* to their *Enemies*. So might *Christianity* be lost among Us, if we had nothing to do, it wou'd *Dwindle* and *Decay*, and *Corrupt* by Degrees, as *Water* Stagnates by standing still: But when we are put to *Contend Earnestly* for the Faith, it Quickens our *Zeal*, keeps Us upon our *Guard*, Trims our *Lamp*, and *Fourbishes* the *Sword* of the *Spirit*, which might otherwise *Rust* in its *Scabbard*. And it gives great Opportunity to shew Us the Wonder-
ful

ful Providence and Protection of GOD over his Church, in Preserving Her against a visibly unequal Force. And in this Contest, to some this High Privilege is Granted, in the behalf of Christ, Phil. 1. 29. not only to believe on Him, but also to Suffer for His Sake. These go to make up the Noble Army of Martyrs and Confessors, for ever Triumphant in Heaven. Others Conquer even here on Earth, that God's Wonderful Doings may be known to the Children of Men.

But as he who Builds a Tower ought first to compute the Expence, and he who goes to War, to consider his Strength: So our Blessed Saviour has Instructed Us, That he who will be his Disciple, must resolve beforehand to take up his Cross Daily, to forsake Father and Mother, and Wife and Children, and Lands, and Life it self, when he cannot keep them with the Truth and Sincerity of the Gospel. Therefore we must put on the whole Armour of God, that we may be able to stand in the Evil Day, and having overcome all, to stand: For we wrestle not against Flesh and Blood, but against Principalities, against Powers; against the Rulers of the Darkness of this World, against Wicked Spirits in High Places.

And

And what is it we *Wrestle* for? For the *Great Mystery of Godliness*, God manifest in the *Flesh*, &c.

VI. *The Doctrine of Satisfaction.*

Here is the *Foundation* of the *Christian Religion*; That when *Man* had *Sinned*, and was utterly unable to make any *Satisfaction* for his *Sin*, God sent His own *Son* to take upon Him our *Flesh*, and, in the same *Nature* that *Offended*, to make full *Satisfaction* for the *Sins* of the whole *World*, by His *Perfect Obedience*, and the *Sacrifice* of Himself upon the *Cross*.

Some say, what need any *Satisfaction*? Might not *God* *Forgive* without it? It wou'd shew greater *Mercy*. But these *Men* Consider not, that *God* is not only *Just*, but He is *Justice* it self, *Justice* in the *Abstract*, he is *Essential Justice*. And *Justice*, by its *Nature*, must exact to the utmost *Farthing*; else it were not *Justice*: To *Remit* is *Mercy*, it is not *Justice*: And the *Attributes* of *God*, must not *Fight* and *Oppose* each other; they must all stand *Infinite* and *Compleat*. You may say then, how can *God* *Forgive* at all? How can *Infinite Mercy* and *Justice* stand together?

This.

This Question cou'd never have been Answer'd, if *God* Himself had not shew'd it to us, in the Wonderful *Oeconomy* of our *Redemption*: For here is His *Justice* satisfy'd to the least *Jota*, by the perfect *Obedience* and *Passion* of *Christ*, (who is *God*) in the same *Humane Nature* that *Offended*. Here is Infinite *Wisdom* express'd in this *Means* found out for our *Salvation*. And Infinite *Mercy* in Affording it to us. Thus all His *Attributes* are Satisfy'd, and Fill'd up to the *Brim*. They *Contradict* not, but *Exalt* each other. His *Mercy* Exalts and Magnifies His *Justice*: His *Justice* Exalts his *Mercy*, and both His Infinite *Wisdom*.

Here is a view of *God*, beyond what all the *Oracles* of *Reason* cou'd ever have found out, from His *Works* of *Creation*, or *Common Providence*! These shew His *Works*, but this His *Nature*, it is *Himself*! The very *Face* of *God*! Before which the *Angels* veil their *Faces*, and *Desire* to *Look* into this *Abyss* of *Goodness*, and *Power*, and *Wisdom*, which they will never be able to *Fathom*, but still *Feed* upon, and *Search* farther and farther into it, with *Adoration*, to *Eternity*! And they *Worship* our *Manhood* thus taken in-

to God! And Rejoyce to be *Ministring Spirits* to Us, while upon *Earth*.

This you and I have talk'd over at Large: And this I gave you as the *Sum* and *Substance*, the *Alpha* and *Omega* of the *Christian Religion*. And now I Repeat it as the surest *Criterion* to guid a Man, in the *Difficulty* before Us, that is, in the choice of a *Church*, in the midst of all that variety there is among *Christians*. Whoever hold not this *Doctrine*, joyn not with them, nor bid them *God Speed*.

VII. The Socinians.

This will save you from the *Socinians*, or the *Unitarians*, as they now call themselves in *England*, who expressly *Deny* this *Doctrine*: for they *Deny* the *Doctrine* of the *Holy Trinity*, and the *Divinity* of *Christ*, upon which it is founded. They consider *Christ* no otherwise than a meer *Man*; and propose Him only as a *Teacher* and *Good Example* to Us. But then they are *Confounded*, with all their *Pretence* to *Wisdom* and *Reason*, to give any account for His *Death*, which was not necessary to *Teaching*, or being an *Example*. That, an *Angel* or a *Prophet* might have been. Then

Then they say, That he *Died*, to Confirm the *Truth* of His *Doctrine* : But set this *Doctrine* of *Satisfaction* aside, and He Taught nothing new except the Improvement of some *Morals* : besides, *Dying* does not *Confirm* the *Truth* of any *Doctrine*. It only shews, That He who *Dies* for it, does himself *Believe* it. Some have *Dy'd* for *Errors* : And the *Socinian Doctrine* Affords no *Comfort*, no *Assurance* to Us. For if we consider *Christ* only as a *Teacher* or *Example*, we have not follow'd His *Precepts* nor *Example* : Here is nothing but matter of *Condemnation* to Us. But if we look upon Him as our *Surety*, who has paid our *Debt* as our *Sacrifice*, *Atonement*, and *Propitiation* for our *Sins*, and that we are *Saved* by His *Blood*, (which is the Language of the Holy *Scriptures*, of which the *Socinians* know no Meaning) this is a *Rock* and *Infallible Assurance*.

VIII. The Church of Rome.

As the *Socinians* have totally Rejected this *Doctrine*, so the Church of *Rome* has greatly *Vitiated* and *Depress'd* it, by their *Doctrine* of *Merit* and their own *Satisfaction*, which they make Part of their Sa-

erament of Penance. On this is Founded their *Purgatory*, wherein *Souls* who had not made full *Satisfaction* upon *Earth*, must *Compleat* it there. They *Deny* not the *Satisfaction* of *Christ*, but joyn their own with it, as if it were not *Sufficient*.

IX. *The Dissenters.*

On the other hand our *Dissenters* run to the contrary *Extream*: And because our *Good Works* must have no *Share* in the *Satisfaction* for *Sin*, which they cannot, as being *Unworthy*, and mix'd with our *Infirmities*, and our *Sin*: Therefore they make them not *Necessary*, nor of any *Effect* towards our *Salvation*. They say, that *Christ* did not *Die* for any but the *Elect*, in whom he sees no *Sin*, let them *Live* never so *Wickedly*. They *Damn* the far greatest Part of the *World*, by Ir-reversible *Decrees* of *Reprobation*, and say, That their *Good Works* are hateful to *God*; and that it is not *Possibly* in their *Power* to be *Saved*, let them *Believe* as they will, and *Live* never so *Religiously*: They take away *Free-Will* in *Man*, and make him a *Perfect Machin*. They make *God* the *Author* of *Sin*, to Create
Men

Men on purpose to *Damn* them; and to *Punish* them *Eternally* for not Doing what was not in their *Power* to Do, and for Doing what He had made *Impossible* for them not to Do. They make his *Promises* and *Threatnings* to be of no *Effect*, nay, to be a sort of *Burlesquing* and *Insulting* those whom He has made *Miserable*. Which is an *Hideous Blasphemy*!

For a Solution in this Matter, both as to *Faith* and *Works*, I Refer you to the *Homilies* of *Faith*, and *Salvation*, and of *Good Works*; where you will find the true *Christian Doctrine* set forth *clearly*, and *solidly*.

I will not Anticipat what you Design for your *Second Part*, by entering into other *Disputes* there are among *Christians*, only this will be Exceeding Necessary, to settle well the Notion of the *Church* of *Christ*, to which all do Pretend, in various *Manners*.

X. *The true Notion of the Church.*

First therefore, the *Church* must be consider'd not only as a *Seet*, that is, a *Company* of People *Believing* such and such *Tenets*, like the several *Seets* of the
Heathen

Heathen Philosophers: But as a *Society* under *Government*, with *Governours* Appointed by *Christ*, invested with such *Powers* and *Authority*, to *Admit* into, and *Exclude* out of the *Society*, and *Govern* the *Affairs* of the *Body*.

This *Power* was *Delegated* by *Christ*, to His *Apostles*, and their *Successors*, to the end of the *World*: Accordingly the *Apostles* did *Ordain Bishops* in all the *Churches* which they *Planted* throughout the whole *World*, as the *Supreme Governours*, and *Centre* of *Unity*, each in his own *Church*. These were oblig'd to keep *Unity* and *Communion* with one another. Which is therefore call'd *Catholick Communion*. And all these *Churches* consider'd together, is the *Catholick Church*: As the several *Nations* of the *Earth* are call'd the *World*.

XI. Of an Universal Bishop.

And *Christ* Appointed no *Universal Bishop* over His *Church*, more than an *Universal Monarch* over the *World*. No such thing was known in the *Primitive Church*, till it was set up first by *John*, Bishop of *Constantinople*, then by the Bishop of *Rome*, in the *Seventh Century*. And as the

the whole *World* is *One Kingdom* to *God*, at it is Written, *His Kingdom Ruleth over All*, so the several *Churches* of the *World* are *One Church* to *Christ*. And the *Church* of *Rome's* saying that She is that *One Church*, or shew Us another, which can Dispute it with Us, in *Universality*, *Antiquity*, &c. Is the same as if *France* (for example) should say, Who can compare with Me? Therefore I am the *Universal* or *Monarch*, shew me another: The thing Appears *Ridiculous* at the first Proposal. For it must be said to *Rome*, or to *France*, That if you were ten times greater than you are, you are yet but a *Part* of the *Whole*. And to say, who else Pretends to it? Why none. And it would be Non-sense in any who did Pretend to it. One *Part* may be *Bigger* than another: But one *Part* can never be the *Whole*. And all Results in this, whether *Christ* did appoint an *Universal Bishop* over all the *Churches* in the *World*? And we are willing to leave the *Issue* to that, if it can Appear either from *Scripture* or *Antiquity*. Besides the *Reason* of the thing: For as *Gregory the Great* Urg'd against *John of Constantinople*, if there was an *Universal Bishop*, the *Universal Church* must Fall, if that one *Universal*

Bishop Fell. And so all must come to Centre in One, Poor, Fallible, Mortal Man.

This oblig'd the Pope to run into another monstrous Extream, and set up for Infallibility in his own Person, as the only Successor of St. Peter, and Heir of those Promises made to Him, *super hanc Petram*, &c. This was the Current Doctrine of the Divines in the Church of Rome, in former Ages, as you may see in BELLARMIN, *de Rom. Pontif. l. 4. c. 5.* Where he carries this so high, as to Assert, That if the Pope did Command the Practice of Vice, and Forbid Vertue, the Church were Bound to Believe that Vertue was Vice, and that Vice was Vertue. And in his Preface he calls this Absolute Supremacy of the Pope, the *Summa rei Christiana*, the Sum and Foundation of the Christian Religion. And that to Deny it, was not only a Simple Error, but a Pernicious Heresie.

This was Old Popery. But now it is generally Decry'd by the Papists themselves, yet no Pope has been brought to Renounce it. They will not Quit Claim.

When they departed from the Infallibility of the Pope, they sought to place it in their General Councils. But these
are

are not always in Being ; and so their *Infallibility* must Drop for several *Ages* together : Which will not consist with their *Argument*, That *God* is oblig'd by His *Goodness*, to Afford always an outward and *Living Judge* and *Guide* to His *Church*. Besides, that Instances are found where those *Councils* they call *General* have contradicted one another.

For which Reasons, others of them place the *Infallibility* in the *Church Dissolve* : But this upon their *Scheme*, is *Indefinite*, and the *Judge* of *Controversie* must be sought among numberless *Individuals*, of whom no one is the *Judge* or *Guide*.

XII. Of *Infallibility* in the Church.

But there is an *Infallibility* in the *Church*, not *Personal* in any *One*, or *All* of *Christians* put together ; for *Millions* of *Fallibles*, can never make an *Infallible*. But the *Infallibility* consists in the *Nature* of the *Evidence*, which having all the *four Marks* mention'd. in *The Short Method with the Deists*, cannot possibly be *False*. As you and I believe there is such a *Town*
as

as *Constantinople*, that there was such a Man as *Henry VIII.* as much as if we had seen them with our Eyes: Not from the Credit of any *Historian* or *Traveller*, all of whom are *Fallible*; but from the Nature of the Evidence, wherein it is *Impossible* for Men to have *Conspir'd* and carry it on without *Contradiction*, if it were *False*.

Thus whatever *Doctrine* has been Taught in the Church, (according to the Rule of *Vincentius Lirinensis*) *Cempers, ubique, & ab omnibus*, is the *Christian Doctrine*; For in this case, such *Doctrine* is a *Fact*, and having the fotesaid Marks, must be a true *Fact*, viz. That such *Doctrine* was so Taught and Receiv'd.

This was the Method taken in the Council call'd at *Alexandria* against *Arius*, it was ask'd by *Alexander* the Arch-bishop who Presided, *Quis unquam talia Audivit?* who ever heard of this *Doctrine* before? And it being Answer'd by all the *Bishops* there Assembled in the Negative. It was concluded a *Novel Doctrine*, and contrary to what had been *Universally* Receiv'd in the *Christian Church*. Thus every *Doctrine* may be reduc'd to *Fact*; for it is purely

*Socrat
Hist.
l. i. c.
5. Gr.*

purely *Fact*, whether such *Doctrine* was *Receiv'd*, or not ?

And a *Council* Assembled upon such an Occasion, *stand* as *Evidences* of the *Fact*, not as *Judges* of the *Faith*; which they cannot *Alter* by their *Votes* or *Authority*.

A *Council* has *Authority* in Matters of *Discipline* in the *Church*; but in Matters of *Faith*, what is call'd their *Authority*, is their *Attestation* to the *Truth* of *Fact*: which if it has the *Marks* before mentioned, must be *Infallibly True*: Not from the *Infallibility* of Any or All of the *Persons*, but from the Nature of the *Evidence*, as before is said.

And this is the surest Rule, whereby to *Judge* of *Doctrines*, and to know what the *Catholick Church* has *Believ'd* and *Taught*, as *Received* from the *Apostles*.

And they who refuse to be *Try'd* by this *Rule*, who say, we care not what was *Believ'd* by the *Catholick Church*, either in former Ages, or now; we think our own *Interpretations* or *Criticisms* upon such a *Text*, of as great *Authority* as theirs; these are justly to be *Suspected*, nay, it is *Evident*, that they are *Brouching* some *Novel Doctrines*, which cannot stand this *Test*. Besides the monstrous *Arrogance*
in

in such a Pretence; These overthrow the *Foundation* of that *sure* and *Infallible Evidence* upon which *Christianity* it self does stand; And Reduce All. to a *Blind Enthusiasm*.

XIII. Of Episcopacy.

But further, Sir, in your Search after a *Church*, you must not only consider the *Doctrine* but the *Government*, that is, as I said before, you must consider the *Church* not only as a *Seet*, but as a *Society*: Fortho' every *Society* Founded upon the Belief of such *Tenets*, may be call'd a *Seet*, yet every *Seet* is not a *Society*. Now a *Society* cannot be without *Government*, for it is that which makes a *Society*, And a *Government* cannot be without *Governours*. The *Apostles* were Instituted by *Christ*, the first *Governours* of his *Church*, and with them and their *Successors*, He has Promis'd to be to the end of the World. The *Apostles* did Ordain *Bishops* as *Governours*, in all the *Churches* which they Planted throughout the whole World. And these *Bishops* were esteem'd the *Successors* of the *Apostles*, each in his own *Church*, from the Beginning to this Day. This was the Current Notion and Language

guage of Antiquity. *Omnes Apostolorum Successores sunt.* That all Bishops were the Successors of the Apostles. As St. Jerom speaks, *Epist. ad Evagr.* And St. Ignatius, who was Constituted by the Apostles Bishop of Antioch, salutes the Church of the Trallians, *Ἐν τῷ πληρώματι ἐν Ἀποστολικῇ χαρακτῇ.* In the Plenitude of the Apostolical Character. Thus it continu'd from the Days of the Apostles, to those of John Calvin. In all the which time there was not any one Church in the whole Christian World, that was not Episcopal. But now it is said by our Dissenters, That there is no need of Succession from the Apostles or those Bishops Instituted by them. That they can make Governours over themselves whom they list. And what signifies the Government of the Church, so the Doctrine be Pure? But this totally Dissolves the Church as a Society. The Government of which consists in the Right and Title of the Governour. And as the Apostle says, *No Man taketh this Honour to himself, but he that is called of God, as* ^{Heb. 5.} ^{4.} *was Aaron.* And the Dispute betwixt him and Korah was not as to any Point either of Doctrine or Worship, but meerly upon that of Church-Government.

And

And St. Jude, ver. 11. brings down the same Case to that of the *Christian Church*. And Reason Carrys it as to All *Societies*. They who will not Obey the Lawfull Governour, but set up Another in opposition to him, are no longer of the *Society*, but *Enemies* to it; And Justly Forfeit all the *Rights* and *Privileges* of it.

Now Considering that all the *Promises* in the *Gospel* are Made to the *Church*, what a Dreadful thing must it be, to be *Excluded* from all these!

Besides, the *Church* is Call'd the *Pillar and Ground of the Truth*, As being a *Society* Instituted by *Christ*, for the Support and Preservation of the *Faith*. This no Particular *Church* can Attribute to its self, otherwise than as being a *Part* of the *Whole*. And therefore, as St. Cyprian, says, *Christ made the College of Bishops Numerous, that if one prove Heretical, or sought to Devour the Flock, the Rest might Interpose for the Saving of it*. This is Equally against letting the whole Depend upon one *Universal Bishop*: And against Throwing off the whole *Episcopate*, that is, all the *Bishops* in the *World*; which wou'd be a total *Dissolution* of the *Church* as a *Society*, by leaving no *Governors* in it, or, which is the same, Setting up
Governors

Governors of our own Head, without any
Authority or *Succession* from the *Apostles*;
 which is Rendring the whole *Precarious*,
 and without any *Foundation*. And it is
 a Supposing that *Providence* is more
 Oblig'd to stand by a *Church* set up in
 Direct *Opposition* to His *Institution*, than
 by that *Church* which *Christ* Himself has
Founded, and Promis'd to be with it to
 the *End* of the *World*. And tho' He has
 Permitted *Errors* and *Heresies* to over-
 spread Several *Parts* of it, at several
 Times, for the Probation of the *Elect*,
 like the *Waning* of the *Moan*, yet has He
 not left Himself without *Witness*, and
 has Restor'd *Light* to Her, pursuant to His
 Promise that the *Gates* of *Hell* shou'd not
 Prevail against Her. And this by the
 Means of His *Servants* and *Substitutes* the
Bishops of his *Church*, whom He has not
 Deserted. All of whom, through the
 whole *World* always did, and still do
 Maintain and own the *Apostolick Creed*.
 And wherein Some, as the *Arians*, have
 Perverted the Sense of some *Articles*, that
 Lasted but a short Time; And the *Truth*
 has been more Confirm'd by it in the
 Unanimous *Consent* and *Testimony* of the
 whole *Episcopal College*, to the *Primitive*
Doctrin which they had Receiv'd from
 the

the *Beginning*. God *Heal'd* these *Heresies* in His own way, by the *Bishops* and *Governours* of His *Church* whom he had *Appointed*, and without any *Infraction* upon His own *Institution*.

And it is observable, That these *Heresies* began by *Infraction* which Men made upon His *Institution* of *Bishops*, as *Arius* an Ambitious *Presbyter* first Rose up against his *Bishop*, before he was given up to that vile *Heresie*, which he vented afterwards, by Degrees, to Gain a Party after him, thereby to Maintain the *Opposition* which he had made against his *Bishop*. And, by a Just Judgement, he Fell from one *Error* to Another, till he at last *Completed* that Detestable *Heresie* which bears his Name.

And in all the *Annals* of the *Church*, whether under the *Law* or the *Gospel*, there is not one *Instance* of a *Schism* against the *Priest-hood*, which God had *Appointed*, but Great *Errors* in *Doctrin* and *Worship* did follow it. Thus the *Priest-hood* which *Micah* set up of his own Head, and that which *Jeroboam* set up in *Opposition* to that of *Aaron*, both Ended in *Idolatry*. Thus the *Novatians* and *Donatists* who made *Schisms* against their *Bishops*, fell into Grievous *Errors*, tho' they did not Renounce the *Faith*. And

And into what *Gross Errors*, both as to *Doctrin* and *Worship*, has the *Church* of *Rome* fallen, since her *Bishop* set up for *Universality*, and thereby Commenc'd that Grand *Schism* against all the *Bishops* of the Earth, whom he sought to *Depress* under him; but while he would Thrust other *Churches* from him, he Thrust himself from the *Catholick Church*.

What *Hydra Heresies*, and Monstrous *Sects* (*fifty* or *sixty* at one time, of which we have the *Names*) flow'd like a *Torrent* into *England*, in the times of *Forty-One*, after *Episcopacy* was Thrown down!

So Evident is that saying, That the *Church* is the *Pillar* and *Ground* of the *Truth*, that we can hardly find any *Error* which has come into the *Church*, but upon an *Infraction* made upon the *Episcopal Authority*.

XIV. *An Infallible Demonstration of Episcopacy.*

For which this is to be said, That it has all the *Four Marks* before-mention'd, to Ascertain any *Fact*, in the *Concurrent Testimony* of all *Churches*, at all *Times*; And therefore must *Infallibly* be the *Government* which the *Apostles* left up-

on the Earth. To which we must Adhere, till a Greater *Authority* than *Theirs* shall Alter it.

I doubt not but all this will Determine you to the *Church of England*. And keep you Firm to *Episcopacy*, as a Matter not *Indifferent*.

And I Pray God, that He who hath Begun a Good Work in you, may Perfect it until the Day of JESUS CHRIST.

Amen.

July 17. 1704.

